

Kit M. Kovacs (ed.)

Circumpolar Ringed Seal (*Pusa hispida*) Monitoring

CAFF's Ringed Seal Monitoring Network





Rapportserie / Report Series no. 143

Kit M. Kovacs (ed.)

Circumpolar Ringed Seal (*Pusa hispida*) Monitoring

CAFF's Ringed Seal Monitoring Network

The Norwegian Polar Institute is Norway's main institution for research, monitoring and topographic mapping in the Norwegian polar regions in the Arctic and Antarctica. The institute also advises Norwegian authorities on matters concerning polar environmental management.

Norsk Polarinstitutt er Norges sentrale statsinstitusjon for kartlegging, miljøovervåking og forvaltningsrettet forskning i de norske områdene av Arktis og Antarktis. Instituttet er faglig og strategisk rådgiver i miljøvernaker i disse områdene og har forvaltningsmyndighet i norsk del av Antarktis.

Address:
Norwegian Polar Institute
Fram Centre
NO-9296 Tromsø
kovacs@npolar.no

Norsk Polarinstitutt 2014
Norwegian Polar Institute, Fram Centre, NO-9296 Tromsø
Norsk Polarinstitutt, Framcenteret, 9296 Tromsø
www.npolar.no post@npolar.no

Technical editor: Gunn Sissel Jaklin
Cover photo: Kit M. Kovacs & Christian Lydersen, NPI
Design: Jan Roald
Printing: Norbye & Konsept, Tromsø
ISBN: 978-82-7666-300-6
ISSN: 0803-0421

Preface

The need for circumpolar monitoring of ringed seals (*Pusa hispida*) has been recognised as an essential component of any arctic monitoring plan, since the first recognition that climate change was having an impact on the Arctic. This keystone species is the most numerous arctic endemic pinniped and a key food resource for polar bears (*Ursus maritimus*) and people in many northern communities. It is a unique species that is found only in the Arctic. In arctic “hot-spots” this species is already experiencing serious impacts in terms of reductions of its breeding habitat. Ringed seals are an extremely sea-ice dependent species that is clearly threatened by global warming.

The first international workshop to formulate marine mammal monitoring programmes across the Arctic was sponsored by the Marine Mammal Commission of the United States. This workshop was held in Valencia, Spain, 4-6 March 2007 and focussed on two key species or “test cases” – white whales and ringed seals (see Appendix 1 and Simpkins et al. 2009). The comprehensive monitoring programmes envisaged at that workshop have not been realized. But, uncoordinated efforts in some arctic countries have taken place in the five intervening years, though a lack of international co-ordination and in particular a lack of committed funding has left scientists in each country working in isolation with annual time horizons (or project-term limited time lines of a few years).

In 2012 the Arctic Council provided funding, via the Ministry of the Environment of Norway, for a core group of ringed seal scientists to get together in a workshop environment to advance international co-ordination of ringed seals research and monitoring activities (Appendix II). This CAFF Ringed Seal Network Team met in Tromsø, Norway in early October 2012. The primary goals of this workshop were to review current research and monitoring activity and to select key monitoring parameters that could be consistently collected across the ringed seal’s range at key sites.

Kit M. Kovacs, Norwegian Polar Institute
(CAFF Ringed Seal Network Chair)



Photo: Kit M. Kovacs & Christian Lydersen, NPI

Core start-up group members

Peter Boveng, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, USA

Lori Quakenbush, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, USA

Tom Smith, EMC, Canada

Lois Harwood, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Canada

Steven Ferguson, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Canada

Jean-Francois Gosselin, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Canada

Mike O. Hammill, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Canada

Aqqalu Rosing Asvid, Greenland Institute of Natural Resources, Greenland

Christian Lydersen, Norwegian Polar Institute, Norway

Additional contributors to the workshop

Raphaella Stimmelmayer, DVM, North Slope Borough Department of Wildlife Management, USA

Dag Vongraven, Environmental Management Department, Norwegian Polar Institute, Norway

Table of contents

Recent research, population status and current monitoring in the circumpolar Arctic (by region)	7
Prioritised list of parameters for circumpolar ringed seal monitoring	19
Appendix I Background Document for Development of a Circumpolar Ringed Seal Monitoring Plan (MMC, USA)	21
Appendix II CAFF/Arctic Council workshop grant application – KEYs to the future: Developing a CAFF circumpolar monitoring programme for ringed seals in a changing Arctic	42

Recent research, population status and current monitoring in the circumpolar Arctic (by region)

Alaska – NOAA

Dr. Peter Boveng,
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)

Two recent studies in Alaskan waters have addressed questions about breeding population structure. Kelly et al. (2010a) found that ringed seals in the shore-fast ice of the Beaufort Sea used very small breeding home ranges in successive years, indicating fidelity to breeding sites and a potential for limited dispersal and genetic differentiation between breeding populations. Kelly et al. (2009), however, found no such differentiation in samples when comparing numerous sites in Alaska, the western Canadian Arctic, and the Baltic Sea, perhaps indicating that isolation times have been insufficient for genetic drift or that dispersal from natal sites is common before recruits establish their breeding locales.

Ringed seal populations in the Bering and Okhotsk Seas were surveyed in April-May, 2012, as part of a two-year collaboration between teams from the Russian Federation and the US (Bering-Okhotsk Seal Survey (BOSS) Program). Preliminary analyses of images obtained in these instrument-based surveys indicate that large numbers of ringed seals occur and reproduce in these seas and that the estimates produced by BOSS will provide a basis for assessing trends in the future.

The status of all five ringed seal subspecies was reviewed in support of an extinction risk assessment carried out in response to a petition to list the seals under the US Endangered Species Act (ESA; Kelly et al. 2010b). Arctic ringed seals (*P. h. hispida*) were found to be at risk of severe loss of habitat by the end of the 21st century, due to projected loss of areas with sufficient snow accumulation on sea ice for adequate birth lair formation and maintenance (Hezel et al. 2012).

The BOSS Program is the only current ringed seal monitoring activity conducted by NOAA. Proposals have been developed for an Arctic-wide remote-sensing protocol to monitor dates of the annual onset of snow melt, and for genetic sampling to monitor population structure and demography (via mark-recapture methods).

Alaska – Department of Fish and Game

Lori Quakenbush
Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF & G)

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) has been monitoring the health and status of ringed seals in Alaska since 1960 by collecting information and samples from the Alaska Native subsistence harvest. Retrospective data analyses from this monitoring program allow us to examine how parameters that affect population size and status may vary in time and how current conditions compare with the past. Parameters monitored that are indicative of population health or status include growth rate, body condition, diet, age distribution, age of maturation, and pregnancy rate. Since 2000, ADF&G has also conducted surveys of local knowledge and hunter preferences and analyzed tissue samples for contaminants and disease. All of these collections rely on the cooperation of coastal subsistence communities. Villages that have participated in the sampling program span the region from Hooper Bay in the Bering Sea to Kaktovik in the Beaufort Sea, including islands in the Bering Sea; the total area encompassed by the surveys includes most of the range of ringed seals in Alaska.

Local knowledge — Hunter questionnaires are used to evaluate seal availability for harvest and hunter bias in the samples so that we can determine whether changes are due to hunter behaviour or related to the seal population itself. Responses to hunter questionnaires from five participating villages did not indicate decreases in ringed seal numbers (availability) at any location in recent years.

Diet — Using stomach contents from 1,555 ringed seals collected between 1960 and 2009, we identified 155 different fish and invertebrate prey of which 99 were common. Using percent frequency of occurrence, fish were consumed significantly more frequently (4.1 times) during the 2000s than during the 1960s and 1970s. Too few data were available for analysis in the 1980s and 1990s. The increase in fish consumption over time was likely driven by increases in the consumption of cod, including arctic cod (*Boreogadus saida*), saffron cod (*Eleginus gracilis*), and to a lesser extent walleye pollock (*Theragra chalcogramma*). Ringed seals have also consumed significantly more pacific herring (*Clupea harengus*), capelin (*Mallotus villosus*), pacific sand lance (*Ammodytes hexapterus*), and prickleback (Stichaeidae), including eelblenny (*Lumpepus* spp.) in the 2000s than in the 1960s and 1970s ($P < 0.01$). In general, invertebrates were consumed less frequently in the 2000s (66%) than during the 1960s and 1970s (89%; $P < 0.01$). Too few data were available for analysis in the 1980s and 1990s. The decrease in general invertebrate consumption over time was likely due to decreases in crustacean and shrimp consumption.

Contaminants — Liver ($n = 35$) and kidney ($n = 12$) tissues from ringed seals collected during 2003 and 2007 were analysed for concentrations of potentially toxic elements such as arsenic, cadmium, mercury, and lead. Concentrations of these elements in ringed seals in Alaska were lower than for ringed seals in Canada, Greenland, and Russia. Within Alaska, ringed seals had the second lowest concentrations of cadmium and mercury of the four species of ice seals in our studies (only spotted seals were lower) and lead levels were very low in all ringed seals analyzed. Blubber ($n = 35$) and liver ($n = 26$) tissues were analyzed for persistent organochlorine compounds and compared to bearded, spotted, and ribbon seals in Alaska, ringed seals had the lowest levels of total CHL (chlor-danes), and the second lowest of HCH (hexachlorocyclohexane), DDT (dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane), and PCB (polychlorinated biphenyls) in our studies. Ringed seals in our study also had lower levels of these compounds than ringed seals in Canada, Norway, and Russia.

Disease — Antibodies for *Brucella*, phocine herpesvirus, phocine and canine distemper, *Leptospira*, and *Toxoplasma*, were detected in ringed seals at levels below or similar to the past. Screening for toxic algae detected domoic and saxitoxin at very low levels in four and six of 34 individual ringed seals, respectively.

Growth rates — We analysed growth rate using length at age data for 1,610 seals ≥ 1 years old and 543 pups. Seals were identified as being longer or shorter than expected, given their age, and were then classified by birth year. For seals ≥ 1 year of age, individuals born after 1976 were larger than expected in 20 of 23 sample years. We fitted von Bertalanffy growth curves to age-at-length data. Seals harvested before and after 1976 reached similar asymptotic (maximum) lengths; however, seals harvested after 1976 grew at a faster rate. For example, at age 1, seals born after 1976 were an average of 1.7 cm longer than seals born in 1976 or earlier. This affect was greatest at 6 years of age, when seals born after 1976 were an average of 6.2 cm longer. By age 25, the affect had diminished to an average of only 2.8 cm. Growth rates for pups were lowest in 1961 and 2005. Pups were shorter than expected, given their date of harvest, in six of ten (60%) sample years between 1960 and 1979. In contrast, pups were shorter than expected in only three of nine (33%) sample years between 2000 and 2009. Too few data were available for analysis in the 1980s and 1990s.

Body condition — We examined patterns in sternum-blubber thickness using linear models to control for covariates, such as time of year, for 147 pups, 215 subadults, and 189 adults collected between 1971 and 2010. Pups had less blubber than expected in 1971 and 1976; since 2002, blubber thickness of pups has been average. Adults and subadults also had less blubber than expected in 1971, but more than expected in 1978. Since 2002, blubber thicknesses of adults and subadults have been average.

Age distributions — We analysed age at harvest for 528 ringed seals harvested in the 1960s, 4,694 in the 1970s, and 727 in the 2000s. Too few data were available for analysis in the 1980s and 1990s. A much greater proportion of pups were identified in the 2000s (56%) than in the 1960s (14%; $P < 0.01$) and 1970s (23%; $P < 0.01$). On average, ringed seals harvested in the 1960s were older than those harvested in the 1970s, and seals harvested in the 1970s were older than those harvested in the 2000s.

Age at maturity and pregnancy rate — Sexual maturity was determined by examining reproductive tracts of 690 female ringed seals; 47 harvested between 1965 and 1975, 84 in 1976, 196 in 1977, 162 in 1978, 81 between 1979 and 1984, and 120 between 1999 and 2010. The average age of maturity varied significantly in time. Average age of maturity was 5.3 years of age between 1965 and 1975 and increased to 6.4 in 1976 and 6.5 in 1977 ($P < 0.05$). In 1978, the average age of maturity significantly decreased to 5.0 years of age ($P < 0.05$). Age at maturity rose to 5.9 years from 1979 to 1984. Since 1999, however, the average age of maturity has been the lowest observed (3.2 yrs), and is significantly lower than all other years ($P < 0.05$).

Female reproductive tracts were analyzed for percent pregnant at harvest. The decades with the lowest percentage was the 1960s (76.8%) and the 1980s (76.2%). The 1970s had the highest percentage at 89.1% and the 2000s were next highest at 79.5%. Too few data were available for analysis in the 1990s.

Conclusions

These data span five decades and include time periods well before changes in sea ice or other factors attributed to global climate change were present. Our analyses show that ringed seals have been positively and negatively affected by past and current conditions. Currently, however, ringed seals are growing faster, have average blubber thickness, are maturing at the youngest age to date (indicating females are in a positive nutritional state allowing them to grow faster and become mature at an earlier age), and have the second highest pregnancy rate to date. Current environmental conditions have not had a negative effect on any of these factors.

In addition, there are more pups in the harvest now. Because age ratios are proportional, a higher proportion of pups in the sample may indicate that adult survival is decreasing or that reproduction is increasing. Based upon other information, however, it is most likely that reproduction has increased. For example, growth rate, age of maturation, pregnancy rate, and blubber thickness are average or better than expected, and hunter responses to questionnaires indicate that ringed seal numbers have not decreased. The high proportion of pups in the harvest also indicates that pups are surviving long enough to be harvested (*i.e.*, pups survive to weaning). Levels of contaminants in ringed seals harvested in Alaska are lower than levels reported in Canada, Europe, and Russia, and the prevalence of diseases has remained stable.

The results from this long-term program demonstrate its ability to monitor and detect changes in parameters that are useful for monitoring population status when estimating the population size has not been possible. Parameters that determine the status of the ringed seal population in the Bering, Chukchi, and Beaufort seas have been more favourable throughout the 2000s compared to the 1960s and 1970s.

Western Canadian Arctic

**Dr. Tom Smith, Eco Marine Corporation
and Lois Harwood, Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO)**

The ringed seal population of Canada's Western Arctic has been intensively followed by researchers since 1971. With the assistance of the seal harvesters from Ulukhaktok (Holman), Northwest Territories, we are presently continuing a harvest-based study of ringed seal reproduction and body condition in the context of changing sea ice conditions

(1971-1978, 1992-2012.) (Smith 1987; Harwood et al. 2000; Harwood et al. 2012). The monitoring study has been funded annually for 21 years by the Fisheries Joint Management Committee (FJMC), and delivered cooperatively with the Olokhatomuit Hunters and Trappers Committee (harvesters, Alikamik), DFO (Harwood, Melling, contractor Kingsley) and EMC (Smith, Wright). Long-term funding, professional and harvester commitment, cooperation with harvesters and an extensive database which dates back to the 1970s are key elements to the success of this ongoing study.

The results reveal a temporal, statistically significant trend of decreasing mean annual body condition of adult and sub-adult ringed seals (using a length, mass, fat depth index) over the past two decades (see figure below). A parallel result was that mean annual body condition of adults and subadults was negatively correlated with the timing of fast ice clearance in spring, most obvious during extreme ice years in all sex/age groupings, and statistically significant for subadults (Harwood et al. 2012).

A change in winter prey/diet is implicated by the temporal decline in condition because seals sampled in this study were shot just prior to, or just after, spring break up. We have added diet studies to our sampling regime (fatty acids, isotopes) in 2012, and also provide samples for contaminants, genetics and disease studies. There were no reports of hairloss/lesions in the ringed seals sampled by our monitoring team (John Alikamik) in the 2011 and 2012 season, although one was found at a different location by a community hunter and sent to DFO for necropsy in 2011.

Over the last four decades (Fig. 1) there has also been at least two major declines in the reproductive output of the ringed seal (2005, 33.3% ovu-





Figure 1
Ringed seal body condition by year (top)
and ovulation rate versus ice-clearance date
(bottom) in Western Canadian Arctic.

lation rate, and 1974, 42.9% ovulation rate) in east Amundsen Gulf, both associated with heavy ice years and late clearing of the fast ice in spring (see figure below). Adult females with failed ovulation were in significantly poorer body condition than adult females that ovulated (Smith 1987; Harwood et al. 2012). The proportion of pups in the open-water harvest was highly variable among years (0-62%; mean 19.6%), but with early ice clearing years tending to have higher percent pups (e.g. 1998) and later ice clearing years (e.g. 1974, 2005) having lower percent pups. The strongest signals in our seal condition and reproduction data occurred when break up dates were 6 weeks earlier than the 40 yr average, and when break up was 4 weeks and 8 weeks later than the 40 yr average. In all cases, reproduction and body condition returned to normal levels within 1–2 years of the strongest signal-years in the data we examined.

Movements of immature ringed seals indicate a strong westward autumn migration along the North Slope of Alaska into the Chukchi, Bering and eastern Russian waters. Mature ringed seals caught in the prime breeding habitat of Prince Albert Sound remain in the Eastern Amundsen Gulf, or in the areas adjacent to Victoria Island and appear to return to the stable fast ice areas where they were originally caught. It would be important to obtain several years of information from both immature and adult seals to study their feeding strategies and fidelity to breeding habitat.

The large open water home range of ringed seals, which also apparently have a strong fidelity to breeding in certain areas of stable fast ice, points to the importance of identifying their food species and the location and nature of the oceanographic features that concentrate these resources. Our long-term monitoring of condition and reproduction show that they are influenced by major changes in ice regimes, but we do not yet have a clear idea how this functions. The two decade declining trend in body condition might signal the beginning of long-term effects of changing marine productivity or availability of high quality food for ringed seals caused by global climate change.

While the seal population in this core habitat appears to have recovered from natural and extreme-year fluctuations over four decades in this and previous studies, the possible magnified effect of several consecutive extreme ice years, compounded by the simultaneous occurrence of the temporal decline in seal body condition, is of particular concern.

Central Canadian Arctic, Nunavut

Dr. Steven Ferguson,
Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Canada

Ringed seals have been scientifically studied in the central Canadian Arctic since the 1950s. Research results that relate to an international circumpolar monitoring effort include abundance estimates, telemetry tracking studies, and various projects derived from using tissue collections from Inuit subsistence hunts. Fisheries and Oceans Canada is collating abundance estimates of ringed seals for the Canadian Arctic (planned for completion in April 2013). Survey methods have almost exclusively used aircraft transect surveys over sea ice during the spring haul out period when ringed seals moult.

Archived tissue collections and associated records (e.g., morphometrics and spatial/temporal information) are stored in freezers (-30°C) in Winnipeg at the Freshwater Institute and include assorted tissue samples collected back to the 1970s. However, more recent samples (since 2003) are the most complete and include annual information primarily from Hudson Bay communities. Efforts are ongoing to expand the community-based monitoring program in Nunavut to include more communities, but monitoring funding is limited. Research has been ongoing to use the archived tissue collections for scientific purposes, including analyzing for information that can inform monitoring objectives (e.g., understanding drivers of demographic and body condition changes over time). For example, reproductive tracts have been analyzed for female productivity



Ringed seal with antenna, from its CTD SRDL instrument, showing. (See Norway text section). Photo: Kit M. Kovacs & Christian Lydersen, NPI



CTD SRDL tagged ringed seal ready for release. Photo: Kit M. Kovacs & Christian Lydersen, NPI

(e.g., pregnancy and ovulation rates, ages of sexual maturity and first pregnancy), male sexual morphology (e.g., to determine likely mating system), disease (e.g., phocine distemper, brucellosis, parasites), contaminants (e.g., PCFS, POPs, Hg), food habits (stable isotopes, fatty acids, IP25, stomach contents), age structure, mortality estimates, genetics, and body growth.

Use of satellite telemetry to track seal movements both vertically and horizontally has been ongoing since 2006 and have concentrated on Hudson Bay where the early signs of climate change are aggravated but more recently also include tracking studies in Foxe Basin, Cumberland Sound and Lancaster Sound.

Hudson Bay ringed seals research summary

The Hudson Bay marine environment is already showing effects of climate warming as reduced sea ice extent and stability (Ferguson et al. 2010). Ringed seals, as land-fast ice breeding mammals, are expected to reflect sea ice changes in their reproduction, distribution, diet, and ultimately survival. Recent studies have shown the negative role of early sea ice break-up and decreasing snow depth on the condition and survival of ringed seals in western Hudson Bay.

Results from samples collected during Inuit subsistence hunts from 2003 to 2010 indicate that the ringed seal population is changing in age structure, body condition, and feeding ecology. Some of these changes appear to be closely linked to changing environmental conditions, particularly in response to increased temperatures and increases to the length of the open water season. For example, the percentage of pups in the ringed seal harvest from Sanikiluaq has undergone a steady decline over the past four years. Similarly, trends in feeding ecology of seals in both Arviat and Sanikiluaq appear to be closely linked to ice breakup date, and could be indicative of large scale ecosystem shifts and changing food web dynamics occurring in Hudson Bay. Results provide evidence of a decrease

in pup production in Sanikiluaq, decreased body condition in Arviat, apparent changes in feeding ecology or food web structure, and widespread observations of sick seals in Hudson Bay. Overall, the Hudson Bay ringed seals represent a population decreasing in health and demographic vigor although the future is difficult to predict (Ferguson et al. 2012).

Despite great interannual variability, a study on distribution and abundance of ringed seals in western Hudson Bay during the 1990s showed a declining trend first in the 1990s (Lunn et al. 2000) and then in the 2000s (Chambellant et al. 2012a). Moreover, Holst et al. (1999) and Stirling (2005) reported a very low percentage of young-of-the-year and a low pregnancy rate for ringed seals harvested from the same location relative to higher latitude areas in the Arctic. Holst et al. (1999) concluded that the ringed seal population in western Hudson Bay was experiencing demographic difficulties. Recent studies have also illustrated the negative role of early ice break-up (Harwood et al. 2000) and decreasing snow depth (Ferguson et al. 2005) on the condition and survival of ringed seals. More recently, Chambellant et al. (2012b) described a decadal shift in reproduction, feeding (Chambellant et al. 2013), and Young et al. (2010) indicate that the need to consider the competitive age-sex foraging among Hudson Bay seal species (ringed, bearded, and harbour seals).

The purpose of the community based monitoring programs are to (1) assess age structure, survival, feeding ecology, and body condition of Hudson Bay ringed seals; and (2) to examine the ringed seal population health (contaminants, disease) and population structure (genetics). The primary goal of this research is to understand ringed seal population dynamics relative to the environment so as to ensure population persistence in Hudson Bay with climate warming, and thereby maintain seal numbers required to provide food for polar bears, cultural and economic resource for Inuit, and a genetic reservoir for the circumpolar species in the Arctic.

Seal sampling

Each year, sample kits are sent to the Arviat and Sanikiluaq Hunters and Trappers Organization/Association. Local Inuit hunters in these communities were monetarily compensated for their time and effort in collecting samples from their subsistence harvest of ringed, bearded, harp, and harbour seals. Information recorded by the hunters included species, sex, date and time, hunter's name, general location, GPS kill coordinates, and habitat type. Measurements that were taken included total length, axillary girth, hip girth, fat depth at sternum, fat depth at hips, total body weight, and sculp weight. Tissues collected by the hunters generally included lower jaw, muscle, blubber, liver, kidney, hair, whiskers, flipper with claws, blood, and reproductive tract. Samples were frozen and sent to Freshwater Institute, Fisheries and Oceans Canada in Winnipeg at the end of the season. Teeth were extracted from the lower jaw and canines were sent to Matson's Lab (www.matsonslab.com) in Montana, USA for age determination. Morphometric measurements are important as indicators of seal health and can be used to determine the trends in seal body condition over time.

Research Results

Food habit studies have used stomach contents, stable isotopes, fatty acids, contaminants as biomarkers of the food web (e.g., Ferreira et al. 2011). Numerous other studies have been developed around the annual collection of ringed seals including reproduction (Yurkowski et al. 2011) foraging behaviour derived from analysis of whiskers (Seth Newsome, University of Wyoming), liver (Tom Brown, University of Plymouth), and fatty acids (Greg Theimann, York University). In addition, Derek Muir (Environment Canada) has been summarizing contaminant results for ringed seals harvested in Arviat as part of his Northern Contaminant Program project. Ole Nielsen (Fisheries and Oceans Canada) has continued viral disease analysis on seals from Hudson Bay with a recent interest in identifying the cause of significant mortalities. Gregg Tomy (Fisheries and Oceans Canada) have initiated a study of chronic stress in ringed seals. Stephen Petersen (Assiniboine Zoo) has continued genetic studies of the Hudson Bay ringed seals. Sebastian Luque (University of

Manitoba) has continued to capture, tag, and track the diving and movement behaviour of ringed seals monitored with satellite transmitters in Sanikiluaq and Churchill.

The results of the various analyses performed on seal tissues collected from Arviat and Sanikiluaq from 2007 to 2011 suggest that Hudson Bay seals, and the Hudson Bay marine ecosystem as a whole, are currently experiencing significant changes. The pattern of stable isotope ratios for muscle samples collected on a continuous basis over an 18 month period suggest seasonal differences in feeding ecology for ringed seals harvested from Sanikiluaq (Young and Ferguson 2013). Stable isotope evidence of these changes indicates that the marine environments and ecological communities of eastern and western Hudson Bay are responding to environmental change in different ways. Differences between eastern and western Hudson Bay are also evident in age structure and morphometric measurements. The reasons for these differences require further investigation, but it may be related to factors such as inadequate snow depth and poor ice conditions which have been suspected in causing similar declines in other areas (Chambellant et al. 2012a). The increased prevalence of disease may also be contributing to reductions in reproductive success.

The differences between pups and adult age classes between the two communities may be an indication that eastern Hudson Bay, particularly the Belcher Islands, may be a historically important area for pup production. As young ringed seals born in the Belcher Islands begin to mature, they may be dispersing to other areas of Hudson Bay. This scenario would explain the relatively high proportion of adult ringed seals harvested from Arviat, compared to the relatively low percentage of pups.

Eastern Canadian Arctic (Nunavik, northern Quebec)

Jean-Francois Gosselin and Dr. Mike O. Hammill
Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Canada

There has been limited research effort on ringed seals in Nunavik. Two surveys were conducted in the 1970s with limited coverage of James Bay and south-eastern Hudson Bay (Smith 1975, Breton-Provencher 1979). Recent efforts to obtain samples from subsistence hunting resulted in collections from only five seals. Systematic aerial line-transect surveys were conducted in spring 2011 in James Bay and in the spring of 2012 in eastern Hudson Bay. Ice conditions in James Bay are more dynamic than in eastern Hudson Bay where fast ice extends between the shores of Nunavik and several islands including the large Belcher Islands.

Dates of the surveys were planned according to advice from local hunters (Regional and local hunters associations, RNUK and LNUK), ice conditions described in 1970s surveys and the corresponding dates of ice break-up as described in Galbraith et al. (2011). Thirty-seven East-West lines 10 nautical miles apart covering all of James Bay and eastern Hudson Bay up to 30 km north of Kuujjuarapik were surveyed from 17 to 20 May 2011. Although it was planned to extend further north, this survey was interrupted due to early ice break-up in eastern Hudson Bay. In 2012, 32 east-west lines 10 nautical miles apart covered the eastern Hudson Bay, *i.e.* the Nunavik Marine Region waters from Chissassibi to Inukjuak with extensions offshore to include the Belcher Islands.

The lines were covered from 5 to 11 May with a repetition of the 8 northernmost lines on the 17 May. All lines were surveyed between 10:30 am and 18:30 pm – using two Cessna 337s flying at a target altitude of 152 m (500 feet) and target speed of 240 km/h (130 knots). Two observers in the rear seats recorded seal sightings, ice conditions, and weather conditions along with time synchronized with GPS locations that were recorded every 10 seconds. All sightings were recorded in bins starting from 65m to 113m away from the trackline and using subsequent cutpoints at 50, 100, 150, 225, 300 and 400 meters marked on wing struts. Seal holes, kill sites and all other species were recorded. Ice conditions [ice coverage in tenths, ice type (landfast, solid, cracking, large floes, small floes),

ice color (white, grey-white, grey, thin) and ice roughness (smooth or rough)], the presence of fog or rain and subjective visibility (excellent, good, fair, reduced, nil) were recorded at the beginning of each transect and along the lines when changes were noticed. Outside air temperature, wind speed, wind direction and cloud cover were also recorded at the beginning of each line and when sighting conditions changed along the lines.

Complete line transect survey analyses will be completed in November 2012, but preliminary results show a total of 340 ringed seals counted over 4,922 km of lines surveyed in 2011, which assuming perfect detection over the 800 m strip width would provide a density of 0.09 seals per km² and an abundance estimate of 7,900 ringed seals for the 91,406 km² area surveyed in James Bay and south-eastern Hudson Bay. In 2012, a total of 4,099 ringed seals were counted over 4,935 km of lines, which assuming complete detection on the 800 m strip width – would provide a density of 1.04 seals per km² and an abundance estimate of 94,800 seals for the 91,307 km² area surveyed in northern James Bay and eastern Hudson Bay. These estimates should be considered as minimum; line-transect analyses should increase these estimates.

The only baseline information available regarding the abundance and density of ringed seal in Nunavik dates back to the 1970s. Smith (1975) using a strip survey, with half a mile on each side of the aircraft estimated an average density of 0.25 individual/km² during a 12.5 hours flight that covered James Bay and southeast Hudson Bay on 26 and 27 May 1974. He recorded the highest densities of 0.46 and 0.68 seals per km² on lines that covered good stable ice of the eastern Hudson Bay that spread between the Belcher Islands and the Nunavik coast. Smith post-stratified by ice categories, and provided an abundance estimate of 61,000 ringed seals for James Bay (*i.e.* James Bay and southeast Hudson Bay). Breton-Provencher completed three series of surveys from 19-30 May 1978 to evaluate abundance of ringed seals in an area of 10,000 km², extending 250 km along the coast and 40 km offshore in front of the Great Whale River flowing in eastern Hudson Bay. A strip survey with 800m on each side was used and the density estimates were 0.97 seal/km² on 47 transects completed on 19 May, 1.05 seal/km² on 43 transects on 20-24 May and 1.07 seal/km² on 9 transects on 25–30 May.

These estimates of densities are higher than the maximum densities estimated by Smith (1975) of 0.68 seals per km² on the line near the Belcher Islands and of 0.46 seals per km² on a line extending from the Great Whale River to western James Bay but they are close to the average density of 1.04 seal per km² estimated during the preliminary analyses of the 2012 survey. Further analyses of the recent survey is required to evaluate these changes in densities as higher concentrations of seals are expected in coastal areas that were covered by Breton-Provencher (1979).

Climate change is a major concern; Galbraith et al (2011) showed that ice break up in Hudson Strait has been earlier by 5.6 days per decade since 1971. They also showed an interannual variability of 5°C in the Hudson Bay average SST in August between extreme years and a good correlation between these average SST with the percentage of open water from June to August ($R^2=0.80$). Another concern is industrial development, which is expected to increase around Nunavik in coming years as mining, hydro-electric and infrastructure projects are to be supported by the provincial government of Quebec (Plan nord). Several projects may have an impact on local ice conditions and seal habitat as they may involve intense shipping (e.g. Hope Advances, near Aupaluk).

Currently a monitoring program is being developed for ringed in Nunavik, which will include a yearly sampling program of the subsistence hunt and aerial surveys that could be conducted every 5 to 7 years. A satellite tracking program may also be developed after baseline information has been collected from sampling and surveys. Priority has been given to the eastern Hudson Bay area for which some baseline information exist and that present conditions that are more likely to represent preferred ringed

seal habitat. Any monitoring program in Canada must include significant Inuit involvement.

The sampling program of the subsistence hunt has been advertised and implemented in collaboration with the Nunavik Research Center (Makivik Corporation). The objective of sampling is to get baseline information on morphometrics, diet and reproductive status. Sampling protocols and kits have been distributed to hunters in the 14 communities around Nunavik (using similar protocols and kits developed for belugas). Thus far no sampling has been performed. To promote the program, a technician was sent to communities of Hudson Bay and Hudson Strait. He also participated in the hunt in Hudson Strait which provided samples from 5 seals (4 in Quaqtaq; 1 in Ivujivik).

Greenland

**Dr. Aqqalu Rosing Asvid,
Greenland Institute of Natural Resources**

Ringed seals are included in the AMAP-program and thus contaminant levels are measured regularly. Data from this program are included in a number of studies; two with special focus on ringed seals in Greenland have been published recently. One presented temporal trend for a number of pollutants in the blubber of young ringed seals from east Greenland (Vorkamp *et al.* 2011). The other deals with mercury (Hg) in ringed seal teeth. This study (Aubail *et al.* 2010) found that Hg levels were higher on the east coast than on the west coast of Greenland, with an increasing trend in both areas over the period 1994-2006. Mercury concentrations decreased the first years of life of the animals (as the animal grow) suggesting that Hg had been transferred from the mother to the foetus and newborn (Aubail *et al.* 2010).

A study on ringed seal diet from coastal locations in Northeast Greenland and off shore locations in the Greenland Sea showed that the coastal seals had a diet with a diverse fish prey composition (both in spring and autumn samples), whereas the open water seals had eaten mostly crustaceans with *Parathemisto libellula* being most abundant (Labansen *et al.* 2011).

Three ongoing studies have been started in Greenland since 2010.

a) diet of ringed seals (and harp seals) in areas where these seals co-exist to assess the level of competition.

b) a telemetry study – 14 ringed seals have been tagged in Melville Bay



Polar bear. Photo: Kit M. Kovacs & Christian Lydersen, NPI



Polar bear kill at a ringed seal lair. Photo: Kit M. Kovacs & Christian Lydersen, NPI

(some tags are still transmitting, October 2012).

c) a telemetry study in Jacobshavn Icefjord (central west Greenland) and Sermilik (central east Greenland). This study will use ringed seals to measure the salinity and temperature in ice-fjords where it is very difficult to obtain such data by other means. Three tags will be put out in each fjord annually and the project might become a long-time monitoring program, which beside measuring changes in environmental conditions, also will provide data on ringed seal behaviour and distribution.

The ringed seals found along the Greenlandic coast are part of populations that cover vast areas, some of which are outside Greenland jurisdiction. The funding needed to monitor ringed seal trends in such large areas is not available. A working group under NAMMCO concluded in 1998 that harvests in Canada, Greenland and Russia have been sustained for hundreds or even thousands of years with little evidence of depletion. They also concluded that the harvests of ringed seals in the Baffin Bay area were likely sustainable and that harvests in other areas of the North Atlantic (including east Greenland) presently pose no threat to the populations. There are no changes in the harvest that indicate that this status should be changed.

In pristine Arctic areas ringed seals and polar bears find an equilibrium and any ringed seal hunt in such areas is therefore likely to influence the polar bear population and vice versa if the polar bears are hunted. The fact that sustainable high



Foxes are ringed seal predators too. Photo: Kit M. Kovacs & Christian Lydersen, NPI

catches of ringed seals have been caught in Greenland for many decades is probably linked to the fact that polar bears also have been hunted and to some extent depleted in the same areas. Stronger restrictions on the polar bear hunt in recent years is likely to cause an increase in the polar bear numbers close to the Greenland coast and that is likely to decrease the number of ringed seals available for the hunters.

Climatic changes might also influence ringed seals directly and warming will likely influence polar bear access to ringed seal pups in a way that favours polar bears, at least initially. The strong increase in the West Atlantic harp seal population in recent years might also influence the polar bear population and might also have led to increased competition for food between ringed seals and harp seals in some areas.

These factors indicate that ringed seals numbers near Greenland are expected to decline. Catches in West Greenland are, however, still at an unchanged, high level (harvest on Baffin Bay seals on the Canadian side has decreased), whereas they have decreased somewhat on the east coast in the past decade.

Svalbard, Norway

**Drs. Christian Lydersen and Kit M. Kovacs,
Norwegian Polar Institute**

Ringed seals in Svalbard have been the subject of research efforts in a wide variety of subject areas, intermittently since the early 1980s (e.g. Gjertz and Lydersen 1986a,b, Lydersen and Gjertz 1986, 1987). Many of the studies have documented various contaminant issues, but it is noteworthy that Svalbard animals have been used as 'low-burden' controls for more polluted areas such as the Baltic Sea (Routti et al. 2010, Kanerva et al. 2012) and that recent toxicological projects have documented a 50-90% decline in PCBs and chlorinated pesticides over the period from 1996-2004 and a decline in PBDEs of approximately 80% from 1998-2004 in Svalbard ringed seals (Wolkers et al. 2008a,b). Spring diet was studied in the early 1980s (Gjertz and Lydersen 1986b) and again 20 years later.

The most recent diet study documented that polar cod (*Boreogadus saida*) was the single most important prey species for ringed seals, although 12 fish species occurred in the diet along with 20 invertebrate species (Labansen et al. 2007). Diets of age and sex classes suggested some separation of feeding niche or habitat, with adult females eating smaller polar cod than adult males and juveniles. A concomitant study of the helminth fauna in these same gastrointestinal tracts found that adult males had higher parasite burdens, presumably linked to the larger, older polar cod's parasite burdens (Johansen et al. 2010). Additionally, Johansen et al (2010) found that there were geographical differences in helminth

burdens linked to water masses, with higher worm burdens in warm water areas (Atlantic current influenced) compared to fjords in Svalbard dominated by cold arctic water masses. A study of the prevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* in polar bears and their marine prey documented that close to 20% of ringed seals were serio-positive in 2008, compared to a zero prevalence a decade earlier (see Jensen et al. 2010). Other 'health-related' studies have been performed recently, including serum chemistry and haptoglobin values, in order to create base-line values for future assessments of the impacts of climate change (Krafft et al. 2006, Tryland et al. 2006).

Reproductive energetic studies were conducted in the 1990s, primarily in Kongsfjorden (Lydersen 1995, Lydersen and Kovacs 1999). Ringed seal lactation is the longest among the phocid seals; females provide intensive care for approximately six weeks (Hammill et al. 1991) and although pups are highly aquatic and mobile, stable ice and good snow cover for over a month is almost certainly a requirement for successful pup rearing (Lydersen et al. 1992, Lydersen and Hammill 1993a,b). The small pups can be taken by several predators, polar bears, foxes and even birds given insufficient snow for lair construction (Lydersen and Smith 1989).

Population parameters have been studied in the 1980s (Lydersen and Gjertz 1987), and again in the early 2000s to see whether basic reproductive traits had changed (Krafft et al. 2006). Similar to several other areas in the Arctic, age at sexual maturity of females had dropped significantly over this period, suggesting less competition from adults on younger age classes, either because of declines in the numbers of the former group or increased levels of food availability due to other changes in the ecosystem. During what turned out to be one of the last years with 'normal' sea ice cover in Svalbard, the distribution of sex and age groups in the fast-ice breeding habitat of Kongsfjorden was explored (Krafft et al. 2007). Older males were affiliated with higher concentrations of adult females, although the length, mass, condition and testosterone levels of males in high and low female density areas did not differ, suggestion that experience (age) plays a strong role in achieving reproductive success for male ringed seals.

Population status was assessed via dog surveys (Lydersen et al. 1990, Lydersen and Ryg 1991) within breeding areas in individual west-coast fjords in the late 1980s. Prime pupping habitat contained 2.9 pups per km², while other fjord ice areas had on average 0.98 per km², with an estimate of some 19,500 pups born annually in Svalbard (Smith and Lydersen 1001). Large scale aerial surveys were flown in 2002 and 2003 (Krafft et al. 2006), with correction factors being constructed from a detailed behavioural study that employed VHF tracking (Carlens et al. 2006). West and North coast fjords contained an estimated 7,642 (6,440-9,069) animals, but this is known to be an underestimate because a significant proportion of marked animals had emigrated out of Kongsfjorden before peak numbers were reached during the moulting period. But, the number produced can serve as a useful index. These aerial assessments were planned as a baseline for future population monitoring (at 5-year intervals), but ice conditions since 2006 have been so poor that moulting has taken place for most animals in the water, removing any possibility of performing traditional survey efforts based on animals hauled out on the ice during moulting.

Hunting statistics have been collected by the Governor of Svalbard since 2004, but these numbers are incomplete. Fewer than 100 ringed seals are registered in the annual, local hunt. Analyses of hunter-collected samples will commence with the 2012 hunt (some 45 samples have been registered).

Greenland sharks diets in Kongsfjorden were studied in order to assess whether this potential predator might have impacts on local pinniped populations. One third of the collected sharks had seal tissues in their stomachs, with the dominant seal species being ringed seals (Leclerc et al. 2012).



Lair roof-melt shows the thin snow cover in recent years in Svalbard, in the few areas that have sea ice cover at all. Photo: Kit M. Kovacs & Christian Lydersen, NPI

Other recent ringed seal studies in Svalbard have focussed on at-sea distribution and habitat use in relation to sea ice availability (Freitas et al. 2008a,b,c). Ringed seals displayed bimodal space use patterns with some animals travelling offshore to the southern edge of the northern pack ice while other animals remained close to the coast and associated with glacier fronts. The habitat itself was also the subject of study via sensors carried by the seals (see Lydersen et al. 2004). Sea ice has continued to decline markedly within the archipelago in recent years, with no sea ice formation at all taking place in most of Svalbard's fjords in the winter of 2011 and spring of 2012. Instrumented animals are currently being tracked via ARGOS and GPS systems to document their responses to

these unique conditions, which are likely precursors of what ringed seals will experience elsewhere in the decades to come. These distribution and habitat studies are on-going.

Ringed seal numbers are certainly in decline in Svalbard (and in several other arctic regions) due to sea ice deterioration resulting from global warming. But traditional survey methods employing aircraft to count hauled out seals on ice during the moult are no longer feasible for assessment, nor are on-ice surveys during breeding to calculate pup production. It is clear that normal levels of reproduction have not taken place in west-coast fjords in Svalbard since 2005 based solely on ice conditions, but the extent of the population decline and whether relocations has taken place to some extent are unknown (Kovacs et al. 2011). The future of this species will depend on the availability of sea ice refugia areas and perhaps as yet undiscovered behavioural plasticity (Gilg et al. 2012, Kovacs et al. 2012).

Update on a new disease syndrome in ice seals and Pacific walrus in the Arctic

Dr. Raphaela Stimmelmayer,
North Slope Borough Department of Wildlife Management

Since 2011 (summer/fall) a 'new' ulcerative dermatitis disease syndrome of unknown etiology has been observed in ice seals (ringed, bearded, spotted, ribbon) and Pacific walrus in Northern Alaska. Since last July, nearly 300 seals have been reported in Alaska. Additional cases have been reported from Chukotka (RU) (ice seals and walrus) and NWT (CA) (ice seals). The disease is characterized by a variety of skin associated lesions (ulcers/erosion) with body-site specific distribution (eyes; snout; hind flippers; tail, trunk) for ice seals and a more generalized distribution for Pacific walrus (Fig. 2). All age classes have been affected in ice



Less arctic ice has already reduced ringed seal breeding habitat in Svalbard. Photo: Kit M. Kovacs & Christian Lydersen, NPI

seals. For Pacific walrus subadults and calves appear primarily affected. Affected ice seals displayed uncommon behaviours such as unusual approachability, lethargy, and increased tendency for hauling out on land. In some animals respiratory signs are prominent. Gross pathological and histopathological findings indicate significant pathologic involvement of lung, liver, the immune system, and the skin associated vascular bed.

There is some mortality associated with the disease syndrome, however at this time we do not have enough epidemiological data to assess disease burden and develop reliable baseline estimates for ulcerative dermatitis disease syndrome associated mortalities among ice seal and Pacific walrus populations. Ice seals and Pacific walrus are key species within the Arctic Ecosystem and an essential marine subsistence resource for Native communities throughout the Arctic. Thus food safety and food security aspects are integral components of this emerging Arctic marine mammal disease event. A large scale trans-boundary interdisciplinary disease investigative team joining Native hunters from Alaska, Chukotka, Northwest Territories (NWT) and scientists (USA and international) has been assembled. As of yet no specific cause has been identified, although numerous bacteria and viruses known to affect marine mammals have been ruled out. Advanced testing techniques (i.e. deep sequencing 4-5-4) for unidentified infectious agents is continuing as well as further testing for potential other causes including man-made and biotoxins, radiation (Fukushima nuclear accident and or legacy nuclear Arctic Ocean dumps), contaminants, auto-immune diseases, nutritional, hormonal and environmental factors. Analysis of Alaskan Native hunter observations (2011–2012) on harvested and live seals clearly indicates that clinical presentation between ice seal species is distinct with ringed seals displaying a more classic syndrome (sores, approachability, hair loss, delayed incomplete molt) while in bearded seal and spotted seals clinical presentation is more likely characterized by varying degrees of hair loss,

incomplete moult while skin sores/approachability are less common.

Preliminary results (spring 2012) from Alaskan Native hunter based observations and new necropsy case material indicate that cases observed during 2012 mostly represent survivors aka old. The majority of hunter reported sightings involved adult/sub adult bearded seals with patchy to generalized hair loss (black skin) and some with few sores on the body, flipper, snout and approachability in a few. The description of the skin sores (shallow sores described as red gelatinous warts like) was different from what was observed last year. Since no carcasses were submitted for these reported cases we do not know if it is the same disease or something else. Trans-boundary inquiry with hunters and biologists confirm that no new or old cases have been reported from Canada (NWT), Russia (Chukotka), and Japan (northern).

The ongoing disease investigation is a process of elimination of factors that are thought to be possible underlying causes for the disease. Causes considered include viruses, bacteria, algae toxins, and contaminants, changes in nutrition, endocrine changes, and general Arctic environmental changes such as sea ice depletion, ocean temperature, salinity and ocean acidification that could contribute as well.

At a recent meeting with the Working Group on Marine Mammal unusual Mortality event (WGMMUME San Diego August 13-17, 2012) we had an expert consultation process with the members (biologists; veterinarians, laboratory scientists) and all of the available laboratory results and necropsy findings were discussed and evaluated. Our current conclusions are as follows. As of yet we have no evidence that common marine mammal viruses (for example seal pox, herpes virus, calici virus etc.) known to cause skin diseases in pinnipeds are involved in the disease. We also



Figure 2
Ringed seals from Alaska showing lesions and hair loss . Photos: Raphaela Stimmelmayer



Figure 3
Pacific walrus skin lesions. Photos: Raphaela Stimmelmayer

have ruled out that Avian influenza virus is present in sick ice seals. However, as the Arctic environment is changing rapidly we need to continue to explore the possibility that 'new' animal viruses could be involved. Advanced laboratory testing (i.e. 4-5-4 deep sequencing) is ongoing and three viruses previously not thought to be present in pinnipeds have been identified in some of the sick seals (Firth unpubl. data). We are currently testing healthy ice seals from 2011 to see if the discovered viruses are present only in sick seals or are present as well in healthy seals, which would suggest that they most likely do not play a role. Analysis is still pending.

Many different bacteria have been detected in the sick seals but no single bacterium or assemblies of bacteria have emerged consistently as being likely primary responsible candidate (s). Algae toxin testing for domoic acid and saxotoxin, two important algae toxins known to affect seals in the Pacific Ocean, have been at very low levels or below detection level and thus are an unlikely candidate. However, we are currently also preparing to investigate whether cyanotoxins (toxins such as *microcystins* and *nodularin* from green blue algae blooms) 'newly' detected in the Kotzebue Sound during fall 2011, could have played a role. Testing of seal tissues for contaminants, man-made or naturally occurring substances that are known to negatively affect people's and animal health, are near completion and results on levels of organic chemicals and radionuclides (cesium 134/137 from the Fukushima nuclear accident) are currently being reviewed.

Other ongoing tissue and serum testing include levels of thyroid and cortisol (stress hormone) analysis and vitamins (A, B, E). Both hormones and these vitamins are important regulators in the seasonal moulting process of ice seals and in maintaining normal skin barrier function. Regarding environmental changes we are working with many Arctic Ocean oceanographers to compile data on oceanography, ice seal and walrus food resources from 2011 and 2012 for the affected regions to compare it with previous years. Preliminary review of available data does suggest that 2011 was more or less typical of the 'new' arctic normal, with continued retreating sea ice, warmer ocean waters, ice algae blooms, jellyfish abundance, and more unpredictable weather patterns.

Based on the overall positive spring seal harvest reporting which mostly indicated healthy and normal seals and the generally low numbers of observed stranding events during our NSB beach surveys, as well as aerial survey results by USCG, ADF&G, the disease event may have come to an end as we have no evidence that new cases were observed. However, to make a final conclusion we need to monitor throughout the upcoming 2012 fall harvest season. Hunters and community members need to remain vigilant and continue to report 'sick' or abnormal seal and walrus sightings. Submitting hunter concern carcasses to the North Slope Borough Department of Wildlife management or our partners will help us to determine if the disease has run its course.

In conclusion, with respect to ringed seal health, Arctic marine food webs are under immense pressure from a variety of factors including global pollution, gas-oil exploration and production, commercial fisheries, and climate change. Complex spatial-temporal shifts in ecological and subsequently epidemiological constraints are ongoing, that suggest that the arctic marine ecosystem is undergoing changes as a whole. It is to be expected that this trend will continue in light of the current and future Arctic climatic changes and the continued and increasing presence of anthropogenic influences in the Arctic. With a changing Arctic ecosystem, the ecology of disease agents (bacterial, viral, fungi, parasitic, algae (biotoxins)) as part of the ecosystem will also be affected. Altered disease dynamics, trends and new hosts are likely results. Expansion of infectious disease investigations and wildlife health biomedical baseline studies, concurrent to already established ecological/biological research, and subsistence foods contaminant-monitoring programs are therefore needed.

Literature cited

- Aubail, A., Dietz, R., Rig  t, F., Simon-Bouhet, B. and Caurant, F. 2010. An evaluation of teeth of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) from Greenland as a matrix to monitor spatial and temporal trends of mercury and stable isotopes. *Science of the Total Environment* 408: 5137-5146.
- Carlens, H., Lydersen, C., Krafft, B.A. and Kovacs, K.M. 2006. Spring haul-out behaviour of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) in Kongsfjorden, Svalbard. *Marine Mammal Science* 22(2): 379-393.
- Chambellant, M., Stirling, I., Gough, W.A. and Ferguson, S.H. 2012a. Temporal variations in Hudson Bay ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) life-history parameters in relation to environment. *Journal of Mammalogy* 93: 267-281.
- Chambellant, M., Lunn, N.J. and Ferguson, S.H. 2012b. Temporal variation in distribution and density of ice-obligated seals in western Hudson Bay, Canada. *Polar Biology* 35(7): 1105-1117.
- Chambellant, M., Stirling, I. and Ferguson, S.H. 2013. Temporal variation in western Hudson Bay ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) diet in relation to environment. *Marine Ecology, Progress Series* 481: 269-287.
- Ferguson, S.H., Stirling, I. and McLoughlin, P. 2005. Climate change and ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) recruitment in Hudson Bay. *Marine Mammal Science* 21(1): 121-135.
- Ferguson, S.H., Loseto, L.L. and Mallory, M.L. (eds.) 2010. A little less Arctic: changes to top predators in the world's largest northern inland sea, Hudson Bay. Dordrecht: Springer. xvi, 308 pp.
- Ferguson, S.H., Berteaux, D., Gaston, A.J., Higdon, J.W., Lecomte, N., Lunn, N., Mallory, M.L., Reist, J., Russell, D., Yoccoz, N.G. and Zhu, X. 2012. Time series data for Canadian Arctic vertebrates: IPY contributions to science, management and policy. *Climatic Change* 115(1): 235-258.
- Ferreira, E.O., Loseto, L.L. and Ferguson, S.H. 2011. Assessment of growth-layer groups from ringed seal (*Pusa hispida*) as biomonitors of inter- and intra-annual Hg, d15N and d13C variation. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 89: 774-784.
- Freitas, C., Kovacs, K.M., Lydersen, C. and Ims, R.A. 2008a. A novel method for quantifying habitat selection and predicting habitat use. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 45: 1213-1220.
- Freitas, C., Kovacs, K.M., Ims, R.A. and Lydersen, C. 2008b. Predicting habitat use by ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) in a warming Arctic. *Ecological Modelling* 217: 19-32.
- Freitas, C., Kovacs, K.M., Ims, R.A., Fedak, M.A. and Lydersen, C. 2008c. Ringed seal post-moulting movement tactics and habitat selection. *Oecologia* 155: 193-204.
- Freitas, C., Lydersen, C., Fedak, M.A. and Kovacs, K.M. 2008d. A simple new algorithm to filter marine mammal Argos locations. *Marine Mammal Science*. 24: 315-325.
- Gilg, O., Kovacs, K.M., Aars, J., Fort, J., Gauthier, G., Gramillet, D., Ims, R.A., Meltote, H., Moreau, J., Post, E., Schmidt, N.M., Yannic, G. and Bollache, L. 2012. Climate change and the ecology and evolution of Arctic vertebrates. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1249: 166-190.
- Gjertz, I. and Lydersen, C. 1986a. Polar bear predation on ringed seals in the fast-ice of Hornsund, Svalbard. *Polar Research* 4: 65-68.
- Gjertz, I. and Lydersen, C. 1986b. The ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) spring diet in northwestern Spitsbergen, Svalbard. *Polar Research* 4: 53-56.
- Hammill, M.O., Lydersen, C., Ryg, M. and Smith T.G. 1991. Lactation in the ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 48: 2471-2476.
- Harwood, L.A., Smith, T.G. and Melling, H. 2000. Variation in reproduction and body condition of the ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) in western Prince Albert Sound, NT, Canada, as assessed through a harvest-based sampling program. *Arctic* 53(4): 422-431.
- Harwood, L.A., Smith, T.G., Melling, H., Alikamik, J. and Kingsley, M.C.S. 2012. Ringed seals and sea ice in Canada's western Arctic: harvest-based monitoring 1992-2011. *Arctic* 65 (4): 377-390.
- Hezel, P.J., Zhang, X., Bitz, C.M., Kelly, B.P. and Massonnet, F. 2012. Projected decline in spring snow depth on Arctic sea ice caused by progressively later autumn open ocean freeze-up this century. *Geophysical Research Letters* 39: L17505, DOI: 10.1029/2012GL052794.
- Holst, M., Stirling, I. and Calvert, W. 1999. Age structure and reproductive rates of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) on the Northwestern coast of Hudson Bay in 1991 and 1992. *Marine Mammal Science* 15: 1357-1364.
- Jensen, S.K., Aars, J., Lydersen, C., Kovacs, K.M. and  sbakk, K. 2010. The prevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* in polar bears and their marine mammal prey: evidence for a marine transmission pathway? *Polar Biology* 33: 599-606.
- Johansen, C.E., Lydersen, C., Aspholm, P., Haug, T. and Kovacs, K.M. 2010. Helminth parasites in ringed seals (*Pusa hispida*) from Svalbard, Norway, with special emphasis on nematodes: variation with age, sex and location of host. *Journal of Parasitology* 96(5): 946-953.
- Kanerva, M., Routti, H., Tamuz, Y., Nyman, M. and Nikinmaa, M. 2012. Antioxidative defense and oxidative stress in ringed seals (*Pusa hispida*) from differently polluted areas. *Aquatic Toxicology* 14: 67-72.
- Kelly, B.P., Ponce, M., Tallmon, D.A., Swanson, B.J. and Sell, S.K. 2009. Genetic diversity of ringed seals sampled at breeding sites - implications for population structure and sensitivity to sea ice loss (NPRB project 631 final report). Anchorage: North Pacific Research Board. 28 pp.
- Kelly, B.P., Badajos, O.H., Kunasranta, M., Moran, J.R., Martinez-Bakker, M., Wartzok, D. and Boveng, P. 2010a. Seasonal home ranges and fidelity to breeding sites among ringed seals. *Polar Biology* 33: 1095-1109.
- Kelly, B.P., Bengtson, J.L., Boveng, P.L., Cameron, M.F., Dahle, S.P., Jansen, J.K., Logerwell, E.A., Overland, J.E., Sabine, C.L., Waring, G.T. and Wilder, J.M. 2010b. Status review of the ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*). (NOAA Technical Memorandum, NMFS-AFSC-212). National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. xiv, 250 pp.
- Kovacs, K.M., Lydersen, C., Overland, J.E. and Moore, S.E. 2011. Impacts of changing sea-ice conditions on Arctic marine mammals. *Marine Biodiversity* 41: 181-194.
- Kovacs, K.M., Aguilar, A., Auriolles, D., Burkanov, V., Campagna, C., Gales, N., Gelatt, T., Goldsworthy, S., Goodman, S.J., Hofmeyr, G.J.G., H rk nen, T., Lowry, L., Lydersen, C., Schipper, J., Sipil , T., Southwell, C., Stuart, S., Thompson, D. and Trillmich, F. 2012. Global threats to pinnipeds. *Marine Mammal Science* 28: 414-536.
- Krafft, B.A., Lydersen, C. and Kovacs, K.M. 2006a. Serum haptoglobin concentrations in ringed seals (*Pusa hispida*) from Svalbard, Norway. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 42: 442-446.
- Krafft, B.A., Kovacs, K.M., Frie, A.K., Haug, T. and Lydersen, C. 2006b. Growth and population parameters of ringed seals (*Pusa hispida*) from Svalbard, Norway, 2002-2004. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 63: 1136-1144.
- Krafft, B.A., Kovacs, K.M., Ergon, T., Andersen, M., Aars, J., Haug, T. and Lydersen, C. 2006c. Abundance of ringed seals (*Pusa hispida*) in the fjords of Spitsbergen, Svalbard, during the peak molting period. *Marine Mammal Science* 22: 394-412.
- Krafft, B.A., Kovacs, K.M. and Lydersen, C. 2007. Distribution of sex and age groups of ringed seals (*Pusa hispida*) in the fast-ice breeding habitat of Kongsfjorden, Svalbard. *Marine Ecology, Progress Series* 335: 199-206.
- Labansen, A.L., Lydersen, C., Haug, T. and Kovacs, K.M. 2007. Spring diet of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) from northwestern Spitsbergen, Norway. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 64: 1246-1256.
- Labansen, A.L., Lydersen, C., Levermann, N., Haug, T. and Kovacs, K.M. 2011. Diet of ringed seals (*Pusa hispida*) from Northeast Greenland. *Polar Biology* 34: 227-234.
- Leclerc, L.M., Lydersen, C., Haug, T., Bachmann, L., Fisk, A.T. and Kovacs, K. M. 2012. A missing piece of the Arctic food web puzzle? stomach contents of Greenland sharks sampled in Svalbard, Norway. *Polar Biology* 35: 1197-1208.
- Lunn, N.J., Stirling, I. and Davis, C. 2000. Distribution and abundance of seals in western Hudson Bay: Annual progress report 2000. Canadian Wildlife Service.

- Lydersen, C. 1995. Energetics of pregnancy, lactation and neonatal development in ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*). Pp 319-327 in: Whales, seals, fish and man: proceedings of the International Symposium on the Biology of Marine Mammals in the North East Atlantic, Tromsø, Norway, 29.11.-1.12. 1994 / eds: Arnoldus Schytte Blix, Lars Walløe & Øyvind Ulltang. Amsterdam: Elsevier
- Lydersen, C. and Gjertz, I. 1986. Studies of the ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) in its breeding habitat in Kongsfjorden, Svalbard. *Polar Research* 4: 57-63.
- Lydersen, C. and Gjertz I. 1987. Population parameters of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) in the Svalbard area. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 65: 1021-1027.
- Lydersen, C. and Smith, T.G. 1989. Avian predation on ringed seal *Phoca hispida* pups. *Polar Biology* 9: 489-490.
- Lydersen, C., Jensen, P.M. and Lydersen, E. 1990. A survey of the Van Mijen fiord, Svalbard, as habitat for ringed seals, *Phoca hispida*. *Holarctic Ecology* 13(2): 130-133.
- Lydersen, C. and Ryg, M. 1991. Evaluating breeding habitat and estimating the size of a local population of ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) in Svalbard, Norway. *Polar Record* 27: 223-228.
- Lydersen, C., Hammill, M.O. and Ryg, M.S. 1992. Water flux and mass gain during lactation in free living ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) pups. *Journal of Zoology* 228: 361-369.
- Lydersen, C. and Hammill, M.O. 1993a. Activity, milk intake and energy consumption in free-living ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) pups. *Journal of Comparative Physiology. B: Biochemical, Systemic and Environmental Physiology* 163: 433-438.
- Lydersen, C. and Hammill, M.O. 1993b. Diving in ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) pups during the nursing period. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 71: 991-996.
- Lydersen, C. and Kovacs, K.M. 1999. Behaviour and energetics of ice-breeding, North Atlantic phocid seals during the lactation period. *Marine Ecology, Progress Series* 187: 265-281.
- Lydersen, C., Nøst, O.A., Kovacs, K.M. and Fedak, M.A. 2004. Temperature data from Norwegian and Russian waters of the northern Barents Sea collected by free-living ringed seals. *Journal of Marine Systems* 46: 99-108.
- Routti, H., Arukwe, A., Jenssen, B.M., Letcher, R.J., Nyman, M., Backman, C. and Gabrielsen, G.W. 2010. Comparative endocrine disruptive effects of contaminants in ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) from Svalbard and the Baltic Sea. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology. Part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology* 152(3): 306-312.
- Simpkins, M., Kovacs, K.M., Laidre, K. and Lowry, L. 2009. A framework for monitoring Arctic marine mammals: findings from a workshop sponsored by the U.S. Marine Mammal Commission and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Valencia, March 2007. Akureyri. (CAFF CBMP Report, 16). 13 pp.
- Smith, T.G. 1987. The ringed seal, (*Phoca hispida*), of the Canadian western Arctic. Ottawa: Dep. of Fisheries and Oceans. (Canadian bulletin of fisheries and aquatic sciences, 216). 81 pp.
- Smith, T.G. and Lydersen, C. 1991. Availability of suitable land-fast ice and predation as factors limiting ringed seal populations, *Phoca hispida*, in Svalbard. *Polar Research* 10: 585-594.
- Smith, T.G. and Harwood, L.A. 2001. Observations of neonate ringed seals, *Phoca hispida*, after early break-up of the sea ice in Prince Albert Sound, Northwest Territories, Canada, spring 1998. *Polar Biology* 24: 215-219.
- Stirling, I. 2005. Reproductive rates of ringed seals and survival of pups in northwestern Hudson Bay, Canada, 1991-2000. *Polar Biology* 28: 381-387.
- Stirling, I., Lunn, N.J. and Lacoza, J. 1999. Long-term trends in the population ecology of polar bears in western Hudson Bay in relation to climatic change. *Arctic* 52: 294-306.
- Vorkamp, K., Riget, F., Bossi, R. and Dietz, R. 2011. Temporal trends of hexabromocyclododecane, polybrominated diphenyl ethers and polychlorinated biphenyls in ringed seals from East Greenland. *Environmental Science & Technology* 45(4): 1243-1249.
- Wolkers, H., Bavel, B. van, Derocher, A.E., Wiig, Ø., Kovacs, K.M., Lydersen, C. and Lindström, G. 2004. Congener-specific accumulation and food chain transfer of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in two Arctic food chains. *Environmental Science & Technology* 38(6): 1667-1674.
- Wolkers, H., Krafft, B.A., Bavel, B. van, Helgason, L.B., Lydersen, C. and Kovacs, K.M. 2008. Biomarker responses and decreasing contaminant levels in ringed seals (*Pusa hispida*) from Svalbard, Norway. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health. A: Current Issues* 71: 1009-1018.
- Young, B.G., Loseto, L.L. and Ferguson, S.H. 2010. Diet differences among age classes of Arctic seals: evidence from stable isotope and mercury biomarkers. *Polar Biology* 33: 153-162.
- Young, B.G. and Ferguson, S.H. 2013. Seasons of the ringed seal: pelagic open water hyperphagy, benthic feeding over winter and spring fasting during molt. *Wildlife Research* 40(1): 52-60
- Yurkowski, D.J., Chambellant, M. and Ferguson, S.H. 2011. Bacular and testicular growth and allometry in the aquatic-mating ringed seal (*Pusa hispida*): evidence of polygyny? *Journal of Mammalogy* 92: 803-810.

Prioritised list of parameters for circumpolar ringed seal monitoring

The CAFF ringed seal network's prioritized list of parameters is listed below. Bold text indicates essential data/collections across all areas, while all listed items are desirable from as many areas as possible.

Primary Level (essential sampling)

1. Harvest/Scientific sampling

Seasonally specific sampling – breeding areas (stable fast-ice) & early fall – mixed sample (broken ice, water)

Actual sampling: sample sizes for regional programmes 50–100

Descriptive information

- Site (GPS)
- Date
- Sex
- Hunter
- Environmental conditions – ice, open water etc.

Diseased seals – collect whole individual when possible

Standard measurements – total mass, total length, axillary girth, blubber depth (sternal, dorsal) If possible – sculp mass

Tissue collections

- Reproductive tracts:
 - Testes
 - Ovaries & uterus
- Lower jaw (canines)
- Frozen samples – “snickers sized” pieces of:
 - Blubber (skin, blubber and muscle)
 - Liver
 - Muscle
 - Gall bladder (amber vial)
- Jaw/teeth for aging**

Blood – whole, serum, plasma, blood smears, filter strips

- Lungs & heart
- Right front flipper
- One side of the facial whisker mask
- Stomach and intestines

Diet (samples above)

- Classical GIT
- FA (prey library.....)
- Stable isotopes

Health

- Parasites (GIT)
- Lung & heart parasites
- Blood – serum & plasma
- Bile acid

Additional sampling required for complete health assessment – intermittent intensive sampling in our broader monitoring programmes.

Genetics

- stock ID(s)- site fidelity
- metapopulation structure
- genome-toxicity

2. Abundance

Instrument based – thermal & digital photo strips

Modest, regular effort – regional based, including newly exposed habitat areas (old multiyear ice regions)
Pack-ice seals (e.g. Baffin Bay, Barents Sea. Biological knowledge of pack-ice ringed seals lacking – lactation duration, genetic isolation/ relation to near-by coastal stocks, morphological differences?)

Genetic mark recapture – index

Photo ID

3. Distribution

Tracking

Flipper spot tags (haul-out data for abundance)

Conventional tracking

4. Habitat

Physical habitat monitoring (sea ice & snow)

- Recording standardized measures of snow depth, snow accumulation & ice-ridging in ringed seal breeding habitat
- Remote sensing with regard to ringed seal-specific issues
 - Freeze-up times
 - Melt dates?

5. Hunt statistics

Hunting documentation (levels) – historical trends (& influence on current trends)

Secondary Level (desirable sampling)

Contaminants

AMAP standard ‘package’

Important explanatory variables

- Predator abundance – foxes, bears, people
- Polar bear shifts in distribution
- TEK
- Global sea ice extent, volume

Appendix I

Originally prepared for the Marine Mammal Commission
of the United States of America – Ringed Seal Workshop,
Valencia 2008

Background Document for Development of a Circumpolar Ringed Seal (*Pusa hispida*)

Monitoring Plan

Prepared by Kit M. Kovacs



Photo: Kit M. Kovacs & Christian Lydersen, NPI

Table of Contents

I. Ecology of the species

A. Brief review of biology and natural history

1. Basic biology	23
2. General distribution and abundance patterns	23
3. Reproductive biology	24
4. Movements/migration	25
5. Seasonal/regional variation	26
6. Foraging	26
7. Competition for prey	27
8. Predators	27
9. Human harvests	28
10. Health (disease, parasites, contaminants)	28

B. Climate change in the Arctic and its impacts on ringed seals

1. Physical conditions	30
2. Direct impacts of climate change on ringed seals	31
3. Indirect effects of climate change on ringed seals	31
i) Changes at lower trophic levels	31
ii) Competition from temperate species expansions northward	31
iii) Increased predation rates	32
iv) Increased risk, disease, parasites, contaminants and human activities	32

II. Developing an International Ringed Seal Monitoring Program

1. Reason for selection of ringed seals	32
2. Current Status of Ringed Seal Monitoring in the Arctic	32
3. Candidates for parameters to monitor	33
i) Ringed seal parameters	33
ii) Affiliated biological parameters	33
iii) Desired physical/environmental parameters	33
iv) Human activities	33
4. Issues to be discussed at the workshop with regard to parameters	33
5. Possible additional issues to develop or discuss at the workshop	33

References	34
------------	----

I. Ecology of the species

A. Brief review of biology and natural history

1. Basic biology

Ringed seals are in many respects the 'classic' arctic ice-seal. This species uses ice exclusively as its breeding, molting, and resting (haul-out) habitat, rarely if ever coming onto land. Their unique ability to create and maintain breathing holes in sea ice using the well-developed claws on their fore-flippers allows them to thrive in areas where even other ice-associated seals cannot reside (Fig. 1). Although ringed seals are quite small they deal with the thermal challenges posed by the arctic winter by having a very thick blubber layer, and by building lairs (small caves) in the snow on top of sea ice during the winter, that are particularly important for neonatal survival (Fig. 2). Each seal builds several lairs so that they can escape if a predator attacks one of their structures; ringed seals have co-evolved with their principle predator, the polar bear (*Ursus maritimus*) over the last tens of thousands of years.



Figure 1
Breathing holes of ringed seals a) a haul-out hole currently being used only for breathing (total hole diameter ~60 cm) b) a ringed seal breathing hole (approximately 10 cm in length) along a natural crack in the land-fast ice. Photo: Kit M. Kovacs & Christian Lydersen, NPI

Ringed seals are a phocid seal (Family Phocidae, Subfamily Phocinae) that is in the Tribe Phocini. They are alternatively classified in the genus *Phoca* or the genus *Pusa* (see Rice 1998), with recent genetic evidence leaning towards the former classification (see Davis et al. 2004). Based on distribution and morphometry, the species has been divided into several subspecies. *P. h. hispida* inhabits all of the circumpolar Arctic Ocean (Frost and Lowry 1981) and is the subspecies that will be the primary focus of this brief review. No intensive research related to stock or popu-

lation identity has been conducted within the huge distributional area for this sub-species, but it is assumed that such units might well exist.

Ringed seals are usually silver-grey in color. Their bellies are light, while their backs are darker and bear a conspicuous pattern of small rings that gives them their common name, though some individuals can be quite brown-black and have few discernable rings. There is little sexual dimorphism within the sub-species *P. h. hispida*, and adult animals normally reach lengths between 1.1-1.6 m and weights of 50-100 kg (Smith 1987, McLaren 1993) with averages being around 130 cm and 66 kg. Like all of the northern seals, their body mass varies markedly on a seasonal basis. Ringed seals are fattest in the autumn and thinnest in the late spring/early summer following the breeding period and the annual molt. Breeding males are easily discernable in the spring because of an oily secretion from glands in the facial region, which causes their faces to appear much darker than those of females or juvenile seals (Hardy et al. 1991, Ryg et al. 1992). At other times of the year the sexes are difficult to distinguish. At birth pups are about 60 cm in length and weigh about 4.5 kg. They have a white fuzzy lanugo coat that is shed when they are about two months old. Their juvenile fur is silver on the belly and dark grey on the back – animals with this coat are called silver-jars. They acquire rings on their pelage gradually with age. Ringed seals are long lived, with a life span of up to 45 years of age (Lydersen and Gjertz 1987), although 25-30 is probably a more normal longevity (e.g. Lydersen and Gjertz 1987, Krafft et al. 2006a).

2. General distribution and abundance patterns of arctic ringed seals

Ringed seals (*P. h. hispida*) inhabit the Arctic Ocean and adjacent waters such as the Bering Sea, Sea of Okhotsk, Barents Sea, White Sea, and Kara Sea and extend into some lake and river systems in Northern Canada (see Heide-Jørgensen and Lydersen 1989). Extralimital records for ringed seals extend south on both sides of the Atlantic, to Sable Island in the west and Portugal in the east (Bree 1996, Lucas and McAlpine 2002) and Bree (1997) suggests that this species' occurrence is sufficiently frequent on the European coast that it should be considered a regular visitor. Ringed seals are the most abundant high arctic seal and although no accurate global estimate is available, the species is thought to number at least a few million animals (Reeves 1998). Ringed seals occur throughout the Arctic, north to the pole. They are the only northern seal that can maintain breathing holes in thick sea ice and this special ability allows them to have an extensive distribution in the Arctic and sub-Arctic.

Ringed seals occupy fast-ice areas in the late fall/early winter in preparation for early spring breeding (Smith and Stirling 1975, 1978, Smith and Hammill 1981, Smith and Lydersen 1991). Their principal pupping habitat is land-fast ice (McLaren 1958, Lukin and Potelov 1978). But, they are also known to breed in at least three regions on free-floating pack ice, in the Okhotsk Sea, the Barents Sea and Davis Strait (Fedoseev and Yablokov 1964, Fedoseev 1975, Finley et al. 1983, Wiig et al. 1999). During the fast-ice season ringed seals show preferences for areas with stable ice, over medium-depths (10-35 m) of water (e.g. Smith and Lydersen 1991, Lunn et al. 1997, Moulton et al. 2002, 2005, Simpkins et al. 2003). Significant snow cover is required for lair construction; these structures are usually constructed in snow drifts that form downwind of ice ridging or behind glacier ice pieces frozen into annual ice (Lydersen and Gjertz 1986, Smith 1987, Hammill and Smith 1989, Furgal et al. 1996). Prime habitat is occupied by breeding adults, while reproductively inactive animals including immature individuals are usually found in peripheral areas (Stirling et al. 1981, Krafft et al. 2007).

In the summer and fall, when land-fast ice is not available, ringed seals show considerable diversity in their distribution patterns. Some animals remain in the general vicinity of their breeding sites while others disperse along coastlines where ice from glaciers is available. Some spend time pelagically, and yet others move north to the southern edge of the permanent ice (Heide-Jørgensen et al. 1992, Teilmann et al. 1999, Gjertz et al. 2000, Born et al. 2002, Freitas et al. 2008, also see –

movement patterns below). During the summer and early fall ringed seals seem to maintain their preference for annual ice (as opposed to multiyear ice), and are usually found at highest densities over shallow-mid-depths (100-200 m) of water (Smith and Hammill 1980a,b, Stirling et al. 1982, Kingsley et al. 1985). Despite the variable patterns of space use, ringed seals consistently are more mobile and have larger 'home ranges' during the open water season (e.g. Born et al. 2004; see below for more details in Section 4 - Movements). In a detailed study of space use during the post-molting period, ringed seals on the east coast of Svalbard showed two distinct distribution patterns during the open-water season, that were independent of sex and maturity status of the seals (Freitas et al. 2008). Approximately half of the individuals moved northward to offshore areas containing 40-80% ice coverage (maximum preference), while others spread along the coasts of Svalbard, concentrating their time near glacier fronts. Both strategies lead ringed seals to highly productive areas where they had access to ice-platforms for resting. When offshore, sea ice concentration and season (time in the year) were the variables that influenced habitat selection most markedly. Late in the autumn, increased probabilities of leaving an area were identified for the offshore seals, even when ice conditions were still favorable, which reflects their need to return to over-wintering/breeding areas before the fjords of the archipelago become frozen. The seals that traveled offshore returned to the coast of Svalbard during August-November. For ringed seals that remained inshore, habitat selection was influenced mainly by distance to glacier fronts and season. These animals were already close to their overwintering habitat and hence their probabilities of leaving a given area decreased significantly as winter approached.

Abundance of ringed seals has been estimated using a variety of methods including aerial surveys of various types, surveys of holes and lairs using trained dogs, remote sensing of ice conditions, ship-based surveys, inferences from the size of resident polar bear populations, as well as extrapolations from pup production estimates (e.g. Mansfield 1970, Digby 1984, Kingsley et al. 1990, Smith and Lydersen 1991, Stirling and Øristsland 1995, Lunn et al. 1997). However, the method of choice in recent years for relatively large areas is clearly aerial surveys (Moulton et al. 2002, 2005, Frost et al. 2004, Bengtson et al. 2005, Krafft et al. 2006b). Surveys must be timed to coincide with peak haul-out season which occurs just prior to the ice break-up (that for most regions occurs in the first week of June), and ideally flying should be done around mid-day in clear, relatively warm, calm weather (see Burns and Harbo 1972, Smith and Hammill 1981, Born et al. 2002, Carlens et al. 2006). However, correction factors are still required to account for the number of animals that are not visible to be counted at the time of the survey because they are either in the water, or in some cases still in their snow lairs. A variety of methods have been employed to attempt to take counts to population estimates; these are reviewed in Reeves (1998). More recently Bengtson et al. (2005) and Krafft et al. (2006b) have performed flying surveys with correction factors modeled upon data from haul-out behavior of ringed seals under different environmental conditions, times, etc. However, it is clear from intensive, hourly counts performed simultaneously with VHF studies done in compliment to flying surveys that calibration issues remain (Carlens et al. 2006, Krafft et al. 2006a), and that most if not all abundance surveys to date should probably be considered indexes of abundance. Use of digital photographic methods during surveys flown at quite high altitudes reduces many sources of error inherent in visual surveys and, given that it is quite cost-effective, it is likely to be the method of choice in the future for ringed seal surveys (see Heide-Jørgensen 2004, Krafft et al. 2006b).

Average densities of ringed seals hauled out on the ice during surveys are quite variable, even within areas known to be good ringed seal habitat where aggregations are known to occur (e.g. Stirling et al. 1977, Lunn et al. 1997, also see Reeves 1998 for a review), but generally averages within individual studies of "good" ringed seal areas fall between 1-2 seals km⁻² (Eastern Baffin Island, 1.7 seals per km⁻², Finley et al. (1983); Kong Oscars Fjord Greenland, 1.0 seals per km⁻² Born et al. (1998); Scoresby Sund, 2.0 seals per km⁻² Born et al. (1998); 1.62 /- 1.91 seals km⁻², in the eastern Chukchi Sea, Bengtson et al. 2005; Svalbard fjords, 1.4 seals km⁻², Krafft et al. 2006b). Late summer surveys in the southeastern Beaufort

Sea where aggregations of ringed seals were known to occur produced densities of 0.08 – 0.42 overall with 1.21-3.26 seals km⁻² within the immediate areas of aggregations (Harwood and Stirling 1992).

Lair surveys have also been used to produce crude estimates of the numbers of ringed seals occupying areas, or to produce pup production estimates (number of birthing lairs), that are then extrapolated to population sizes. For these sorts of surveys trained dogs are often used to find the sites of lairs and it is assumed that each seal has several lairs (e.g. Smith and Stirling 1975, 1978, Lydersen et al. 1990, Lydersen and Ryg 1991). This method is labor intensive, but it is the only direct means to determine pup productivity given that pups are not readily visible for other types of surveying (see reproduction below). Vocalization rates have been explored as a means of assessing the suitability of pupping habitats, but results have been ambiguous (Calvert and Stirling 1985). Production can of course be crudely estimated with a time-lag from back-calculating production from age-structure if age-specific mortality and overall abundance are known with some precision.

3. Reproductive biology

The preferred breeding habitat of ringed seals is stable land-fast ice, where ringed seal densities are usually higher inshore than offshore prior to and during peak breeding (e.g. Smith and Stirling 1975, Lydersen and Gjertz 1986, see above for exceptions). Ringed seals of both sexes build subnivean lairs (Fig. 2) excavated out of snow drifts that occasionally form on top of breathing holes in the ice; seals use these lairs to haul out and rest during the inclement winter months (e.g. Smith and Stirling 1975, 1978), and females give birth in these small snow caves in the early spring (March/April).




Figure 2
Ringed seal pupping lair, with the pup in the lair and the female approaching the haul-out hole from the water (From Gjertz and Lydersen 1983). Pups excavate the side tunnels.

Individual lairs are often part of a complex of lairs and breathing holes used by the same animal in an area; the distance between lairs or breathing holes with a complex can be up to several hundred meters (Smith and Hammill 1981, Lydersen and Gjertz 1986, Kelly and Quakenbush 1990). The sizes of the areas females occupy are probably determined to some degree by the availability of structures in the ice around which snow accumulates for lair construction, and by the density of available prey organisms in the area (Smith et al. 1991, Smith and Lydersen 1991, Lydersen 1995). Lairs provide protection from harsh environmental conditions and predation (Smith 1976, 1980, Smith and Stirling 1975, Lydersen and Gjertz 1986, Lydersen and Smith 1989, Smith et al. 1991, Furgal et al. 1996). A female will move a young pup between lairs within her complex if one lair is attacked by polar bears (*Ursus maritimus*) or arctic foxes (*Alopex lagopus*); older pups are able to shift between structures independently (Lydersen and Hammill 1993a).

Breeding males also use several lairs, spread through an area that contains the lairs of several females (Kelly and Quakenbush 1990). This fact in addition to sex ratios and general spatial patterns of adult males and females observed in prime breeding areas, and wounds seen on males in the spring suggests at least a low level of polygyny in this species with territorial fighting among males (Stirling 1983, Smith and Hammill 1981, Krafft et al. 2007). During the breeding period, adult ringed seal males secrete a very strong-smelling oily substance produced by facial sebaceous glands (Ryg et al. 1992). The function of this “tiggak” secretion is thought to be related to marking of territories (Ognev 1962, Capskii 1940, Smith 1987), and the most logical places to mark would be the breathing holes or lairs, since the seals are unlikely to be able to smell under water where mating is thought to occur. Based on direct observations of haul-out behavior of a few recognizable individual ringed seals, Smith and Hammill (1981) suggested that breeding units were made up of several adult females that occupied an area defended by a territorial male. Sex ratios, spacing patterns and movements of individuals under the ice all support the concept that male ringed seals (at least) are territorial (Hammill and Smith 1991, Kelly and Quakenbush 1990, Kelly and Wartzok 1996). A detailed study of the spatial relationships among adults during the breeding period also supports this suggestion and found that adult males with the most adult female neighbors were not necessarily the largest individuals, but were significantly older than those with few female neighbors (Krafft et al. 2007). This suggests that experience plays a role in achieving reproductive success for male ringed seals.

Ringed seal neonates weigh 4-5 kg (Lydersen et al. 1992) when they are born; at this time they bear a white lanugo coat that insulates well enough to keep the pups thermoneutral without the shelter of the lair as long as the lanugo stays dry (Smith et al. 1991). However, wet pups require the shelter of lairs in order to regain thermoneutrality (Smith et al. 1991). In addition, pups born on the surface of the ice in years with poor snow conditions suffer very high mortality rates (Lydersen and Smith 1989). Thus, both the physical and thermal protection provided by these lairs is particularly important for the survival of young pups. Ringed seals have the longest nursing period of the ice-breeding northern phocids with an average lactation duration of 39 days (Hammill et al. 1991). The pups are able to swim and dive shortly after birth and they develop foraging skills prior to weaning (Lydersen and Hammill 1993a). During the nursing period pups gain around 375 g per day (Lydersen et al. 1992). Weaning is less abrupt than for most phocid pups, which are abandoned by their mothers before they have entered the water for the first time (Bowen 1991, Lydersen and Kovacs 1999). Many of the larger phocid species are so-called ‘capital breeders’, which means that they cover the energetic costs of lactation entirely from stored energy reserves. This is not the case for ringed seals, which rely heavily on energy obtained from foraging during lactation (Lydersen and Hammill 1993b, Lydersen 1995, Lydersen and Kovacs 1999). Pups are weaned when they weigh approximately 20 kg and although this is quite small for an arctic mammal, they are already quite capable swimmers and divers (Lydersen and Kovacs 1999).

Information on growth and reproduction has been collected for ringed seals from many areas (see McLaren 1993, Holst and Stirling 2002 for reviews). Both males and females generally reach sexual maturity around the age of 4-7 years, with some variation between different geographic areas and over time (McLaren 1958, Nazarenko 1965, Johnson et al. 1966, Smith 1973, 1987, Fedoseev 1975, Frost and Lowry 1981, Lydersen and Gjertz 1987, Holst and Stirling 2002). In most studies females show a tendency to achieve sexual maturity earlier than males and they undoubtedly breed successfully at earlier ages than most males. Reported



Ringed seal breeding habitat. Photo: Kit M. Kovacs & Christian Lydersen, NPI

mean age at sexual maturity (MAM) for ringed seals females varies in the literature from 3.5 – 7.1 years (Holst and Stirling 2002, Krafft et al. 2006a). Reproductive rates of female ringed seals usually vary between 0.45-0.86 (see Reeves, 1998), with extremes as high as .91 pups per year (Lydersen and Gjertz 1987). Regional production rates are variable; reproductive success depends on many factors including prey availability, the relative stability of the ice, sufficient snow accumulation prior to the commencement of breeding etc. (e.g. Lukin 1980, Kelly 1988, Smith 1987, Lydersen 1995).

Growth rates and population parameters are not static characteristics of populations. For example, MAM is known to be a flexible characteristic of populations that can change rapidly. Generally, variations in MAM for pinnipeds over time are explained by factors causing changes in food availability, either via overall changes in ecosystem productivity or via density-dependent responses of a variety of types, including intra- and inter-specific competition, predation and harvest levels, and physical changes to the environment that impact productivity (Laws 1953, Carrick et al. 1962; Eberhardt and Siniff 1977, Bengtson and Siniff 1981, Bowen et al. 1981, Capstick and Ronald 1982, Lydersen and Gjertz 1987, Kjellquist et al. 1995, Sjare et al. 1996). In the extreme, female ringed seals up to age 9 that had never been pregnant were reported from the Amundsen Gulf, NWT in the mid to late 1980s when food was scarce in this area and animals were in poor condition (Kingsley and Byers 1998). Time series that enable studies of trends in population parameters are therefore important for understanding the dynamics of the ecosystem a given population inhabits.

4. Movements/migrations

Small scale movement patterns by ringed seals have been studied using radio telemetry or acoustic tracking under water during the fast-ice season. Such studies have provided information regarding how ringed seals locate their holes during the arctic winter, what sorts of search patterns and diving behaviors are employed during prey searches within their home ranges, as well as basic time-budget information and space use patterns (Kelly and Quakenbush 1990, Lydersen 1991, Lydersen and Hammill 1993a, Lydersen et al. 1993, Wartzok et al. 1992, Kelly and Wartzok 1996, Simpkins et al. 2001a,b,c). Each ringed seal uses several haul-out lairs and additional breathing holes within its home range and travels between them quite regularly. A seal's lairs are usually only a few hundred meters apart, but a record 3, 438 m between haul-out lairs has

been recorded for an individual radio-tagged seal (Kelly and Quakenbush 1990). Male's lairs are spread over greater distances on average than those of females. The use of individual lairs appears to be quite exclusive, although seals do occasionally use lairs that are primarily used by another seal. During the reproductive season females spend more time on average out of the water than males and both sexes shift from hauling out during the night in early spring to hauling out during day-time hours in late spring, with more time spent on the surface during the latter period (Kelly and Quakenbush 1990, Carlens et al. 2006)

It is not known whether ringed seals show fidelity to natal sites, or if they are faithful to breeding sites as adults. In some areas, very predictable ice stability, sufficient snow to construct lairs, and good food availability create prime habitat for ringed seals that is used over long periods of time, and there are a few mark-recapture events that support the concept of site fidelity by adult animals. Both adult males and females have been marked and then recaptured in the next year or after several years, some observed only a few 100 m from where they were marked in Kongsfjorden, Svalbard (Lydersen and Kovacs, unpublished data). Small amounts of other data also suggest both intra-annual and inter-annual site fidelity for breeding ringed seals in other studies (see McLaren 1958, Smith and Hammill 1981, Kelly and Quakenbush 1990). However, there are also reports that strongly suggest that there is considerable mobility among ringed seals in some areas. For example, it appears that harvests of ringed seals by humans or polar bears well above levels that could be supported by a local population are sustained in some locales for long periods of time via recolonization from the broader range of the population (Smith 1973, Hammill and Smith 1991).

Information regarding seasonal and inter-annual movement patterns of ringed seals is somewhat limited. There are references in the literature to ringed seal migrations including an annual migration that apparently takes place through Bering Strait as the seals follow the receding pack-ice northward in spring, then southward again just ahead of the advancing sea ice in autumn (Kelly 1988). Stirling et al. (1982) refer to 'large and predictable' annual westward migrations by sub-adult ringed seals along the north coast of Alaska during late summer and autumn, and some movement of individual seals from the eastern Beaufort Sea westward to the Chukotka Peninsula has been demonstrated by tagging and recapture (Smith 1987). Additionally, variable densities of ringed seals seem to follow seasonal patterns in some areas. For example, near Baffin Bay ringed seals seem to leave some coastal areas in summer, while in other, generally more northerly areas, large influxes of ringed seals occur during the open water period, suggesting substantial migrations, either north-south or inshore-offshore (Miller et al. 1982).

Traditional tagging studies have shown that ringed seals can travel significant distances (e.g. 1,400 km - Knutsen and Born 1989, >1000 km Kapel et al. 1998); the record distance recorded for this species was a ringed seal tagged by Kelly and Wartzok (1996) in Resolute in the Canadian High Arctic in the spring moving to Narsalik SW Greenland by the following March (2,272 km; Kapel et al. 1998). The record round trip by a ringed seal must go to the juvenile that swam to France, where it was rehabilitated, tagged and released, prior to its return to the Arctic, where it was recovered from the stomach of a Greenland shark on the coast of Iceland (Ridoux et al. 1998). But, the number of ringed seals tagged and recovered is quite small throughout their geographic distribution and most tags are recovered within 100 km of the tagging site.

The development of satellite tags in recent years has expanded our knowledge of movement patterns of many marine mammal species including ringed seals. However, the number of tags deployed in any given study is usually quite small, and large-scale, inter-annual, or geographic patterns are as yet far from clear. The one consistent pattern among satellite tracking studies of this species to date seems to be that individuals tagged at any one location display variable dispersal patterns. Some individuals remain local throughout the open-water season, traveling along coastlines, while others move offshore or northward to the permanent pack-ice (Heide-Jørgensen et al. 1992, Gjertz et al. 2000, Teilmann et al. 1999, Born et al. 2004). It is also clear that distances traveled are greater

during the open water season compared to the months when ringed seals occupy fast-ice areas. During a study that deployed a relatively large number of tags (N= 23) in Svalbard over a three year period, animals tagged on the east coast remained on that side of Svalbard, with approximately half of the animals remaining coastal during the late summer and fall, while the other half all went NE to the nearest edge of the northern pack-ice (Freitas et al. 2008). Offshore trips started between 22 July and 15 September, and lasted between 18 and 90 days. The trips reached maximum distances of 231 to 593 km away from the tagging location. The directions of these trips were not random, all animals traveled in a NE direction (at angles between 19° - 84° from the tagging location). The offshore trips always started in an ice-free area and had their northernmost locations in areas with ice concentrations between 40-80%. Twenty one of the 23 animals returned to the general area of tagging the following over-wintering period, while two individuals moved over to the west coast as the fast-ice season approached.

5. Seasonal/regional variation

Although a significant number of publications involving ringed seals have been produced in the scientific literature (see Appendix I), time-series are virtually non-existent for even very basic population parameters for ringed seals, such as production rates, abundance trends, mortality rates etc., for most regions in the Arctic. This makes it difficult to separate inter-annual variation from regional or seasonal variation. It is clear that inter-annual is naturally high with respect to condition of the animals and reproductive success, with both varying markedly from year to year, in response to physical and biotic conditions that animals experience in a given year in a given area. Natural patterns of seasonal variation in all arctic seals, including ringed seals are also high with respect to body condition, with fattening and thinning periods accompanying different biological 'seasons'. Some seasonal patterns can be pieced together (e.g. see diet below), but even these analyses involve a range of locations, a variety of years etc. A general paucity of recent, accurate abundance data means that even quite dramatic changes in population trends will be very difficult to assess in a meaningful way in the near future because of the lack of comparative data and statistical power.

6. Foraging

Many studies of ringed seal diet have been conducted across the species' range, and, although this seal feeds on a variety of fishes and invertebrates, several strong tendencies with respect to prey selection are found among ringed seals across the Arctic (McLaren 1958, Johnson et al. 1966, Lowry et al. 1980, Popov 1982, Gjertz and Lydersen 1986a, Smith 1987, Lydersen et al. 1989, Weslawski et al. 1994, Belikov and Boltunov 1998, Siegstad et al. 1998, Wathne et al. 2000, Holst et al. 2001, Labansen et al. 2007). Most ringed seal prey is small, and preferred prey tends to be schooling species that form dense aggregations. Fishes are usually in the 5-10 cm range and crustacean prey in the 2-6 cm range. Typically, a variety of 10-15 prey species are found with no more than 2 - 4 dominant prey species for any given area. Fishes are generally preferred over invertebrate prey, but diet is regionally determined to some extent by availability of various types of prey during particular seasons as well as preference, which in part is guided by energy content of various available prey (Reeves 1998, Wathne et al. 2000). Prey availability is dictated to some extent by oceanographic conditions, such as water depth, which water mass dominates a specific area, and general productivity level.

Spatial and temporal variations are apparent in the diet of ringed seals, but gadid fishes tend to dominate the diet of ringed seals at least from late autumn through the spring in many areas. Polar cod1 (*Boreogadus saida*) is often reported to be the most important prey species for ringed seals (McLaren 1958, Lowry et al. 1980, Gjertz and Lydersen 1986a, Smith 1987, Belikov and Boltunov 1998, Lydersen et al. 1989, Welch et al. 1993, Weslawski et al. 1994, Siegstad et al. 1998, Holst et al. 2001, Labansen et al. 2007). This small fish occurs in both ice-free and ice-covered waters and especially at ice edges (Ponomarenko 1968). Young polar cod (≤ 2 yrs) are often found tightly associated with sea ice, living under and even in spaces within sea ice, while larger polar cod tend to reside in deeper, more offshore waters (Falk-Petersen et al. 1986). It is an im-

portant prey species not only for ringed seals but also for a wide range of other marine mammals, sea birds, and fishes in arctic waters (Bradstreet and Cross 1982, Haug and Gulliksen 1982, Gabrielsen and Lønne 1992, Hjelset et al. 1999, Andersen et al. 2004). In Svalbard and the broader Barents Sea region, ringed seals consume a high fraction of young polar cod which suggests that at least in this area ringed seals forage primarily in the upper parts of the water column or in association with ice (Gjertz and Lydersen 1986a, Gjertz et al. 2000, Wathne et al. 2000, Labansen et al. 2007 – also see oceanography and prey below). The seasonal prevalence of polar cod in the diet during winter in many areas also suggests that this prey species is targeted by ringed seals in ice-covered waters (e.g. Lowry et al. 1980). Ringed seals also eat a variety of other members of the cod family, including arctic cod (*Arctogadus glacialis*; Holst et al. 2001), and saffron cod, with the latter being particularly important during the summer months in Alaskan waters (*Eleginus navaga*; Lowry et al. 1980). Redfish (*Sebastes* spp. (Weslawski et al. 1994, Siegstad et al. 1998), capelin (*Mallotus villosus*; Siegstad et al. 1998), and herring (*Clupea harengus*; Popov 1982) are also important in the diet of ringed seals in some regions. Greenland halibut (*Reinhardtius hippoglossoides*), Liparis sp. and a variety of other fish species also contribute to the diet of ringed seals, but represent small amounts of the total diet (e.g. Siegstad et al. 1998, Labansen et al. 2007). Some studies also suggest sex-related differences in prey composition for ringed seals (e.g. Holst et al. 2001).

Invertebrate prey seems to become more important to ringed seals in most areas during the open-water season in areas where sampling across seasons has been performed and is often found to dominate the diet of young animals (Lowry et al. 1980, Bradstreet and Cross 1982, Weslawski et al. 1994, Siegstad et al. 1998, Holst et al. 2001). Large amphipods (e.g. *Themisto libellula*), 'krill' (e.g. *Thysanoessa inermis*) mysids (e.g. *Mysis oculata*), shrimps (e.g. *Pandalus* spp., *Eualus* spp., *Lebbeus polaris*, *Crangon septemspinosa*) and cephalopods (e.g. *Gonatus* spp.) are all eaten by ringed seals and can be very important in some regions at least seasonally.

Identification of pinniped prey by recovered hard parts from fecal or gastrointestinal tract (GIT) sampling are the most commonly used method for studying diet in pinnipeds, and otoliths in particular are an important means to analyze diet (e.g. Härkönen 1986). However, these types of analyses have a number of inherent potential biases that must be kept in mind including: partial or complete digestion of otoliths of some species; a larger possibility of identifying species with otoliths with distinctive morphological characteristics or larger size; and the fact that fish heads of larger fish may not always be ingested (see Pierce and Boyle 1991, Browne et al. 2002). Otolith digestion can also be affected by prey species and size, meal size and composition, and predator behavior (Marcus et al. 1998, Bowen 2000, Christiansen et al. 2005). Species with fragile otoliths (e.g. clupeids, salmonids (e.g. *Salvelinus alpinus*), pleuronectids (dabs, flounders)) can be underestimated. A recent study makes the point strongly that it is important to sample whole GITs when studying pinniped diets (Labansen et al. 2007) because stomach clearance rates are fast in pinnipeds (e.g. Murie and Lavigne 1986), and even some hours after feeding most hard-parts are recovered from the intestines (Labansen et al. 2007). Stable isotopes and fatty acid analyses have also been used to augment conventional dietary studies in recent years (see Hobson et al. 1997, Iverson et al. 2004, Dehn et al. 2007).

Ringed seals go through a marked annual cycle in weight that is related to their activity and food intake levels (Ryg et al. 1990 a, b, Ryg and Øritsland 1991). During the spring (April, May and into June) all age and sex groups (except pups of the year) are in a period of negative energy balance (e.g. Ryg et al. 1990 a, b). Most adult female ringed seals give birth during late March or early April (e.g. Lydersen 1995) and then go through an energetically demanding lactation period (Hammill et al. 1991, Lydersen and Hammill 1993). During this period the adult males are also in a negative energy balance (Ryg and Øritsland 1991); they are busy defending underwater territories (see above – reproductive section) and interacting with the adult females as they become available for copulation. Following the breeding season all animals one year of age and older go through their annual molt; during this time their energy intake

is low (Ryg et al. 1990 a, b). Being in negative energy balance implies that the seals are relying on energy stored in their blubber layer (Ryg and Øritsland, 1991), but these stores are not sufficient in this small pinniped species to cover all of the energetic costs of this part of the ringed seals annual cycle, so they do continue to feed at some level during the reproductive and molting periods (e.g. Ryg and Øritsland 1991, Lydersen 1995, Lydersen and Kovacs 1999). Most of the annual 'fattening' of ringed seals takes place during the late summer, fall and winter.

1 Please note that the common names for polar cod and arctic cod are not internationally consistent. In Europe, and in this document, polar cod refers to *B. saida* and arctic cod to *A. glacialis*. But in the United States these common names are reversed.

7. Competition for prey

Dietary overlaps among carnivorous fish, seabirds, and arctic marine mammals are common, and ringed seals almost certainly face significant competition for food from other fish-predators. For example, the polar cod is a preferred prey species for most fish-eating high arctic predators. The fact that ringed seals are able to exploit this resource under the ice as well as at edges likely gives them a competitive advantage at least with young age classes of polar cod. But, there are few studies exploring the degree to which arctic marine mammals compete for prey. Dietary studies of harbor, bearded, and ringed seals in a small area on the west coast of Svalbard near Forlandsundet suggest that both primary (polar cod) and secondary prey species (*Stichaeidae* and *Cottidae*) are eaten by all three seal species coastally in this region. It would be difficult to conceive how these species would not be competing for these resources in this small geographic area.

A study in the Barents Sea by Wathne et al. (2000) explored the potential for competition between ringed and harp seals in the Barents Sea and found an almost 100% overlap in diet between the two species. However, harp seals were found to prey on significantly larger polar cod than ringed seals, which they take at deeper depth than the small cod consumed by ringed seals. These results suggest that there is some niche separation achieved and that these two species, in this area, exploit different fractions of the same resource.

8. Predators

It is unquestionable that the most important predator of ringed seals through most of the Arctic is the polar bear (e.g. Smith 1980, Davis et al. 1980, Stirling 1988). The majority of the polar bear's diet is ice-dependent seals, and ringed seals are the most numerous species consumed (e.g. Derocher et al. 2002). There are approximately 26,000 polar bears in the Arctic and each adult bear kills a seal every few days when it is hunting on the sea ice, resulting in 100s of thousands of ringed seals taken each year by bears (Stirling 1974, Stirling and Latour 1978, Stirling and Øritsland 1995, Stirling and Lunn 1997). Polar bears are specialists in hunting ringed seals on the spring fast-ice, taking both pups and older animals either from their lairs or breathing holes (Fig. 1a,b) (Stirling and McEwan 1975, Stirling and Archibald 1977, Lydersen and Gjertz 1986, Gjertz and Lydersen 1987b, Hammill and Smith 1991). Polar bear detect the presence of lairs under the snow easily, and either through smell or sound, know if the lair is occupied. If a seal is detected by a hunting bear in its lair, the polar bear will crash through the roof using its full weight delivered via the front paws and attempt to trap the seal before it gets into the water. Spring hunting of ringed seals in lairs is particularly important to female polar bears coming out of the den after many months of fasting. Polar bears also use a sit-and-wait strategy at holes, waiting for a seal to surface to breathe. Bears also hunt ringed seals from the water during the summer and autumn when the seals are hauled out on ice floes (personal obs.), and even when seals are swimming on rare occasions (Furnell and Ooloooyuk 1980). Estimates of actual mortality rates of ringed seals due to polar bear predation are scarce, but bears seem to be successful in predation attempts on ringed seal lairs about 10% of the time (e.g. Gjertz and Lydersen 1986b, Lydersen and Gjertz 1986, Hammill and Smith 1991), and Hammill and Smith (1991) estimated that polar bears killed

up to 44% of the estimated annual pup production in areas within Barrow Strait. The physical structure of the lair has some influence on predation success rate, with lairs that have thicker snow roofs being somewhat more protected (e.g. Furgal et al. 1996). Presumably the thicker roofs are harder to crash through so the seals get a bit of time to attempt to escape down the hole.

Walrus also eat ringed seals, though predation events by this predator are only rarely observed (Lowry and Fay 1984, Fay et al. 1990), presumably because most attacks occur in the water. Although it is not possible to quantify predation pressure by walrus on ringed seals, Muir et al. (1995) found that walrus in the Hudson Bay area showed organochlorine (OC) patterns that suggested “a regular seal-eating habit”. Data from Svalbard for fatty acid signatures and contaminants in combination suggest that walrus in this area also eat ringed seals (Wolkers et al. 2006). Additionally, the facts that ringed seals seem to avoid walrus generally, and show fright responses in their presence, has been interpreted as predator avoidance (Kingsley et al. 1985, Gjertz 1990, Stirling 1997). A tour group traveling on Svalbard photographed a walrus consuming a freshly-killed ringed seal (and an attack on a bearded seal by a walrus was photographed during the event; other reports and incidents involving walrus eating seal carcasses are also reported; kmk).

Arctic foxes (*Alopex lagopus*) are also significant and effective predators of ringed seal pups early in the reproductive season in some areas (e.g. Smith 1976, Lydersen and Gjertz 1986). Foxes also scavenge ringed-seal kills made by polar bears both inshore and offshore, with some individuals specializing in this behavior and traveling long distances off-shore (Stirling 1988, Roth 2003, pers. obs.). Other canid predators include red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) and wolves (*Canis lupus*), which can be regionally important, particularly in years when there is little snow or very early melting (Lukin 1980 Andriashek et al. 1985, Andriashek and Spencer 1989). Burns (1970) also notes the domestic dog (*Canis familiaris*) as a predator of ringed seals, in addition to wolverines (*Gulo gulo*). In poor ice and snow years even avian predators such as ravens (*Corvus corax*) and glaucous gulls (*Larus hyperboreus*) will take young ringed seal pups (Burns 1970, Lydersen and Smith 1989).

Killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) are also frequently cited as being ringed seal predators (e.g. Stirling and Calvert 1979, Kovacs and Lydersen 2006), but the intensity of predation is difficult to assess. Presumably, ringed seals receive some protection from this predator via their natural tendency to be in heavily ice-filled waters. But, ringed seal parts have been found in killer whale stomachs, so they do take them on occasion (see MacLaren Marex Inc. 1979). Another aquatic predator, the Greenland shark, is also known to ingest ringed seals, and given the fresh character of one ringed seal reported in the stomach of a shark it appears that it was killed rather than scavenged (Ridoux et al. 1998). Furthermore, Fisk et al. (2002) suggest that high OC levels suggest that seals are a common food item for some Greenland sharks.

9. Human harvests

Humans have hunted ringed seals in the Arctic since the arrival of people to the region millennia ago (e.g. Murdoch 1885, Riewe and Amsden 1979). They are a fundamental subsistence food item for most coastally dwelling northern peoples. Their hides have been an important item for making clothing and other household items and have at various times been an important source of cash income for people in the Far North. Ringed seals have never been the subject of large-scale commercial hunting at the levels of harp or hooded seals because of their dispersed distribution and inaccessibility to hunters. But, many 10s of thousands of ringed seals are harvested annually by Inuit and other peoples of the Arctic Basin.

Harvesting pressure on ringed seals across the Arctic is highly variable. In Svalbard, Norway, licensed hunters can shoot ringed seals from 20 May – 20 March. The seals are protected during their breeding season. Additionally, ringed seals, like all other species, are totally protected in

the national parks and nature reserves in Svalbard throughout the year. Sport hunting is permitted on the Norwegian mainland based on quotas for ringed seals and licensing of individual hunters. The numbers of ringed seals taken within Norwegian waters annually are quite low (a few hundred animals total). In Canada the ringed seal is an important resource in coastal communities and is taken in subsistence harvests in Labrador and throughout the Canadian Arctic. Reeves et al. (1998) reviewed the catch history for ringed seals in Canada. These authors suggested that annual removals were probably highest in the 1960s and 1970s when price for seal pelts were high, with catches might have exceeded 100,000 annually. Exact figures are not available, but recent catch levels in the 1980s and 1990s are believed to 50,000 – 65,000 animals per year. (The Nunavut Wildlife Management Board conducted a wildlife harvest study from 1998 –2002 that included all northern seal species of seals.) Ringed seals are also important in the diet of Native Alaskan people and are harvested in significant numbers. There are no restrictions to hunting, other than the general principle that human harvest should not induce declines in abundance of wildlife.

In Greenland, about 170,000 seals are taken annually (mainly harp and ringed seals). They are an important source of traditional food, and about 100,000 skins are sold for tanning (Jessen 2001). There are few national regulations in Greenland regarding seal hunting; there are four Executive Orders, two related to catch reporting, one banning importation of skins from pups, and a fourth that is specific to harbor seal hunting in spring. Overall for Greenland, the catch of ringed seals was about 43,000 in the 1950s, it peaked in the 1970s similar to the Canadian harvest at about 100,000 animals, and more recently has decreased somewhat to about 70,000 per year in the 1990s (Teilmann and Kapel 1998).

Seal harvesting in Russia operates on the basis of Total Allowable Catches (TACs) that are assigned by species and geographic region. Ringed seal TACs in 2002 by area were: Western Bering Sea 5, 900; Eastern Kamchatka 600; Sea of Okhotsk 28,000; Barents Sea 1,500 and; White Sea 1,100 (according to Government of the Russian Federation Decree 20 - November 2001 # 1551). Harvest statistics reported for Western Russia by Belikov and Boltunov (1998) suggest that maximum catches earlier in this century exceeded these TACs significantly, with maximum harvests of 8,900 in the White Sea (1912), 13,200 in the Barents Sea (1962), and 13,200 in the Kara Sea (1933). These harvests are thought to have dropped considerably in recent decades, though there are no available data. Reporting of harvest statistics and enforcement of TACs is difficult to manage in outlying areas, and the harvest of ringed seals in Eastern Russia is largely unknown.

10. Health (disease, parasites, contaminants)

Information on disease and health parameters is generally scarce for ringed seals (Tryland et al. 2006). To date, arctic phocids do not seem to have experienced any of the epizootic events that have caused massive declines in some species in recent decades along the European coast. No antibodies for the pathogen causing these events – a phocine distemper virus – have been reported in arctic seals (Dietz et al. 1989). Rabies has been reported in ringed seals, with the source of the infection likely being the arctic fox (Ødegaard and Krogsrud 1981). The patterns of antibody prevalence for *Brucella* spp. bacteria in ringed seals suggests that they are exposed to this pathogen at low prevalence, but over a wide area with sporadic infection taking place probably from enzootically infected animals such as the arctic fox (Nielsen et al. 1996, 2001, Tryland et al. 1999). Pneumonia, fungal dermatitis and focal necrosis of the liver, and eye disorders have also been reported in ringed seals (see Frost and Lowry 1981).

Trichinella has been documented in ringed seals from the Canadian arctic (Forbes 2000), although it is either rare or nonexistent in ringed seals at Svalbard (Larsen and Kjos-Hansen 1983). The lungworm *Otostongylus circumlitus* also occurs in ringed seals (Bergeron et al. 1997, Gosselin et al. 1998). Severe infections of this parasite could impact diving performance or even lead to death, but no detrimental effects on body condition have been associated with infection in the studies performed to date.

Ringed seals can also be infected with the heartworm *Acanthocheilonema spirocauda*, particularly when they are young (Measures et al. 1997). Protozoan parasites that can cause severe diarrheal disease in mammals have been detected in ringed seal faces (*Cryptosporidium* spp. and *Giardia* spp) (Hughes-Hanks et al. 2005). Additionally, antibodies for the protozoans *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Neospora caninum* have been found in ringed seals; these protozoans have been recognized as a cause of marine mammal encephalitis (Dubey et al. 2003). The most abundant parasites of ringed seals are helminths of the gut tract. Nematodes, acanthocephalans, and cestodes are common, sometimes in significant numbers (Measures and Gosselin 1994, Hoberg and Measures 1995, Johansen et al. 2010). Trematodes have also been reported from the liver and gall bladder (see Lydersen 1998). Sucking lice are common ectoparasites of ringed seals (pers. obs.). Although parasite burdens can be quite high in ringed seals, parasite infestations alone seldom debilitate otherwise healthy pinnipeds, though they may cause harm if the animals are weakened by other health conditions (Geraci and Lounsbury 1993).

Until the late 1970s or early 1980s the Arctic was perceived to be one of the last pristine wilderness areas in the world. It was thus a surprise when the presence of persistent organic pollutants (POPs) and other contaminants were documented in the arctic ecosystem. The most abundant contaminant residues detected in marine mammal tissues in the Arctic were polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), toxaphene, and chlordane (Wagemann and Muir 1984, Jensen 1991). The main sources of these pollutants were agriculture and industrial activities that occurred far from the Arctic (Tomy et al. 2000). Freshwater drainage followed by ocean current transport and global air-flow patterns from southern locales moving north are the major transport pathways for contaminants to come into the Arctic; contaminants are “dropped” from air currents when temperatures cool toward the Pole and they are also delivered from air masses via precipitation (see Barrie et al. 1992, Mackay and Wania 1995, Bard 1999, MacDonald et al. 2000, Kallenborn 2006). Another contaminant source that is important in some arctic regions is sea ice formed in the south and then transported north by ocean currents (e.g. Nies et al. 1999, Borga et al. 2002).

The organochlorine contaminants (OCs) were of particular concern because they are lipophilic (“lipid-loving”) compounds that have potential detrimental effects on the health and reproductive performance of animals. Pathological changes in uterine physiology were documented in Baltic Sea ringed seals during the 1960s and 1970s that were attributed to high concentrations of chlorinated hydrocarbons (Helle et al. 1976, Helle 1980). Although contaminant levels in the Arctic are generally relatively low (see Holden 1972, Wolkers et al. 1998a), contaminant induced effects on physiological processes related to reproduction have been shown for top trophic animals in the Arctic. For example, ringed seals from the west coast of Svalbard show a clear negative relationship between the hepatic cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes involved in steroid breakdown (CYP 3A) and PCB burdens, illustrating that even at the observed low contaminant loads the metabolism of hormones may be influenced (Wolkers et al. 1998a,b). During years with poor feeding, the biological effects of even the relatively low levels of contaminants found in the Arctic may have increased significance (e.g., Muir et al. 1999), and high interannual variability in food resources is a common feature of the Arctic.

Given the findings for ringed seals, in addition to the high contaminant burdens documented for their primary predator the polar bear (e.g. Zhu and Norstrom 1993, Wolkers et al. 2004) in various arctic locales, it is not surprising that most health-related work with ringed seals in recent decades has been performed in relation to contaminant burdens. Additionally, ringed seals are a significant component of the diet of many coastal aboriginal communities in the Arctic, which puts human arctic residents at risk of exposure to lipophilic organochlorine contaminants because these compounds are persistent and they bioaccumulate through the food chain (Jensen 1991, Cameron and Weis 1993, Kuhnlein and Chan 2000). Contaminants have been detected in human breast milk and other body tissues in several arctic locales at levels that may warrant some concern (Kuhnlein et al. 1995, Polder et al. 2003). The developing fetus and breast-fed infants are likely to be more sensitive to the effects

of OCs (and metals) than adults and are the age groups at greatest risk in the Arctic (Van Oostdam et al. 1999). The levels of POPs, mercury, and radionuclides are particularly high in communities that have traditional dietary habits, consuming a lot of marine mammal meat and blubber (Polder et al. 2003, Bonefeld-Jorgensen 1994).

The Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP) was established in the 1990s to address the risks and trends in contaminants in the Arctic. AMAP’s top priorities include the following contaminant groups and issues:

- Persistent organic contaminants
- Heavy metals (in particular mercury, cadmium, and lead)
- Radioactivity
- Acidification and Arctic haze (in a sub regional context)
- Petroleum hydrocarbon pollution (in a sub regional context)
- Climate change (environmental consequences and biological effects in the Arctic resulting from global climate change)
- Stratospheric ozone depletion (biological effects due to increased UV-B, etc)

Effects of pollution on the health of humans living in the Arctic (including effects of increased UV radiation as a result of ozone depletion, and climate change) as well as the combined effects of pollutants and other stressors on both ecosystems and humans

Ringed seals have been repeatedly recommended as an important species to use in the study of global patterns of dispersal of compounds and for documenting the general ‘health’ of the Arctic (e.g. CAFF 1999, Luckas et al. 1990, Muir and Norstrom 1991, Hickie et al. 2005). Age and sex of animals sampled should be recorded because contaminant burdens are often higher in adult animals than in juveniles, and males tend to have higher toxic loads than females for many substances (e.g., Cameron et al. 1997, Hickie et al. 2005, Kucklick et al. 2006). Ringed seals have been selected as a key monitoring species in AMAP because of their broad circumpolar distribution, high abundance, high trophic status and their frequency in the diet of coastal peoples (Wilson et al. 1998).

Some contaminants taken up by ringed seals, for example some oil components, can be metabolized and excreted without apparent deleterious effects (see Geraci and St. Aubin 1990). Ringed seals exposed to crude oil directly through immersion show irritation and transient damage to the eyes and also show petroleum residues in tissues, presumably via transfer across respiratory epithelium cells. Direct exposure for modestly protracted periods can be fatal, but it is hard to envisage this situation occurring at a large scale in the Arctic when animals are free to move out of oiled waters. Ingestion of oil-contaminated fish does not cause immediate deleterious effects, but residues accumulated in the blubber and other tissues may challenge liver and kidney function when the stored, tainted blubber is metabolized (Geraci and Smith 1976, Engelhardt 1983). Studies of ringed seals and oil pollution have not been particularly conclusive, but it is likely that the seriousness of oil contamination would most likely be mediated by the volume of oil involved in a spill, the type of crude, and the timing of the spill (McLaren 1990). Oil would likely have the greatest harmful impacts to ringed seals if it spread into breeding habitat where pups could become oiled and locally important food could be contaminated (Smith 1987).

Other compounds such as some PCBs and pesticides are known to be quite resistant to degradation, and are accumulated in fatty- tissues of animals. Seals occupy a high trophic level and hence are exposed to substantial amounts of these sorts of contaminants when they are present in the food chain (Muir et al. 1988, 1992, 1999). Most data are available for PCBs and DDT in ringed seals across the Arctic, and, although local variations certainly exist, the patterns of many accumulative substances are quite similar across relatively large regions (see Becker 2000, but also see Weis and Muir 1997, Aguilar et al. 2002, Hoekstra et al. 2003).

Concentrations of most 'legacy' OCs (PCBs, DDT, etc.) have declined significantly in biota at most locales in the Arctic and are generally less than half the levels they were in the 1970s in areas where time series exist for both seabirds and ringed seals (Addison et al. 1986, Addison 1992, Muir et al. 1988, 1999, Addison and Smith 1998, Riget et al. 2004, Braune et al. 2005). These trends are expected to continue with major decreases expected (filtering through to human populations) in the Arctic by 2030 in areas where values are currently high (Odland et al. 2003). A few exceptions in the declining trends seen in Canada and elsewhere include hexachlorocyclohexanes (HCH, THCH), chlordanes, and toxaphene which have remained relatively constant, and chlorobenzenes and endosulfan, which are OCs that have shown increases over the last few decades in the Canadian Arctic (Muir et al. 1999, Braune et al. 2005). For most OCs, marine mammal tissues from the European Arctic are more contaminated than those from the Canadian and US Arctic, but this is likely a legacy of a more polluted European Arctic in the past because contaminant levels at low trophic levels do not differ across these regions currently (Borga et al. 2005). Generally speaking the Western North American Arctic has been less contaminated than the Eastern areas (e.g. Kucklick et al. 2006). High levels of DDTs and PCBs in the Western Russian Arctic suggest the presence of recent significant local sources in the Kara Sea region (Nakata et al. 1998; PCBs are still used in the production of electrical equipment and other application in Russia – Muir et al. 2000). However, OCs seem to be declining in the White Sea to the west of the Kara, though marine food webs in the White Sea region are still more contaminated than adjacent open ocean because of the proximity of urban/industrial areas (Muir et al. 2003).

Similar concerns to those above for POPs have also arisen regarding the health of ringed seals and humans and other animals that consume ringed seals because of heavy metal burdens in seals' tissues. The most commonly reported heavy metals in Arctic marine mammals include mercury, cadmium, lead, selenium, arsenic, and nickel (e.g. Wagemann and Muir 1984, Dietz et al. 1990, Skaare et al. 1994, Riget and Dietz 2000). The effects of these compounds are not specifically known, and toxic and mutagenic effects have not been unequivocally demonstrated in marine mammals (Melnikov 1991), but mercury poisoning is possible at high concentrations (see Tillander et al. 1972). High mercury levels in ringed seals in Lake Saimaa in Finland were reported as the probable cause of reduced pup production (Hyvärinen et al. 1997, also see Sipilä and Hyvärinen 1998). Ringed seals living in Strathcona Sound, Canada, near a lead-zinc mine have higher levels of lead in the liver and selenium in their muscles than seals from adjacent areas (Wagemann 1989), and cadmium levels are high in the liver of ringed seals from the eastern Canadian Arctic compared to ringed seals from elsewhere (Wagemann et al. 1996). Some regional differences in heavy metal concentrations in ringed seals are likely due to differences in natural background concentration (Wagemann et al. 1995, 1996, Riget et al. 2005). However, studies in the Canadian Arctic have found higher mean concentrations of mercury in recent ringed seal samples, compared to earlier collections (Muir et al. 1999, MacDonald et al. 2000). Rates of accumulation of mercury are also higher in present day animals compared to 10-20 years ago. Cadmium concentrations have shown no change over the last decade (Muir et al. 1999).

A suite of new-use chemicals previously unreported in arctic biota (e.g. polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs), short chain chlorinated paraffins (SCCPs), polychlorinated naphthalenes (PCNs), perfluoro-octane sulfonic acid (PFOS), and perfluorocarboxylic acids (PFCAs)) has recently been documented in arctic biota including ringed seals, but little information exists for these compounds in terms of spatial patterns, food web dynamics, or species differences in levels (e.g. Martin et al. 2004, Wolkers et al. 2004, Vorkamp et al. 2004, Bossi et al. 2005, Braune et al. 2005). Some of the PFC compounds appearance in Arctic fauna will be transitional, because of the 2001 ban on perfluorooctane sulfonyl fluoride based compounds by 3M; levels in ringed seals are already showing rapid declines (Butt et al. 2007). Hexabromo-cyclododecane (HBCD) has reached measurable concentrations in even the lower trophic species and, not surprisingly, is found at higher levels in ringed seals and polar bears (Sørmo et al. 2006). Although levels of these compounds are currently low, there is concern because some are increasing rapidly in concentra-

tion in some areas (e.g., PBDEs, also see Riget et al. 2006), and some have unique toxicological properties (Braune et al. 2005). Some of these compounds were presumed to have low potential for long-range transport, so were not expected to make their way into arctic biota.

Some concern has been expressed regarding radionuclide exposures and concentrations in arctic marine species because of a number of accidents in arctic regions involving nuclear vessels and the presence of potential radioactive contamination sources in some arctic areas (see Brown et al. 2006). However, dose-rates associated with the presence of anthropogenically derived radionuclides for ringed seals measured in Svalbard, an area at risk because of its proximity to and location in the path of currents from the Russian coast, fall many orders of magnitude below the dose-rates at which any biological effects would be expected (Gwynn et al. 2006). Additionally, it has been clearly established that the levels of anthropogenic radionuclides in the Arctic are declining; most fallout was derived from nuclear weapons testing from 1945-1980 (Macdonald et al. 2003). Residents in the Arctic that are consumers of traditional food, however, are exposed to an approximately seven-fold higher radiation dose than non-consumers of traditional foods due predominantly to the bioaccumulation of natural radionuclides in the food chain (Van Oostdam et al. 1999).

Although concern is clearly warranted regarding contaminants in the Arctic in relation to ringed seals, it must be noted that concentrations of OCs and metals are generally below effects thresholds through much of the Arctic and there is little evidence that contaminants are having widespread effects on the health on arctic organisms, with the possible exception of polar bears (Fisk et al. 2005). However, it is expected that the Arctic will become a major sink for organochlorines in the future; this process may already be significant for some compounds such as HCB and HCHs (Aguilar et al. 2002). Given the risk of exposure to 'new' organohalogen contaminants, the fact that some regions are contaminated, and the risks of climate change stresses (see below), a better understanding of the effects of contaminant exposure is needed (Fisk et al. 2005).

B. Climate change in the Arctic and its impacts on ringed seals

1. Physical conditions

Cold temperatures, extensive seasonal variation in light and heat regimes, low overall levels of primary production (and solar radiation), extensive snow cover, permanent sea ice cover over large areas of the Arctic Ocean, and extensive formation of annual sea ice are defining characteristics of the Arctic (Stonehouse 1989). However, it has become clear that climate change is occurring and that the Arctic has already undergone significant physical environmental change due to global warming. Both multiyear and annually formed sea ice play important roles in the climate and ecology of the Arctic, but numerous studies have now documented a reduction in arctic sea ice cover over the past several decades (e.g. Francis et al. 2005, Moore 2006, also see Symon et al. 2005). This pattern is consistent with statistically significant surface warming that is particularly pronounced in fall and early winter, which is predicted to continue into the future based on climate models (e.g., Keup-Thiel et al. 2006). Both the seasonal and spatial extent of the Arctic's sea ice cover has been reduced, in addition to the ice thinning. Future predictions suggest that the Arctic will be subject to warming at a rate that is 1.5 to 2 times the global average and that the current climate trends are in general expected to accelerate during the coming century (Drinkwater 2005, Corell 2006, Kaplan and New 2006, Teng et al. 2006). Changes to atmospheric sea-level pressure, wind fields, sea-ice drift, and precipitation patterns are also suggested to have already occurred (see MacDonald et al. 2005). These changes will in turn lead to alterations in the hydrographic properties of the ocean, as well as the vertical stratification and circulation patterns (e.g. MacDonald et al. 2005, Drinkwater 2005). Ocean temperatures are likely to increase, with the arctic ice-ocean system changing faster than the global average (Zhang 2005). The changes that take place in the Arctic will impact the global climate system (e.g., Sewall 2005).

Sea ice extent, thickness, and seasonal duration are expected to continue to decrease, and many models predict an ice-free Arctic in summer by the year 2100 (Arzel et al. 2006, Dumas et al. 2006, Meehl et al. 2006, Parkinson 2006, Serreze and Francis 2006, Zhang and Walsh 2006). Change will not take place at identical rates across the Arctic; there will be considerable geographical variation in changing patterns of sea ice distribution, thickness, etc. (see Parkinson and Cavalieri 2002). The regional patterns that will occur in environmental change, in combination with potential variation in responses to particular stressors in individual sub-populations or stocks makes Pan-Arctic predictions difficult for a species (Tynan and DeMaster). However, the general, longer-term predictions for changes in sea ice will have consequences for all arctic animals that depend on sea ice as their breeding or foraging habitats (e.g., Stirling and Derocher 1993, Tynan and DeMaster 1997, Loeng et al. 2005).

2. Direct impacts of climate change on ringed seals

All ice-associated seals are vulnerable to changes in the extent, concentration, and quality of sea ice in the Arctic because they depend on the ice as a pupping, molting, and resting platform, and some seal species also do much of their foraging on ice-associated prey species (see Tynan and Demaster 1997, Barber and Iacozza 2004). Ringed seals are perhaps the most vulnerable of the high Arctic pinnipeds because so many aspects of their life-history and distribution are tied to sea ice. Ringed seals also require sufficient snow cover to construct lairs in which they rest, give birth, and care for their young; both ice and snow must be stable enough in the spring season to successfully rear young (Lydersen and Kovacs 1999). Premature break-up of the land-fast ice can result in premature separation of mother-pup pairs and hence high neonatal mortality (e.g. Smith and Harwood 2001, Ferguson et al. 2005). Spring rains or high temperatures in spring can cause the roofs of lairs to prematurely collapse leaving ringed seals subject to increased predation and exposure risks (Stirling and Smith 2004). Years in which insufficient snow fall takes place prior to breeding results in a similar phenomenon. Ringed seals in some areas are already showing relatively long-term downward trends in reproductive rates and survival of young that are thought to be linked to changes in sea ice conditions and other major ecosystems shifts, the pathways of which are poorly understood (Stirling 2005).

If the extremes predicted do indeed occur, and there is no summer sea ice in the Arctic, it is difficult to envisage how ringed seals will survive. Ringed seals do not normally haul out on land and performing this behaviour would be a rather dramatic change to the species behavioural repertoire. Land-breeding would expose the ringed seals' small neonates to much higher predation rates, even in a best case scenario.

3. Indirect effects of climate change on ringed seals

Climate change poses risks to ringed seals beyond the changes that alterations to the physical environment will cause directly to their habitat. These include: changes to their forage base (species shifts, density shifts, and distributional shifts of prey species, etc.); increased competition from temperate species (Symon et al. 2005); increased predation rates from polar bears (Rosing-Asvid 2006) and arctic foxes (at least initially) as well as killer whales; increased disease and parasite risks (Harvell et al. 1999); greater potential for exposure to increased pollution loads (Macdonald et al. 2003, 2005); and impacts via increased human traffic and development in previously inaccessible, ice-covered areas.

i) Changes at lower trophic levels

Most pinniped species are relatively high trophic predators that live in regions with high productivity at least seasonally (see Bowen and Siniff 1999). They target oceanographic 'hot-spots' such as ice-edges, shelf breaks, canyons, ridges, and plateaus where prey are attracted because nutrient mixing in the water column via up-welling or ice melt promote primary production. In Polar Regions the thermocline is usually weak early in the year, if one exists at all, and is not an effective barrier to upward mixing of nutrients from deeper waters. Although there is little direct information on specific locales where ringed seals forage, they are

not expected to deviate greatly from the general pinniped pattern (i.e. targeting oceanographic hot spots). Some oceanographic measurements have been collected via temperature tags carried by ringed seals (Lydersen et al. 2004), and post-molting distribution data suggest that they target areas that contain 20-80% ice cover, whether these are coastal (near glacier fronts) or farther offshore in the free-floating pack (Freitas et al. 2008). But, relatively little is known about key oceanographic features of importance to ringed seals, beyond the presence of sea ice. Ringed seals consume most of their annual energy in a period from late summer through to early winter (Ryg and Ørtnisland 1991), focusing on lipid rich, large, zooplankton and polar cod in their diet (see 'Foraging' above).

A warmer climate and less extensive ice cover in the Arctic should result in an increase in primary production, on the order of 30-40 % greater overall production (Wassmann et al. 2006a), with a relatively greater contribution from pelagic plankton at the expense of ice algae (see Wassmann et al. 2006b). These climate-induced changes are likely to have profound impacts on the trophic structure and energy flow of the ice-pelagic-benthic systems in some arctic areas and will generally bolster the pelagic system at the expense of the benthic system (Carroll and Carroll 2003). An analysis of the fate increased production as a result of climate change in the central Arctic Ocean, suggests that the system has the capacity to capture this increased production via grazing capacity of expatriated mesozooplankton and hence produce increased food for higher trophic levels (Olli et al. 2007).

This positive picture of production increase in the Arctic is not universally shared, as there is a risk that reduced sea ice cover, warmer water, and a shorter sea ice season might promote stratification of the water column earlier in the season, which could disrupt the connection between phytoplankton production and consumption by grazing zooplankton (Symon et al. 2005). This sort of de-coupling, as well as other temporal mismatches, could have cascading effects through the food web. Additionally, there are concerns that a warmer climate with reduced ice cover will cause shifts in zooplankton community structure towards a smaller zooplankton size spectrum (e.g., favouring *Calanus finmarchicus* over *C. glacialis*), which may have cascading effects for arctic top predators (Falk-Petersen et al. 2006). There will be considerable geographic variability in what transpires under conditions of climate change across the different Arctic Seas, and hence variable impacts on food webs (see Wassmann 2006 for reviews). However, declines in overall production or changes to the abundance of traditional 'arctic' adapted zooplankton and fish that carry large lipid stores could have negative impacts on ringed seals.

ii) Competition from temperate species expansions northward

Relatively little scientific work has specifically addressed competition among marine mammal species, but it is virtually certain that at least indirect competition takes place. Dietary overlaps among various species of seals (and whales) sharing a geographic range are quite common (see 'Competition for prey' above). Wathne et al. (2000) documented niche separation between ringed seals and harp seals in the Barents Sea that fed on different size spectrums of prey, found at different depths, while targeting the same principle prey species. Further niche separation, as well as more direct competition would be expected within the marine mammal community in the Arctic as north-temperate species expand their ranges northward as the climate warms (Symon et al. 2005). Reduced ice cover will promote the rapid expansion of migratory routes for cetaceans that traditionally have avoided areas with summer sea ice cover. Some of these species are likely to consume small, pelagic schooling fish, overlapping with ringed seals. Competition would be expected to have heavier impacts on specialists, such as many of the arctic endemics, as opposed to species that tend to be more flexible generalists. It is difficult to evaluate how ringed seals would fare facing increased competition from other pinniped and cetacean species in an altered environment given our current level of uncertainty regarding future primary production levels in the Arctic and possible changes to the forage base in terms of species composition.

iii) Increased predation rates

During initial phases of reduction of sea ice during the spring season, it is likely that ringed seals will experience increased levels of predation from polar bears and arctic foxes. This has been documented to be the case with respect to polar bears during mild springs in the past (Rosing-Asvid 2006). Fox predation may also initially be high if ringed seals are more densely packed in a reduced area of breeding habitat along coastlines. However, this is dependent to some degree on snow cover; precipitation levels are predicted to increase with climate warming in the Arctic, but whether the precipitation falls as snow or rain is all-important to ringed seal mortality levels to these predators. During this transitory phase, polar bears and perhaps also foxes, might likely exhibit population growth, at least until the ringed seal population has been depleted. However, there is also the risk of a negative feedback system if predation is particularly strong in early spring in regions where ringed seals are the majority of the polar bears' diet. This could occur because the overall energy intake of the bears could be reduced if too many ringed seals pup were taken very early in the nursing period; ringed seals pups are 7–8 times more energy-rich at the end of lactation compared to when they are newborn. So, a spring feeding boom could actually result in an increase in starving bears late in the season (Rosing-Asvid 2006). Arctic foxes also could be affected, but they are less likely to be heavily impacted by ringed seal population reductions, because they probably would shift to eating coastal birds and other terrestrial prey (Roth 2003).

Killer whales are known to prey on ringed seals, but it is uncertain how much mortality is attributable to this marine predator. The ringed seals' tight association with sea ice likely affords it considerable protection. However, when the seasonal sea ice retracts, it is likely that killer whales will expand their range northward and increase in abundance in arctic waters. Killer whales have been shown to be capable of having large impacts on marine mammal populations (Williams et al. 2004), and were likely the cause of recent, severe reduction in sea otter (*Enhydra lutris*) populations in Alaska (Estes et al. 1998), and perhaps some pinniped populations as well (Bhargava 2005).

iv) Increased risk – disease, parasites, contaminants and human activities

Warmer water temperatures in combination with increased frequencies of contact with potential hosts of pathogens and parasites via range shifts of other marine species will put ringed seals at risk (see Harvell et al. 1999). Climate-mediated physiological stress may also contribute to the problem, compromising host resistance and increasing the possibility of contracting disease or experiencing damage via parasite infections.

Changes in contaminant pathways to, within, and from the Arctic are almost certain to occur under the influence of global climate change. Additionally, it is likely that there will be increased local releases of contaminants with increases in human populations in northern regions. Agriculture and silviculture will likely spread northward, influencing the arctic drainage basin. Climate change may promote the spread of insect pests globally, forcing some countries to re-introduce or increase the use of pesticides. The significance of contaminants as added stressors to predators already suffering from habitat and ecosystem change, while widely suspected, is not confidently understood (Macdonald et al. 2003). Key species and study areas in this latter context include marine mammal populations (particularly seals and bears) from the Kara Sea, Franz Josef Land, Svalbard and Hudson Bay (Macdonald et al. 2003).

Other human activities are also likely to change in the Arctic as climate change proceeds (see Macdonald et al. 2003 for a review) that pose variable risks to ringed seals. Commercial fisheries will expand northward into the Arctic, potentially altering food web structure in the oceans. Shipping will be enhanced, increasing the risks of oil contamination, habitat disruption, and ocean noise. Marginal seas clear of ice for a large portion of the year will also encourage increases in tourism, oil exploration, and other industrial activities – each of which brings with it associated contaminants and potential for disruption of wildlife behavior. Oil devel-

opment activities have been shown to reduce the densities of ringed seals somewhat at a local scale in some areas and create noise that is audible to ringed seals over distances of up to 10 km, but current levels of activities are judged to be unlikely to have large-scale population effects (Kelly et al. 1988, Davis et al. 1991, Moulton et al. 2002, 2003, 2005, Blackwell et al. 2004).

II. Developing an international ringed seal monitoring programme

1. Reason for selection of ringed seals

Ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) occupy a key ecological role in Arctic ecosystems. They are the most numerous and widely distributed high Arctic pinniped species, with a world population that currently probably numbers in the millions. Ringed seals are found in virtually all seas and oceans encircling the North Pole. These seals are a major trophic link in arctic and sub arctic ecosystems (Lowry et al. 1980). They are the main prey species of polar bears (*Ursus maritimus*), and the most important natural resource for many indigenous people in coastal areas of the circumpolar Arctic. Despite the ecological and subsistence importance of this species, knowledge of stock identities, trends in population sizes, production rates, population parameters, and population condition status ("health") is generally sparse. Knowledge of such trends, over a significant time scale, is essential in order to understand the dynamics of the Arctic ecosystem. Marine mammals such as ringed seals that are totally dependent on the sea ice environment have, not surprisingly, been identified as being sensitive to the likely impacts of climate change (Stirling & Derocher 1993; Tynan and DeMaster 1997).

The potential effects of climate change on polar ecosystems is becoming of paramount concern. Scenarios have been put forward, predicting declines in virtually all ice-dependent arctic marine mammal populations. At a time when human activity is believed to be having exponentially increasing negative impact on populations within the Arctic, it is essential that we conduct basic monitoring activities of key ecosystem components. Because of their ubiquitous occurrence, availability for sampling, sensitivity to ice conditions, and importance within the arctic ecosystem, ringed seals have repeatedly been recommended as ideal subjects for circumpolar monitoring of arctic marine systems. Both the international programme CAFF (Conservation of Arctic Flora and Fauna) and AMAP (Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme) have specifically requested that all of the Arctic countries launch scientific monitoring program on ringed seal population structure, trends in abundance, vital rates and age structures because of this species value as an 'indicator species' for monitoring global climate change and contaminant patterns.

2. Current status of ringed seal monitoring in the Arctic

The only arctic country that currently has a national programme for ringed seal monitoring is Norway. This programs first active field work commenced in 2002 in Svalbard. Ringed seals in this region have been the subject of a series of research projects over the last 15-20 years. Studies conducted in the 1980s included work on demographic population parameters, diet, habitat use, reproductive and general ecology, as well as abundance determinations for local sub-populations within several fjords. More recently, in the 1990s, ringed seal research in Svalbard has focused on reproductive energetics, diving behavior and physiology, and contaminant burdens. This body of research provides a basis on which to build a meaningful data time series that will permit assessment of population and ecological trends, but the data collected prior to 2002 did not have monitoring of this population as its primary purpose. Similar 'sets' of research data exist from the Alaskan, Canadian and Greenlandic Arctic that will be useful in a time-series context when monitoring is established (see Section I).

The Norwegian Polar Institute (NPI) began its simple monitoring programme for ringed seals as part of MOSJ (Monitoring of Svalbard

and Jan Mayen; <http://mosj.npolar.no>) in 2002. It includes the following parameters for this species:

- 1) density and abundance of ringed seals in selected fjords in Svalbard;
- 2) population parameters determined in 1981-82 and 2002 and beyond (age structure and vital rates);
- 3) diet on a local basis;
- 4) condition and 'health status' (blubber-reserves, serum chemistry) of the ringed seal population; and
- 5) contaminant burdens (POPs, heavy metals, toxaphenes) and exploration of (less expensive) biomarker methods for long term monitoring of contaminants.

Among pinnipeds, harp seals, hooded seals, walrus, and the red-listed population of arctic harbor seals on Svalbard are also included in MOSJ. Cetacean monitoring in MOSJ currently includes only NPI's cetacean sightings program for bowhead whales, white whales, and narwhal. It must be noted however, that financial backing for this monitoring system is not currently secure.

Canada has meetings planned in 2007 to develop an arctic monitoring plan, which might include ringed seals. The other arctic nations do not currently have plans with respect to monitoring ringed seals despite CAFF's specific request to develop such plans in each nation in 2000.

The lack of focused, long-term monitoring of ringed seals among the arctic countries is surprising, given the ecological and subsistence importance of this species and the fact that they have repeatedly been recommended as high priority subjects for circumpolar monitoring of arctic marine systems. However, ringed seals have never been a species of large-scale commercial interest because of their dispersed, high arctic distribution; hence it is not easy to finance research programme for this species in many arctic countries. Additionally, their basic biology makes them a logistical challenge as they are inaccessible in many ways for much of the year, and their wary nature makes them quite difficult to capture for live studies of ecology and in-the-wild physiology, etc. However, research teams around the Arctic have overcome many of the challenges in working with this species, and it is timely to begin comprehensive monitoring. A focused (financed) international plan with simultaneous data collection according to standard protocols across the Arctic is required to monitor ringed seals effectively. It is important to commence monitoring as soon as is possible, given that the Arctic is already clearly showing signs of experiencing climate change.

3. Candidates for parameters to monitor

i) Ringed seal parameters

Abundance of ringed seals (and trends in abundance)
Lair densities and pup production rates (including phenology of reproduction)
Population parameters (age structure and age specific mortality/survivorship)
Pup production
Pup growth and first-year survival rates
Seasonal movement patterns
Ringed seal diet (seasonal, inter-annual, and comparative geographic basis)
Predation rates (seasonally (spring, summer))
Body condition and 'health status' (nutrition, disease, parasites)
Contaminant exposure and contaminant-induced biological effects (follow AMAP)

ii) Affiliated biological parameters

Predator abundances, densities, distributions
Prey abundance (particularly polar cod abundance and distribution)
Competitor surveys (assessment of competition)

iii) Desired physical/environmental parameters

Sea ice phenology
Time of formation
Time of break-up
Sea ice characteristics
Maximum depth
Seasonal extent
Snow cover on sea ice
Seasonal snow depth (critical pre-breeding period especially important)
General meteorological monitoring
Frequency and timing of extreme weather events – particularly winter/spring rain
Oceanographic features important to ringed seals (ocean color (spring, summer and fall), others?)

iv) Human activities

Harvest rates
Industrial development (oil and gas exploration, extraction)
Tourism
Commercial fishing

4. Issues to be discussed at the workshop with regard to parameters

Localities to be monitored (intensively – at intervals)
Intervals for sampling
Annual collection (tissue collections – contaminants, health etc.)
3-year intervals – analyses of age structure in hunts etc.
3-year intervals – diet analyses
5-year intervals – surveys
Methods to be used internationally to collect data on selected parameters

5. Possible additional issues to develop or discuss at the workshop

Limitations in the methods
Analyses of the data
Annual costs
Quality assurance
Desired length of time series
Originating institutions (responsible for delivery to CAFF, IUCN?)
Data availability
Form of reporting
Relevant links (to Web pages)

References

- Addison, R.F. 1992. Organochlorine levels in arctic ringed seals, 1972-1989. P.310 in: Proceedings of the Eighteenth Annual Aquatic Toxicity Workshop, Sept.30-Oct.3 1991, Ottawa / ed.: A.J. Niimi and M.C. Taylor. (Canadian technical report of fisheries and aquatic sciences, 1863).
- Addison, R.F. and Smith, T.G. 1998. Trends in organochlorine residue concentrations in ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) from Holman, Northwest Territories, 1972-91. *Arctic* 51: 253-261.
- Addison, R.F., Zinck, M.E. and Smith, T.G. 1986. PCBs have declined more than DDT-group residues in Arctic ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) between 1972 and 1981. *Environmental Science & Technology* 20: 253-256.
- Aguilar, A., Borrell, A. and Reijnders, P.J.H. 2002. Geographical and temporal variation in levels of organochlorine contaminants in marine mammals. *Marine Environmental Research*, 53: 425-452.
- Andersen, S.M., Lydersen, C., Grahl-Nielsen, O. and Kovacs, K.M. 2004. Autumn diet of harbour seals (*Phoca vitulina*) at Prins Karls Forland, Svalbard, assessed via scat and fatty-acid analyses. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 82(8): 1230-1245.
- Andriashek, D., Kiliaan, H.P.L. and Taylor, M.K. 1985. Observations on foxes, *Alopex lagopus* and *Vulpes vulpes*, and wolves, *Canis lupus*, on the off-shore sea ice of northern Labrador. *Canadian Field-Naturalist* 99: 86-89.
- Andriashek, D. and Spencer, C. 1989. Predation on a ringed seal, *Phoca hispida*, pup by a red fox, *Vulpes vulpes*. *Canadian Field-Naturalist* 103: 600.
- Arzel, O., Fichet, T. and Goosse, H. 2006. Sea ice evolution over the 20th and 21st centuries as simulated by current AOGCMs. *Ocean Modelling*, 12(3/4): 401-415.
- Barber, D.G. and Iacozza, J. 2004. Historical analysis of sea ice conditions in M'Clintock Channel and the Gulf of Boothia, Nunavut: Implications for ringed seal and polar bear habitat. *Arctic* 57: 1-14.
- Bard, S.M. 1999. Global transport of anthropogenic contaminants and the consequences for the Arctic marine ecosystem. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 38: 356-379.
- Barrie, L.A., Gregor, D., Hargrave, B., Lake, R., Muir, D., Shearer, R., Tracey, B. and Bidleman, T. 1992. Arctic contaminants: sources, occurrence and pathways. *Science of the Total Environment* 122(1/2): 1-74.
- Becker, P.R. 2000. Concentration of chlorinated hydrocarbons and heavy metals in Alaska Arctic marine mammals. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 40: 819-829.
- Belikov, S.E. and Boltunov, A.N. 1998. The ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) in the western Russian Arctic. Pp.63-82 in: Ringed seals in the North Atlantic / ed. M.P. Heide-Jørgensen and C. Lydersen. Tromsø. (NAMMCO scientific publication, 1).
- Bengtson, J.L., Hiruki-Raring, L.M., Simpkins, M.A. and Boveng, P.L. 2005. Ringed and bearded seal densities in the eastern Chukchi Sea, 1999-2000. *Polar Biology* 28: 833-845.
- Bengtson, J.L. and Siniff, D.B. 1981. Reproductive aspects of female crabeater seals (*Lobodon carcinophagus*) along the Antarctic Peninsula. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 59: 92-102.
- Bergeron, E., Measures, L.N. and Huot, J. 1997. Lungworm (*Otostromylus circumlitus*) infections in ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) from eastern Arctic Canada. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 54: 2443-2448.
- Bhargava, M. 2005. Of otters and orcas: marine mammals and legal regimes in the North Pacific. *Ecology Law Quarterly* 32: 939-988.
- Blackwell, S.B., Greene, C.R. and Richardson, W.J. 2004. Drilling and operational sounds from an oil production island in the ice-covered Beaufort Sea. *Journal of the Acoustic Society of America* 116: 3199-3211.
- Bonefeld-Jørgensen, E.C. 2004. The human health effect programme in Greenland, a review. Pp.215-231 in: Contaminants in the Greenland environment: an update. Elsevier. (Science of the total environment, 331:1/3).
- Borgå, K., Gabrielsen, G.W. and Skaare, J.U. 2002. Differences in contamination load between pelagic and sympagic invertebrates in the Arctic marginal ice zone: influence of habitat, diet and geography. *Marine Ecology, Progress Series* 235: 157-169.
- Borgå, K., Gabrielsen, G.W., Skaare, J.U., Kleivane, L., Norstrom, R.J. and Fisk, A.T. 2005. Why do organochlorine differences between Arctic regions vary among trophic levels? *Environmental Science & Technology* 39(12): 4343-4352.
- Born, E.W., Teilmann, J. and Riget, F. 1998. Abundance of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) in the Kong Oscars Fjord, Scoresby Sund and adjacent areas in eastern Greenland. Pp.152-166 in: Ringed seals in the North Atlantic / ed. M.P. Heide-Jørgensen and C. Lydersen. Tromsø. (NAMMCO scientific publication, 1).
- Born, E.W., Teilmann, J. and Riget, F. 2002. Haul-out activity of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) determined from satellite telemetry. *Marine Mammal Science* 18: 167-181.
- Born, E.W., Teilmann, J., Acquarone, M. and Riget, F.F. 2004. Habitat use of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) in the North Water Area (North Baffin Bay). *Arctic* 57: 129-142.
- Bossi, R., Riget, F.F. and Dietz, R. 2005. Temporal and spatial trends of perfluorinated compounds in ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) from Greenland. *Environmental Science & Technology* 39: 7416-7422.
- Bowen, W.D. 1991. Behavioural ecology of pinniped neonates. Pp. 66-127 in: The behaviour of pinnipeds / ed. D. Renouf. London: Chapman and Hall.
- Bowen, W.D. 2000. Reconstruction of pinniped diets: accounting for complete digestion of otoliths and cephalopod beaks. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 57: 898-905.
- Bowen, W.D., Capstick, C.K. and Sergeant, D.E. 1981. Temporal changes in the reproductive potential of female harp seals, *Pagophilus groenlandicus*. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 38: 495-503.
- Bowen, W.D. and Siniff, D.B. 1999. Distribution, population biology and feeding ecology of marine mammals. Pp. 423-484 in: Biology of marine mammals / ed. J.E. Reynolds and S.A. Rommel. Washington: Smithsonian Institution Press.
- Bradstreet, M.S.W. and Cross, W.E. 1982. Trophic relationships at high Arctic ice edges. *Arctic* 35: 1-12.
- Braune, B.M., Outridge, P.M., Fisk, A.T., Muir, D.C.G., Helm, P.A., Kobbs, K., Hoekstra, P.F., Kuzyk, Z.A., Kwan, M., Letcher, R.J., Lockhart, W.L., Norstrom, R.J., Stern, G.A. and Stirling, I. 2005. Persistent organic pollutants and mercury in marine biota of the Canadian Arctic: an overview of spatial and temporal trends. *Science of the Total Environment* 351: 4-56.
- Bree, P.J.H. van. 1996. Extralimital records of the ringed seal, *Phoca hispida* Schreber, 1775, on the west-European continental coast. *Bonner Zoologische Beiträge* 46: 377-383.
- Bree, P.J.H. van. 1997. On extralimital records of Arctic seals (Mammalia, Pinnipedia) on the West-European continental coast in the past and at present - a summary. *Beaufortia* 47: 153-156.
- Brown, J., Dowdall, M., Gwynn, J.P., Børretzen, P., Selnæs, Ø.G., Kovacs, K.M. and Lydersen, C. 2006. Probabilistic biokinetic modelling of radiocaesium uptake in Arctic seal species: verification of modelled data with empirical observations. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity* 88: 289-305.
- Browne, P., Laake, J.L. and DeLong, R.L. 2002. Improving pinniped diet analyses through identification of multiple skeletal structures in fecal samples. *Fishery Bulletin* 100: 423-433.
- Burns, J.J. 1970. Remarks on the distribution and natural history of pagophilic pinnipeds in the Bering and Chukchi seas. *Journal of Mammalogy* 51: 445-454.
- Burns, J.J. and Harbo, S.J. Jr. 1972. An aerial census of ringed seals, northern coast of Alaska. *Arctic* 25: 279-290.
- Butt, C.M., Muir, D.C.G., Stirling, I., Kwan, M. and Mabury, S.A. 2007. Rapid response of Arctic ringed seals to changes in perfluoroalkyl production. *Environmental Science & Technology* 41: 42-49.

- CAFF (Conservation of Arctic Flora and Fauna). 1999. Incorporating ringed seals into CAFF and AMAP: U.S. CAFF delegation discussion paper (Document VII/10-9).
- Calvert, W. and Stirling, I. 1985. Winter distribution of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) in the Barrow Strait area, Northwest Territories, determined by underwater vocalizations. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 42: 1238-1243.
- Cameron, M.E. and Weiss, I.M. 1993. Organochlorine contaminants in the country food diet of the Belcher Island Inuit, Northwest Territories, Canada. *Arctic*, 46(1): 42-48.
- Cameron, M.E., Metcalfe, T.L., Metcalfe, C.D. and Macdonald, C.R. 1997. Persistent organochlorine compounds in the blubber of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) from the Belcher Islands, Northwest Territories, Canada. *Marine Environmental Research* 43(1/2): 99-116.
- Capstick, C.K. and Ronald, K. 1982. Modelling seal populations for herd management. Pp. 177-190 in: *Mammals in the seas*. Vol.4. Rome. (FAO fisheries series, 5).
- Carlens, H., Lydersen, C., Krafft, B.A. and Kovacs, K.M. 2006. Spring haul-out behavior of ringed seals (*Pusa hispida*) in Kongsfjorden, Svalbard. *Marine Mammal Science* 22: 379-393.
- Carrick, R., Csordas, S. E. and Ingham, E. E. 1962. Studies on the southern elephant seals, *Mirounga leonina* (L). Part 4: breeding and development. *Wildlife Research*, 7: 161-197.
- Chapskii, K.K. 1971. The ringed seal of western seas of the Soviet Arctic (the morphological characteristic, biology and hunting production). Ottawa. (Fisheries Research Board of Canada translation series, no.1665). 147 pp. (Originally in Russian: 1940).
- Christiansen, J.S., Moen, A.G.G., Hansen, T.H. and Nilssen, K. T. 2005. Digestion of capelin, *Mallotus villosus* (Müller), herring, *Clupea harengus* L., and polar cod, *Boreogadus saida* (Lepechin), otoliths in a simulated seal stomach. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 62(1): 86-92.
- Corell, R.W. 2006. Challenges of climate change: an Arctic perspective. *Ambio* 35: 148-152.
- Davis, C., Delisle, I., Stirling, I., Siniff, D.B. and Strobeck, C. 2004. A phylogeny of the extant Phocidae inferred from complete mitochondrial DNA coding regions. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 33: 363-377.
- Davis, R.A., Finley, K.J. and Richardson, W.J. 1980. The present status and future management of Arctic marine mammals in Canada. Yellowknife, N.W.T.: Dept. of Information. viii, 93 pp.
- Davis, R.A., Richardson, W.J., Thiele, L., Dietz, R. and Johansen, P. 1991. Report on underwater noise. Pp. 154-269 in: *The State of the Arctic environment: reports*. Rovaniemi: University of Lapland. (Arctic Centre publications, 2).
- Dehn, L.A., Sheffield, G.G., Follmann, E.H. Duffy, L.K., Thomas, D.L. and O'Hara, T.M. 2007. Feeding ecology of phocid seals and some walrus in the Alaskan and Canadian Arctic as determined by stomach contents and stable isotope analysis. *Polar Biology* 30(2): 167-181.
- Derocher, A.E., Wiig, Ø. and Andersen, M. 2002. Diet composition of polar bears in Svalbard and the western Barents Sea. *Polar Biology* 25, 448-452.
- Dietz, R., Ansen, C.T., Have, P. and Heide-Jørgensen, M.P. 1989. Clue to seal epizootic? *Nature* 338: 627.
- Dietz, R., Nielsen, C.O., Hansen, M.M. and Hansen, C.T. 1990. Organic mercury in Greenland birds and mammals. *Science of the Total Environment* 95: 41-51.
- Digby, S.A. 1984. Remote sensing of drained ice areas around the breathing holes of ice-inhabiting seals. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 62: 1011-1014.
- Drinkwater, K.F. 2005. The response of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) to future climate change. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 62: 1327-1337.
- Dubey, J.P., Zarnke, R., Thomas, N.J., Wong, S.K., Van Bonn, W., Briggs, M., Davis, J.W., Ewing, R., Mense, M., Kwok, O.C.H., Romand, S. and Thulliez, P. 2003. *Toxoplasma gondii*, *Neospora caninum*, *Sarcocystis neurona* and *Sarcocystis canis*-like infections in marine mammals. *Veterinary Parasitology* 116: 275-296.
- Dumas, J.A., Flato, G.M. and Brown, R.D. 2006. Future projections of landfast ice thickness and duration in the Canadian Arctic. *Journal of Climate* 19: 5175-5189.
- Eberhardt, L.L. and Siniff, D.B. 1977. Population dynamics and marine mammal policies. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada* 34: 183-190.
- Engelhardt, F.R. 1983. Petroleum effects on marine mammals. *Aquatic Toxicology* 4: 199-217.
- Estes, J.A., Tinkeer, M.T., Williams, T.M. and Doak, D.F. 1998. Killer whale predation on sea otters linking oceanic and nearshore ecosystems. *Science* 282: 473-476.
- Falk-Petersen, I.B., Fridvoll, V., Gulliksen, B. and Haug, T. 1986. Occurrence and age/size relations of polar cod, *Boreogadus saida* (Lepechin), in Spitsbergen coastal waters. *Sarsia* 71: 235-245.
- Falk-Petersen, S., Pavlov, V., Timofeev, S. and Sargent, J.R. 2006. Climate variability and possible effects on Arctic food chains: the role of Calanus. Pp. 147-165 in: *Arctic-alpine ecosystems and people in a changing Environment* / ed. J.B. Ørbæk et al. Berlin: Springer.
- Fay, F.H., Sease, J.L. and Merrick, R.L. 1990. Predation on a ringed seal, *Phoca hispida*, and a black guillemot, *Cepphus grylle*, by a Pacific walrus, *Odobenus rosmarus divergens*. *Marine Mammal Science* 6: 348-350.
- Fedoseev, G.A. 1975. Ecotypes of ringed seal (*Phoca hispida* Schreber, 1777) and their reproductive capabilities. *Rapports et procès-verbaux des réunions (ICES)* 169:156-160
- Fedoseev, G.A. and Yablokov, A.V. 1964. Morphological characteristics of the ringed seal (*Pusa hispida*, Pinnipedia, Mammalia) in the Okhotsk Sea. *Zoologicheskiy Zhurnal* 44: 749-765. (In Russian with English summary).
- Ferguson, S.H., Stirling, I. and McLoughlin, P. 2005. Climate change and ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) recruitment in western Hudson Bay. *Marine Mammal Science* 21: 121-135.
- Finley, K.J., Miller, G.W., Davis, R.A. and Koski, W.R. 1983. A distinctive large breeding population of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) inhabiting the Baffin Bay pack ice. *Arctic* 36: 162-173.
- Fisk, A.T., Tittlemier, S.A., Pranschke, J.L. and Norstrom, R.J. 2002. Using anthropogenic contaminants and stable isotopes to assess the feeding ecology of Greenland sharks. *Ecology* 83(8): 2162-2171.
- Fisk, A.T., Wit, C.A. de, Wayland, M., Kuzyk, Z.Z., Burgess, N., Letcher, R., Braune, B., Norstrom, R., Blum, S.P., Sandau, C., Lie, E., Larsen, H.J.S., Skaare, J.U. and Muir, D.C.G. 2005. An assessment of the toxicological significance of anthropogenic contaminants in Canadian arctic wildlife. *Science of the Total Environment* 351: 57-93.
- Forbes, L.B. 2000. The occurrence and ecology of *Trichinella* in marine mammals. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 93: 321-334.
- Francis, J.A., Hunter, E., Key, J.R. and Wang, X. 2005. Clues to variability in Arctic minimum sea ice extent. *Geophysical Research Letters* 32(21): art. no. L21501 (4 pp.)
- Freitas, C., Kovacs, K.M., Ims, R.A., Fedak, M.A. and Lydersen, C. 2008. Ringed seal post-moulting movement tactics and habitat selection. *Oecologia* 155: 193-204.
- Frost, K.J. and Lowry, L.F. 1981. Ringed, Baikal and Caspian seals (*Phoca hispida* Schreber, 1775, *Phoca sibirica* Gmelin, 1788 and *Phoca caspica* Gmelin, 1788). Pp. 29-53 in: *Seals* / ed. S.H. Ridgway and R.J. Harrison. London: Academic Press. (Handbook of marine mammals, 2).
- Frost, K.J., Lowry, L.F., Pendleton, G. and Nute, H.R. 2004. Factors affecting the observed densities of ringed seals, *Phoca hispida*, in the Alaskan Beaufort Sea, 1996-1999. *Arctic* 57: 115-128.
- Furgal, C.M., Innes, S. and Kovacs, K.M. 1996. Characteristics of ringed seal, *Phoca hispida*, subnivean structures and breeding habitat and their effects on predation. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 74: 858-874.
- Furnell, D.J. and Ooloooyuk, K. 1980. Polar bear predation on ringed seals in ice-free water. *Canadian Field-Naturalist* 94: 88-89.
- Gabrielsen, G.W. and Lønne, O.J. 1992. Summer diet of seabirds feeding in sea-ice-covered waters near Svalbard. *Polar Biology* 12: 685-692.

- Geraci, J.R. and Smith, T.G. 1976. Direct and indirect effects of oil on ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) of the Beaufort Sea. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada* 33: 1976-1984.
- Geraci, J.R. and St. Aubin, D.J. (eds). 1990. *Sea mammals and oil: confronting the risks*. San Diego: Academic Press. xvi, 282 pp.
- Geraci, J.R. and Lounsbury, V.J. 1993. *Marine mammals ashore: a field guide for strandings*. Galveston: Texas A&M University, Sea Grant Program. 305 pp.
- Gjertz, I. 1990. Ringed seal *Phoca hispida* fright behaviour caused by walrus *Odobenus rosmarus*. *Polar Research* 8: 317-319.
- Gjertz, I. and Lydersen, C. 1983. Ungekasting hos ringsel i Svalbardområdet. [Pupping in ringed seals in Svalbard]. *Fauna (Oslo)* 36(2): 65-66.
- Gjertz, I. and Lydersen, C. 1986a. The ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) spring diet in northwestern Spitsbergen, Svalbard. *Polar Research* 4: 53-56.
- Gjertz, I. and Lydersen, C. 1986b. Polar bear predation on ringed seals in the fast-ice of Hornsund, Svalbard. *Polar Research* 4: 65-68.
- Gjertz, I., Kovacs, K.M., Lydersen, C. and Wiig, Ø. 2000. Movements and diving of adult ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) in Svalbard. *Polar Biology* 23: 651-656.
- Gosselin, J.F., Measures, L.N. and Huot, J. 1998. Lungworm (Nematoda: Metastrongyloidea) infections in Canadian phocids. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 55(4): 825-834.
- Gwynn, J.P., Brown, J.E., Kovacs, K.M. and Lydersen, C. 2006. The derivation of radionuclide transfer parameters for and dose-rates to an adult ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) in an Arctic environment. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity* 90(3): 197-209.
- Hammill, M.O. and Smith, T.G. 1989. Factors affecting the distribution and abundance of ringed seal structures in Barrow Strait, Northwest Territories. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 67: 2212-2219.
- Hammill, M.O., Lydersen, C., Ryg, M. and Smith, T.G. 1991. Lactation in the ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 48: 2471-2476.
- Hammill, M.O. and Smith, T.G. 1991. The role of predation in the ecology of the ringed seal in Barrow Strait, Northwest Territories, Canada. *Marine Mammal Science* 7: 123-135.
- Hardy, M.H., Roff, E. Smith, T.G. and Ryg, M. 1991. Facial skin glands of ringed and grey seals, and their possible function as odoriferous organs. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 69: 189-200.
- Harvell, C.D., Kim, K., Vurkholder, J.M., Colwell, R.R., Epstein, P.R., Grimes, D.J., Hofmann, E.E., Lipp, E.K., Osterhaus, A.D.M.E., Overstreet, R.M., Porter, J.W., Smith, G.W. and Vasta, G.R. 1999. Emerging marine diseases: climate links and anthropogenic factors. *Science* 285(5433): 1505-1510.
- Harwood, L.A. and Stirling, I. 1992. Distribution of ringed seals in the southeastern Beaufort Sea during late summer. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 70: 891-900.
- Haug, T. and Gulliksen, B. 1982. Size, age, occurrence, growth and food of Greenland halibut, *Reinhardtius hippoglossoides* (Walbaum) in coastal waters of Spitzbergen. *Sarsia* 68: 293-297.
- Heide-Jørgensen, M.P. 2004. Aerial digital photographic surveys of narwhals, *Monodon monoceros*, in Northwest Greenland. *Marine Mammal Science*, 20: 246-261.
- Heide-Jørgensen, M.P., Stewart, B.S. and Leatherwood, S. 1992. Satellite tracking of ringed seals *Phoca hispida* off Northwest Greenland. *Ecography* 15: 56-61.
- Heide-Jørgensen, M.P. and Lydersen, C. (eds) 1998. *Ringed seals in the North Atlantic*. (NAMMCO scientific publications, 1). Tromsø: North Atlantic Marine Mammal Commission. 273 pp.
- Helle, E. 1980. Lowered reproductive capacity in female ringed seals (*Pusa hispida*) in the Bothnian Bay, northern Baltic Sea, with special reference to uterine occlusions. *Annals Zoologici Fennici* 17: 147-158.
- Helle, E., Olsson, M. and Jensen, S. 1976. PCB levels correlated with pathological changes in seal uteri. *Ambio* 5: 261-263.
- Hickie, B.E., Muir, D.C.G., Addison, R.F. and Hoekstra, P.F. 2005. Development and application of bioaccumulation models to assess persistent organic pollutant temporal trends in Arctic ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) populations. *Science of the Total Environment* 351: 413-426.
- Hjelset, A.M., Andersen, M., Gjertz, I., Lydersen, C. and Gulliksen, B. 1999. Feeding habits of bearded seals (*Erignathus barbatus*) from the Svalbard area, Norway. *Polar Biology* 21: 186-193.
- Hoberg, E.P. and Measures, L.N. 1995. *Anophryocephalus inuitorum* sp.nov. and *A. arcticensis* sp.nov. (Eucestoda, Tetrabothriidae) in ringed seals (*Phoca hispida hispida*) and harp seals (*Phoca groenlandica*) from high-latitude seas of eastern Canada and the Arctic basin. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 73(1): 34-44.
- Hobson, K.A., Sease, J.L., Merrick, R.L. and Piatt, J.F. 1997. Investigating trophic relationships of pinnipeds in Alaska and Washington using stable isotope ratios of nitrogen and carbon. *Marine Mammal Science* 13: 114-132.
- Hoekstra, P.F., O'Hara, T.M., Fisk, A.T., Borgå, K., Solomon, K.R. and Muir, D.C.G. 2003. Trophic transfer of persistent organochlorine contaminants (OCs) within an Arctic marine food web from the southern Beaufort-Chukchi Seas. *Environmental Pollution* 124: 509-522.
- Holden, A.V. 1972. Monitoring organochlorine contamination of the marine environment by the analysis of residues in seals. Pp. 266-272 in: *Marine pollution and sea life / ed. M. Ruivo*. West Byfleet: Fishing News.
- Holst, M., Stirling, I. and Hobson, K.A. 2001. Diet of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) on the east and west sides of the North Water Polynya, northern Baffin Bay. *Marine Mammal Science* 17: 888-908.
- Holst, M., and Stirling, I. 2002. A comparison of ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) biology on the east and west sides of the North Water Polynya, Baffin Bay. *Aquatic Mammals* 28: 221-230.
- Hughes-Hanks, J.M., Rickard, L.G., Panuska, C., Saucier, J.R., O'Hara, T.M., Dehn, L. and Rolland, R.M. 2005. Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *Giardia* spp. in five marine mammal species. *Journal of Parasitology* 91: 1225-1228.
- Hyvärinen, H., Sipilä, T., Kunnasranta, M. and Koskela, J.T. 1997. Mercury pollution and the Saimaa ringed seal (*Phoca hispida saimensis*). *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 36: 76-81.
- Härkönen, T. 1986. *Guide to the otoliths of the bony fishes of the Northeast Atlantic*. Hellerup: Danbiu. 256 pp.
- Iverson, S.J., Field, C., Bowen, W.D. and Blanchard, W. 2004. Quantitative fatty acid signature analysis: a new method of estimating predator diets. *Ecological Monographs*, 74(2): 211-235.
- Jensen, J. 1991. Report on organochlorines. Pp. 335-384 in: *The State of the Arctic environment: reports*. Rovaniemi: University of Lapland. (Arctic Centre publications, 2).
- Jessen, A. (ed.) 2001. *Seals in the marine ecosystem: report of the Seal Seminar, March 20/21, Nuuk*. Copenhagen: Nordic Council of Ministers. (TemaNord, 580).
- Johansen, C.E., Lydersen, C., Aspholm, P.E., Haug, T. and Kovacs, K.M. 2010. Helminth parasites in ringed seals (*Pusa hispida*) from Svalbard, Norway, with special emphasis on nematodes: variation with age, sex, diet and location of host. *Journal of Parasitology* 96(5): 946-953.
- Johnson, M.L., Fiscus, C.H., Ostenson, B.T. and Barbour, M.L. 1966. Marine mammals. Pp. 877-924 in: *Environment of the Cape Thompson Region, Alaska / N.J. Wilimowsky and J.N. Wolfe* (eds). Oak Ridge, TN: U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.
- Kallenborn, R. 2006. Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) as environmental risk factors in remote high-altitude ecosystems. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 63: 100-107.
- Kapel, F.O., Christiansen, J., Heide-Jørgensen, M.P., Härkönen, T., Born, E.W., Knutsen, L.Ø., Riget, F. and Teilmann, J. 1998. Netting and conventional tagging used to study movements of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) in Greenland. Pp. 211-228 in: *Ringed seals in the North Atlantic / ed. M.P. Heide-Jørgensen and C. Lydersen*. Tromsø: North Atlantic Marine Mammal Commission. (NAMMCO scientific publications, 1).
- Kaplan, J.O. and New, M. 2006. Arctic climate change with a 2 °C global warming: timing, climate patterns and vegetation change. *Climatic Change* 79(3/4): 213-241.

- Kelly, B.P. 1988. Ringed seal *Phoca hispida*. Pp. 57-75 in: Selected marine mammals of Alaska: species account with research and management recommendations / ed. J.W. Lentfer. Washington D.C.: Marine Mammal Commission.
- Kelly, B.P., Burns, J.J. and Quakenbush, L.T. 1988. Responses of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) to noise disturbance. Pp. 27-38 in: Symposium on Noise and Marine Mammals / ed. J.L. Imm and S.D. Treacy. University of Alaska Fairbanks. (Port and ocean engineering under Arctic conditions. Vol. 2).
- Kelly, B.P. and Quakenbush, L.T. 1990. Spatiotemporal use of lairs by ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*). *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 68: 2503-2512.
- Kelly, B.P. and Wartzok, D. 1996. Ringed seal diving behavior in the breeding season. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 74: 1547-1555.
- Keup-Thiel, E., Götzel, H. and Jacob, D. 2006. Regional climate simulations for the Barents Sea region. *Boreal Environmental Research* 11: 329-339.
- Kingsley, M.C.S., Stirling, I. and Calvert, W. 1985. The distribution and abundance of seals in the Canadian high Arctic, 1980-82. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 42: 1189-1210.
- Kingsley, M.C.S., Hammill, M.O. and Kelly, B.P. 1990. Infrared sensing of the under-snow lairs of the ringed seal. *Marine Mammal Science* 6: 339-347.
- Kingsley, M.C.S. and Byers, T.J. 1998. Failure of reproduction in ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) in Amundsen Gulf, Northwest Territories in 1984-1987. Pp. 197-210 in: Ringed seals in the North Atlantic / ed. M.P. Heide-Jørgensen and C. Lydersen. Tromsø: North Atlantic Marine Mammal Commission. (NAMMCO scientific publications, 1).
- Kjellquist, S.A., Haug, T. and Øritsland, T. 1995. Trends in age-composition, growth and reproductive parameters of Barents Sea harp seals, *Phoca groenlandica*. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 52: 197-208.
- Kleivane, L., Severinsen, T. and Skaare, J.U. 2000. Biological transport and mammal to mammal transfer of organochlorines in Arctic fauna. *Marine Environmental Research* 49: 343-357.
- Knutsen, L.Ø. and Born, E.W. 1989. Netting, tagging and Zolatil-immobilization of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) in Anvanersuaq (Thule area, North Greenland), August 1988. Nuuk. (Greenland Home Rule, Department of Wildlife Management. Technical report, 5). 27 pp.
- Kovacs, K.M. and Lydersen, C. (eds). 2006. Birds and mammals of Svalbard. Tromsø: Norwegian Polar Institute. (Polarhåndbok, 13). 203 pp.
- Krafft, B.A., Kovacs, K.M., Frie, A.K., Haug, T. and Lydersen, C. 2006a. Growth and population parameters of ringed seals (*Pusa hispida*) from Svalbard, Norway, 2002-2004. *ICES Journal of Marine Sciences* 63: 1136-1144.
- Krafft, B.A., Kovacs, K.M., Andersen, M., Aars, J., Lydersen, C., Ergon, T. and Haug, T. 2006b. Abundance of ringed seals (*Pusa hispida*) in the fjords of Spitsbergen, Svalbard, during the peak moulting period. *Marine Mammal Science* 22: 394-412.
- Krafft, B.A., Kovacs, K.M. and Lydersen, C. 2007. Distribution of sex and age groups of ringed seals *Pusa hispida* in the fast-ice breeding habitat of Kongsfjorden, Svalbard. *Marine Ecology, Progress Series* 335: 199-206.
- Kucklick, J.R., Krahn, M.M., Becker, P.R., Porter, B.J., Schantz, M.M., York, G.S., O'Hara, T.M. and Wise, S.A. 2006. Persistent organic pollutants in Alaskan ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) and walrus (*Odobenus rosmarus*) blubber. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring* 8: 848-854.
- Kuhnlein, H.V., Receveur, O., Muir, D.C.G., Chan, H.M. and Soueida, R. 1995. Arctic indigenous women consume greater than acceptable levels of organochlorines. *Journal of Nutrition* 125: 2501-2510.
- Kuhnlein, H.V. and Chan, H.M. 2000. Environment and contaminants in traditional food systems of northern indigenous peoples. *Annual Review of Nutrition* 20: 595-626.
- Labansen, A.L., Lydersen, C., Haug, T. and Kovacs, K.M. 2007. Spring diet of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) from northwestern Spitsbergen, Norway. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 64(6): 1246-1256.
- Larsen, T. and Kjos-Hansen, B. 1983. *Trichinella* sp. in polar bears from Svalbard, in relation to hide length and age. *Polar Research* 1: 89-96.
- Laws, R.M. 1953. The elephant seal (*Mirounga leonina* Linn.) 1: growth and age. London. (Falkland Islands Dependencies Survey. Scientific report, 8). 62 pp., 5 pl.
- Loeng, H., Brander, K., Carmack, E., Denisenko, S., Drinkwater, K., Hansen, B., Kovacs, K., Livingston, P., McLaughlin, F. and Sakshaug, E. 2005. Marine Systems. Pp. 453-538 in: Arctic climate impact assessment / ed. C. Symon et al. Cambridge University Press.
- Lowry, L.F., Frost, K.J., and Burns, J.J. 1980. Variability in the diet of ringed seals, *Phoca hispida*, in Alaska. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 37: 2254-2261.
- Lowry, L.F. and Fay, F.H. 1984. Seal eating walrus in the Bering and Chukchi seas. *Polar Biology* 3: 11-18.
- Lucas, Z.N. and McAlpine, D.F. 2002. Extralimital occurrence of ringed seals, *Phoca hispida*, on Sable Island, Nova Scotia. *Canadian Field-Naturalist* 116: 607-610.
- Lukas, B., Vetter, W., Fischer, P., Heidemann, G. and Plötz, J. 1990. Characteristic chlorinated hydrocarbon patterns in the blubber of seals from different marine regions. *Chemosphere* 21, 13-19.
- Lukin, L.R. 1980. Habitat of White Sea ringed seal in the initial period of postnatal development. *Soviet Journal of Marine Biology* 6: 266-269.
- Lukin, L.R. and Potelov, V.A. 1978. Living conditions and distribution of ringed seal in the White Sea in the winter. *Soviet Journal of Marine Biology* 4: 684-690.
- Lunn, N.J., Stirling, I. and Nowicki, S.N. 1997. Distribution and abundance of ringed (*Phoca hispida*) and bearded seals (*Erigonathus barbatus*) in western Hudson Bay. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 54: 914-921.
- Lydersen, C. 1991. Monitoring ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) activity by means of acoustic telemetry. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 69: 1178-1182.
- Lydersen, C. 1995. Energetics of pregnancy, lactation and neonatal development in ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*). Pp. 319-327 in: Whales, seals, fish and man: proceedings of the International Symposium on the Biology of Marine Mammals in the North East Atlantic, Tromsø, Norway, 29.11.-1.12. 1994 / ed.: A.S. Blix et al. Amsterdam: Elsevier. (Developments in marine biology, 4).
- Lydersen, C. 1998. Status and biology of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) in Svalbard. Pp. 46-62 in: Ringed seals in the North Atlantic / ed. M.P. Heide-Jørgensen and C. Lydersen. Tromsø: North Atlantic Marine Mammal Commission. (NAMMCO scientific publications, 1).
- Lydersen, C. and Gjertzt, I. 1986. Studies of the ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*, Schreber 1775) in its breeding habitat in Kongsfjorden, Svalbard. *Polar Research* 4: 57-63.
- Lydersen, C. and Gjertzt, I. 1987. Population parameters of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida* Schreber, 1775) in the Svalbard area. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 65: 1021-1027.
- Lydersen, C. and Smith, T.G. 1989. Avian predation on ringed seal *Phoca hispida* pups. *Polar Biology* 9(8): 489-490.
- Lydersen, C., Gjertzt, I. and Weslawski, J.M. 1989. Stomach contents of autumn-feeding marine vertebrates from Hornsund, Svalbard. *Polar Record* 25: 107-114.
- Lydersen, C., Jensen, P.M. and Lydersen, E. 1990. A survey of the Van Mijen Fiord, Svalbard, as habitat for ringed seals, *Phoca hispida*. *Holarctic Ecology* 13: 130-133.
- Lydersen, C. and Ryg, M. 1991. Evaluating breeding habitat and populations of ringed seals *Phoca hispida* in Svalbard fjords. *Polar Record* 27(162): 223-228.
- Lydersen, C., Hammill, M.O. and Ryg, M. 1992. Water flux and mass gain during lactation in free-living ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) pups. *Journal of Zoology* 228: 361-369.
- Lydersen, C. and Hammill, M.O. 1993a. Diving in ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) pups during the nursing period. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 71: 991-996.

- Lydersen, C. and Hammill, M.O. 1993b. Activity, milk intake and energy consumption in free-living ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) pups. *Journal of Comparative Physiology. B: Biochemical, Systemic and Environmental Physiology* 163: 433-438.
- Lydersen, C., Hammill, M.O. and Ryg, M. 1993. Differences in haul-out pattern in two nursing ringed seal *Phoca hispida* pups. *Fauna Norvegica. Serie A* 14: 47-49.
- Lydersen, C. and Kovacs, K.M. 1999. Behaviour and energetics of ice-breeding, North Atlantic phocid seals during the lactation period. *Marine Ecology, Progress Series* 187: 265-281.
- Lydersen, C., Nøst, O., Kovacs, K.M. and Fedak, M.A. 2004. Temperature data from Norwegian and Russian waters of the northern Barents Sea collected by free-living ringed seals. *Journal of Marine Systems* 46: 99-108.
- MacDonald, R.W., Barrie, L.A., Bidleman, T.F., Diamond, M.L., Gregor, D.J., Semkin, R.G., Strachan, W.M.J., Li, Y.F., Wania, F., Alae, M., Alexeeva, L.B., Backus, S.M., Bailey, R., Bewers J.M., Gobeil, C., Halsall, C.J., Harner, T., Hoff, J.T., Jantunen, L.M.M., Lockhart, W.L., Mackay, D., Muir, D.C.G., Pudykiewicz, J., Reimer, K.J., Smith, J.N., Stern, G.A., Schroeder, W.H., Wagemann, R. and Yunker, M.B. 2000. Contaminants in the Canadian Arctic: 5 years of progress in understanding sources, occurrence and pathways. Amsterdam: Elsevier. (Science of the total environment, 254:2/3). Pp. 93-234.
- Macdonald, R.W., Harner, T., Fyfe, J., Loeng, H. and Weingartner, T. 2003. AMAP assessment 2002: the influence of global change on contaminant pathways to, within and from the Arctic. Oslo: Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme. xi, 65 pp.
- MacDonald, R.W., Harner, T. and Fyfe, J. 2005. Recent climate change in the Arctic and its impact on contaminant pathways and interpretation of temporal trend data. *Science of the Total Environment* 342(1/3): 5-86.
- Mackay, D. and Wania, F. 1995. Transport of contaminants to the Arctic: partitioning, processes and models. *Science of the Total Environment*, 160/161: 25-38.
- McLaren, I.A. 1958. The biology of the ringed seal (*Phoca hispida* Schreber) in the eastern Canadian Arctic. Ottawa. (Fisheries Research Board of Canada. Bulletin, 118). vii, 97 pp.
- McLaren, I.A. 1990. Pinnipeds and oil: ecologic perspectives. Pp 55-101 in: *Sea mammals and oil: confronting the risks* / ed. J.R. Geraci and D.J. St. Aubin. San Diego: Academic Press.
- McLaren, I.A. 1993. Growth in pinnipeds. *Biological Reviews* 68(1): 1-79.
- MacLaren Marex. 1979. Report on feeding studies of marine mammals and birds from the southern Davis Strait region. St. John's. (Arctic Petroleum Operators Ass. Project 146). 1 vol.
- Mansfield, A.W. 1970. Population dynamics and exploitation of some Arctic seals. Pp. 429-446. in: *Antarctic ecology* / ed. M.W. Holdgate. Volume 1. London: Academic Press.
- Marcus, J., Bowen, W.D. and Eddington, J.D. 1998. Effects of meal size on otolith recovery from fecal samples of gray and harbor seal pups. *Marine Mammal Science* 14: 789-802.
- Martin, J.W., Smithwick, M.M., Braune, B.M., Hoekstra, P.F., Muir, D.C.G. and Mabury, S.A. 2004. Identification of long-chain perfluorinated acids in biota from Canadian Arctic. *Environmental Science & Technology* 38: 373-380.
- Measures, L.N. and Gosselin, J.F. 1994. Helminth-parasites of ringed seal, *Phoca hispida*, from northern Quebec, Canada. *Journal of the Helminthological Society of Washington* 61: 240-244.
- Measures, L.N., Gosselin, J.F. and Bergeron, E. 1997. Heartworm, *Acanthocheilonema spirocauda* (Leidy, 1858), infections in Canadian phocid seals. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 54: 842-846.
- Meehl, G.A., Washington, W.M., Santer, B.D., Collins, W.D., Arblaster, J.M., Hu, A.X., Lawrence, D.M., Teng, H.Y., Buja, L.E. and Strand, W.G. 2006. Climate change projections for the twenty-first century and climate change commitment in the CCSM3. *Journal of Climate* 19: 2597-2616.
- Melnikov, S.A. 1991. Report on heavy metals. Pp 82-153 in: *The State of the Arctic environment: reports*. Rovaniemi: University of Lapland. (Arctic Centre publications, 2).
- Miller, G.W., Davis, R.A. and Finley, K.J. 1982. Ringed seals in the Baffin Bay region: habitat use, population dynamics and harvest levels. Toronto: LGL. 94 pp.
- Moore, G.W.K. 2006. Reduction in seasonal sea ice concentration surrounding southern Baffin Island 1979-2004. *Geophysical Research Letters* 33(20): art. no. L20501 (5 pp.)
- Moulton, V.D., Richardson, W.J., McDonald, T.L., Elliott, R.E. and Williams, M.T. 2002. Factors influencing local abundance and haulout behaviour of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) on landfast ice of the Alaskan Beaufort Sea. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 80: 1900-1917.
- Moulton, V.D., Richardson, W.J., Williams, M.T. and Blackwell, S.B. 2003. Ringed seal densities and noise near an icebound artificial island with construction and drilling. *Acoustics Research Letters Online (ARLO)* 4: 112-117.
- Moulton, V.D., Richardson, W.J., Elliott, R.E., McDonald, T.L., Nations, C. and Williams, M.T. 2005. Effects of an offshore oil development on local abundance and distribution of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) of the Alaskan Beaufort Sea. *Marine Mammal Science* 21: 217-242.
- Muir, D.C.G., Norstrom, R.J., and Simon, M. 1988. Organochlorine contaminants in Arctic marine food chains: accumulation of specific polychlorinated biphenyls and chlordane-related compounds. *Environmental Science & Technology* 22: 1071-1079.
- Muir, D.C.G. and Norstrom, R.J. 1991. Marine mammals as indicators of environmental contamination by PCBs and dioxins/furans. Pp. 820-826 in: *Proceedings of the seventh annual aquatic toxicity workshop*, Nov. 5-7 1990 / ed. P. Chapman et al. Vancouver. (Canadian technical report of fisheries and aquatic sciences, 1774).
- Muir, D.C.G., Wagemann, R., Hargrave, B.T., Thomas, D.J., Peakall, D.B. and Norstrom, R.J. 1992. Arctic marine ecosystem contamination. *Science of the Total Environment* 122: 75-134.
- Muir, D.C.G., Segstro, M.D., Hobson, K.A., Ford, C.A., Stewart, R.E.A. and Olpinski, S. 1995. Can seal eating explain elevated levels of PCBs and organochlorine pesticides in walrus blubber from Eastern Hudson Bay? *Environmental Pollution* 90: 335-348.
- Muir, D., Braune, B., DeMarch, B., Norstrom, R., Wagemann, R., Lockhart, L., Hargrave, B., Bright, D., Addison, R., Payne, J. and Reimer, K. 1999. Spatial and temporal trends and effects of contaminants in the Canadian Arctic marine ecosystem: a review. *Science of the Total Environment* 230(1/3): 83-144.
- Muir, D., Riget, F., Cleemann, M., Skaare, J., Kleivane, L., Nakata, H., Dietz, R., Severinsen, T. and Tanabe, S. 2000. Circumpolar trends of PCBs and organochlorine pesticides in the Arctic marine environment inferred from levels in ringed seals. *Environmental Science & Technology* 34: 2431-2438.
- Muir, D., Savinova, T., Savinov, V., Alexeeva, L., Potelov, V. and Svetochev, V. 2003. Bioaccumulation of PCBs and chlorinated pesticides in seals, fishes and invertebrates from the White Sea, Russia. *Science of the Total Environment* 306: 111-131.
- Murdoch, J. 1885. Seal-catching at Point Barrow. *Transactions of the Anthropological Society of Washington* 3: 102-108.
- Murie, D.J. and Lavigne, D.M. 1986. Interpretation of otoliths in stomach content analyses of phocid seals: quantifying fish consumption. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 64(5): 1152-1157.
- Nakata, H., Tanabe, S., Tatsukawa, R., Koyama, Y., Miyazaki, N., Belikov, S. and Boltunov, A. 1998. Persistent organochlorine contaminants in ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) from the Kara Sea, Russian Arctic. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 17: 1745-1755.
- Nazarenko, Y.I. 1965. Contributions to the study of the ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) of the Choska Inlet. Ottawa. (Fisheries Research Board of Canada. Translation Series, 1461). 10 pp.
- Nielsen, O., Nielsen, K. and Stewart, R.E.A. 1996. Serologic evidence of *Brucella* spp. exposure in Atlantic walrus (*Odobenus rosmarus rosmarus*) and ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) of Arctic Canada. *Arctic* 49: 383-386.
- Nielsen, O., Stewart, R.E.A., Nielsen, K., Measures, L. and Duignan, P. 2001. Serological survey of *Brucella* spp. antibodies in some marine mammals of North America. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 37: 89-100.

- Nies, H., Harms, I.H., Karcher, M.J., Dethleff, D. and Bahe, C. 1999. Anthropogenic radioactivity in the Arctic Ocean: review of the results from the joint German project. *Science of the Total Environment* 238: 181-191.
- Odland, J.O., Deutch, B., Hansen, J.C. and Burkow, I.C. 2003. The importance of diet on exposure to and effects of persistent organic pollutants on human health in the Arctic. *Acta Paediatrica* 92: 1255-1266.
- Ognev, S.I. 1962. Carnivora (Fissipedia and Pinnipedia). Jerusalem: Israel program for scientific translations. (Mammals of the USSR and adjacent countries, 3). 641 pp. (Orig. published in Russian 1935).
- Olli, K., Wassmann, P., Riegstad, M., Ratkova, T.N., Arashkevich, E., Pasternak, A., Matrai, P.A., Knulst, J., Tranvik, L., Klais, R. and Jacobsen, A. 2007. The fate of production in the central Arctic Ocean: top-down regulation by zooplankton expatriates? *Progress in Oceanography* 72(1): 84-113.
- Parkinson, C.L. 2006. Earth's cryosphere: current state and recent changes. 2006. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources* 31: 33-60.
- Parkinson, C.L. and Cavalieri, D.J. 2002. A 21-year record of Arctic sea-ice extents and their regional, seasonal and monthly variability and trends. *Annals of Glaciology* 34: 441-446.
- Pierce, G.J. and Boyle, P.R. 1991. A review of methods for diet analysis in piscivorous marine mammals. *Oceanography and Marine Biology: an annual review* 29: 409-486.
- Polder, A., Odland, J.O., Tkachev, A., Føreid, S., Savinova, T.N. and Skaare, J.U. 2003. Geographic variation of chlorinated pesticides, toxaphenes and PCBs in human milk from sub-arctic and Arctic locations in Russia. *Science of the Total Environment* 306(1/3): 179-195.
- Ponomarenko, V.P. 1968. Some data on the distribution and migrations of polar cod in the seas of the Soviet Arctic. *Rapports et procès-verbaux des réunions (ICES)* 158: 135-137.
- Popov, L.A. 1982. Status of the main ice-living seals inhabiting inland waters and coastal marine areas of the USSR. Pp. 361-381 in: *Mammals in the seas*. Vol.4. Rome. (FAO fisheries series, 5).
- Reeves, R.R. 1998. Distribution, abundance and biology of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*): an overview. Pp. 9-45 in: *Ringed seals in the North Atlantic* / ed. M.P. Heide-Jørgensen and C. Lydersen. Tromsø. (NAMMCO scientific publication, 1).
- Reeves, R.R., Wenzel, G.W., and Kingsley, M.C.S. Catch history of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) in Canada. Pp. 100-120 in: *Ringed seals in the North Atlantic* / ed. M.P. Heide-Jørgensen and C. Lydersen. Tromsø. (NAMMCO scientific publication, 1).
- Rice, D.W. 1998. *Marine mammals of the world: systematics and distribution*. Lawrence, KS. (Society for Marine Mammalogy special publication, 4). ix, 231 pp.
- Ridoux, V., Hall, A.J., Steingrímsson, G., and Olafsson, G. 1998. An inad-vertent homing experiment with a young ringed seal, *Phoca hispida*. *Marine Mammal Science* 14: 883-888.
- Riewe, R.R. and Amsden, C.W. 1979. Harvesting and utilization of pinnipeds by Inuit hunters in Canada's eastern high Arctic. Pp. 324-348 in: *Thule Eskimo culture: an anthropological retrospective*. Ottawa: National Museums of Canada. (Archaeological Survey of Canada. Paper, 88).
- Riget, F. and Dietz, R. 2000. Temporal trends of cadmium and mercury in Greenland marine biota. *Science of the Total Environment* 245: 49-60.
- Riget, F., Dietz, R., Vorkamp, K., Johansen, P. and Muir, D. 2004. Levels and spatial and temporal trends of contaminants in Greenland biota: an updated review. Pp. 29-52 in: *Contaminants in the Greenland environment: an update* / ed. F. Riget et al. Amsterdam: Elsevier. (Science of the total environment; 331:1/3).
- Riget, F., Muir, D., Savinova, T., Nyman, M., Woshner, V. and O'Hara, T. 2005. Circumpolar pattern of mercury and cadmium in ringed seals. *Science of the Total Environment* 351: 312-322.
- Riget, F., Vorkamp, K., Dietz, R. and Rastogi, C. 2006. Temporal trend studies on polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in ringed seals from East Greenland. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring* 8: 1000-1005.
- Rosing-Asvid, A. 2006. the influence of climate variability on polar bear (*Ursus maritimus*) and ringed seal (*Pusa hispida*) population dynamics. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 84: 357-364.
- Roth, J.D. 2003. Variability in marine resources affects Arctic fox population dynamics. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 72: 668-676.
- Ryg, M., Lydersen, C., Markussen, N.H., Smith, T.G. and Øritsland, N.A. 1990a. Estimating the blubber content of phocid seals. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 47(6): 1223-1227.
- Ryg, M., Smith, T.G. and Øritsland, N.A. 1990b. Seasonal changes in body mass and body composition of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) on Svalbard. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 68(3): 470-475.
- Ryg, M. and Øritsland, N.A. 1991. Estimates of energy expenditure and energy consumption of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) throughout the year. *Polar Research* 10: 595-601.
- Ryg, M., Solberg, Y., Lydersen, C. and Smith, T.G. 1992. The scent of rutting male ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*). *Journal of Zoology* 226: 681-689.
- Serreze, M.C. and Francis, J.A. 2006. The Arctic amplification debate. *Climatic Change* 76: 241-264.
- Sewall, J.O. 2005. Precipitation shifts over western North America as a result of declining Arctic sea ice cover: the coupled system response. *Earth Interactions* 9: 26. 23 pp.
- Siegstad, H., Neve, P.B., Heide-Jørgensen, M.P. and Härkönen, T. 1998. Diet of the ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) in Greenland. Pp. 229-241 in: *Ringed seals in the North Atlantic* / ed. M.P. Heide-Jørgensen and C. Lydersen. Tromsø: North Atlantic Marine Mammal Commission. (NAMMCO scientific publications, 1).
- Simpkins, M. A., Kelly, B.P. and Wartzok, D. 2001a. Three-dimensional diving behaviors of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*). *Marine Mammal Science* 17: 909-925.
- Simpkins, M. A., Kelly, B.P. and Wartzok, D. 2001b. Three-dimensional movements within individual dives by ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*). *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 79: 1455-1464.
- Simpkins, M. A., Kelly, B.P. and Wartzok, D. 2001c. Three-dimensional analysis of search behaviour in ringed seals. *Animal Behaviour* 62: 67-72.
- Simpkins, M.A., Hiruki-Raring, L.M., Sheffield, G., Grebmeier, J.M. and Bengston, J.L. 2003. Habitat selection by ice-associated pinnipeds near St. Lawrence Island, Alaska in March. *Polar Biology* 26: 577-586.
- Sipilä, T. and Hyvärinen, H. 1998. Status and biology of Saimaa (*Phoca hispida saimensis*) and Ladoga (*Phoca hispida ladogensis*) ringed seals. Pp. 83-99 in: *Ringed seals in the North Atlantic* / ed. M.P. Heide-Jørgensen and C. Lydersen. Tromsø: North Atlantic Marine Mammal Commission. (NAMMCO scientific publications, 1).
- Sjare, B., Stenson, G. B. and Warren, W. G. 1996. Summary of female harp seal reproductive parameters in the Northwest Atlantic. *NAFO Scientific Council Studies* 26: 41-46.
- Skaare, J.U., Degre, E., Aspholm, P.E. and Ugland, K.I. 1994. Mercury and selenium in Arctic and coastal seals off the coast of Norway. *Environmental Pollution* 85: 153-160.
- Smith, T.G. 1973. Population dynamics of the ringed seal in the Canadian eastern Arctic. Ottawa. (Fisheries Research Board of Canada. Bulletin, 181). viii, 55 pp.
- Smith, T.G. 1976. Predation of ringed seal pups (*Phoca hispida*) by the Arctic fox (*Alopex lagopus*). *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 54: 1610-1616.
- Smith, T.G. 1980. Polar bear predation of ringed and bearded seals in the land-fast sea ice habitat. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 58: 2201-2209.
- Smith, T.G. 1987. The ringed seal, *Phoca hispida*, of the Canadian western Arctic. Ottawa. (Fisheries Research Board of Canada. Bulletin, 216). 81 pp.
- Smith, T.G. and Stirling, I. 1975. Breeding habitat of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*): birth lair and associated structures. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 53: 1297-1305.

- Smith, T.G. and Stirling, I. 1978. Variation in the density of ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) birth lairs in the Amundsen Gulf, Northwest Territories. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 56: 1066-1071.
- Smith, T.G. and Hammill, M.O. 1980a. Ringed seal, *Phoca hispida*, breeding habitat survey of Bridport Inlet and adjacent coastal sea ice. Vancouver: Dep. of Fisheries and Oceans. (Canadian manuscript reports of fisheries and aquatic sciences, 1577). 31 pp.
- Smith, T.G. and Hammill, M.O. 1980b. A survey of the breeding habitat of ringed seals and a study of their behavior during the hauling-out period in southeastern Baffin Island. Vancouver: Dep. of Fisheries and Oceans. (Canadian manuscript reports of fisheries and aquatic sciences, 1561). 47 pp.
- Smith, T.G. and Hammill, M.O. 1981. Ecology of the ringed seal, *Phoca hispida*, in its fast ice breeding habitat. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 59: 966-981.
- Smith, T. G. and Lydersen, C. 1991. Availability of suitable land-fast ice and predation as factors limiting ringed seal populations, *Phoca hispida*, in Svalbard. *Polar Research* 10: 585-594.
- Smith, T.G., Hammill, M.O. and Taugbøl, G. 1991. A review of the developmental, behavioural and physiological adaptations of the ringed seal, *Phoca hispida*, to life in the Arctic winter. *Arctic* 44: 124-131.
- Smith, T.G. and Harwood, L.A. 2001. Observations of neonate ringed seals, *Phoca hispida*, after early break-up of the sea ice in Prince Albert Sound, Northwest Territories, Canada, spring 1998. *Polar Biology* 24: 215-219.
- Stirling, I. 1974. Midsummer observations of the behavior of wild polar bears (*Ursus maritimus*). *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 52: 1191-1198.
- Stirling, I. 1977. Adaptations of Weddell seal and ringed seals to exploit the polar fast ice habitat in the absence or presence of surface predators. Pp. 741-748 in: *Adaptations within Antarctic ecosystems: proceedings of the third SCAR Symposium on Antarctic Biology* / ed. G.A. Llano. Houston: Gulf Publ.
- Stirling, I. 1983. The evolution of mating systems in pinnipeds. Pp. 489-527. in: *Advances in the study of mammalian behaviour* / ed. J.F. Eisenberg and D.G. Kleiman. Shippensburg. (Special publication / American Society of Mammologists, 7).
- Stirling, I. 1988. *Polar bears*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press. viii, 220 pp.
- Stirling, I. 1997. The importance of polynyas, ice edges and leads to marine mammals and birds. *Journal of Marine Systems* 10: 9-21.
- Stirling, I. 2005. Reproductive rates of ringed seals and survival of pups in northwestern Hudson Bay, Canada, 1991-2000. *Polar Biology* 28: 381-387.
- Stirling, I. and McEwan, E. 1975. The caloric value of whole ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) in relation to polar bear (*Ursus maritimus*) ecology and hunting behavior. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 53: 1021-1027.
- Stirling, I. and Archibald, W.R. 1977. Aspects of predation of seals by polar bears. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada* 34(8): 1126-1129.
- Stirling, I., Archibald, W.R. and DeMaster, D. 1977. Distribution and abundance of seals in the eastern Beaufort Sea. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada* 34(7): 976-988.
- Stirling, I. and Latour, P.B. 1978. Comparative hunting abilities of polar bear cubs of different ages. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 56: 1768-1772.
- Stirling, I. and Calvert, W. 1979. Ringed seal. pp. 66-69 in: *Mammals in the seas*. Vol.2. Rome. (FAO fisheries series, 5).
- Stirling, I., Cleator, H. and Smith, T.G. 1981. Marine mammals. Pp. 45-48 in: *Polynyas in the Canadian Arctic* / ed. I. Stirling and H. Cleator. Ottawa. (Canadian Wildlife Service. Occasional paper, 45).
- Stirling, I., Kingsley, M. and Calvert, W. 1982. The distribution and abundance of seals in the eastern Beaufort Sea, 1974-79. Ottawa. (Canadian Wildlife Service. Occasional paper, 47). 25 pp.
- Stirling, I. and Derocher, A.E. 1993. Possible impacts of climate warming on polar bears. *Arctic* 46: 240-245.
- Stirling, I. and Øritsland, N.A. 1995. Relationships between estimates of ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) and polar bear (*Ursus maritimus*) populations in the Canadian Arctic. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 52: 2594-2612.
- Stirling, I. and Lunn, N.J. 1997. Environmental fluctuations in arctic marine ecosystems as reflected by variability in reproduction of polar bears and ringed seals. Pp 167-181 in: *Ecology of Arctic environments* / ed. S.J. Woodin and M. Marquiss. Oxford: Blackwell. (Special publication of the British Ecological Society, 13).
- Stirling, I. and Smith, T.G. 2004. Implications of warm temperatures and an unusual rain event for the survival of ringed seals on the coast of southeastern Baffin Island. *Arctic* 57: 59-67.
- Stonehouse, B. 1989. *Polar ecology*. Glasgow: Blackie. (Tertiary level biology). viii, 222 pp.
- Symon, C., Arris, L. and Heal, B. (eds.) 2005. *Arctic climate impact assessment*. Cambridge University Press. v, 1042 pp.
- Sørmo, E.G., Salmer, M.P., Jenssen, B.M., Hop, H., Bæk, K., Lydersen, C., Falk-Petersen, S., Gabrielsen, G.W., Kovacs, K.M., Lie, E. and Skaare, J.U. 2006. Biomagnification of brominated flame retardants in the polar bear food chain in Svalbard, Norway. *Environmental Toxicology & Chemistry* 25: 2502-2511.
- Teilmann, J. and Kapel, F.O. 1998. Exploitation of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) in Greenland. Pp. 152-166 in: *Ringed seals in the North Atlantic* / ed. M.P. Heide-Jørgensen and C. Lydersen. Tromsø: North Atlantic Marine Mammal Commission. (NAMMCO scientific publications, 1).
- Teilmann, J., Born, E.W. and Acquarone, M. 1999. Behaviour of ringed seals tagged with satellite transmitters in the North Water polynya during fast-ice formation. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 77: 1934-1946.
- Teng, H.Y., Washington, W.M., Meehl, G.A., Buja, L.E. and Strand, G.W. 2006. Twenty-first century Arctic climate change in the CCSM3 IPCC scenario simulations. *Climate Dynamics* 26: 601-616.
- Tillander, M., Miettinen, J.K. and Koivisto, I. 1972. Excretion rate of methyl mercury in the seal (*Pusa hispida*). Pp. 303-305 in: *Marine pollution and sea life* / ed. M. Ruivo. West Byfleet: Fishing News.
- Tomy, G.T., Muir, D.C.G., Stern, G.A. and Westmore, J.B. 2000. Levels of C10-C13 polychloro-n-alkanes in marine mammals from the Arctic and the St. Lawrence River Estuary. *Environmental Science & Technology* 34: 1615-1619.
- Tryland, M., Kleivane, L., Alfredsson, A., Kjeld, M., Arnason, A., Stuen, S. and Godfroid, J. 1999. Evidence of *Brucella* infection in marine mammals in the North Atlantic Ocean. *Veterinary Record* 144: 588-592.
- Tryland, M., Krafft, B.A., Lydersen, C., Kovacs, K.M. and Thoresen, S.I. 2006. Serum chemistry values from free-ranging ringed seals (*Pusa hispida*) in Svalbard. *Veterinary Clinical Pathology* 35: 405-412.
- Tynan, C.T. and DeMaster, D.P. 197. Observations and predictions of Arctic climate change: potential effects of marine mammals. *Arctic* 50: 308-322.
- Van Oostdam, J., Gilman, A., Dewailly, E., Usher, P., Weatley, B., Kuhnlein, H., Neve, S., Walker, J., Tracy, B., Feeley, M., Jerome, V. and Kwavnick, B. 1999. Human health implications of environmental contaminants in Arctic Canada: a review. Pp. 1-82 in: *Contaminants in Canadian Arctic ecosystems*. Amsterdam: Elsevier. (Science of the total environment, 230: 1/3).
- Vorkamp, K., Christensen, J.H. and Riget, F. 2004. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers and organochlorine compounds in biota from the marine environment of East Greenland. Pp. 143-155 in: *Contaminants in the Greenland environment: an update* / ed. F. Riget et al. Amsterdam: Elsevier. (Science of the total environment, 331: 1/3).
- Wagemann, R. 1989. Comparison of heavy metals in two groups of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) from the Canadian Arctic. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 46: 1558-1563.
- Wagemann, R. and Muir, D.C.G. 1984. Concentrations of heavy metals and organochlorines in marine mammals of northern waters: overview and evaluation. *Canadian Technical Report Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 1279: 1-97.

- Wagemann, R., Lockhart, W.L., Welch, H. and Innes, S. 1995. Arctic marine mammals as integrators and indicators of mercury in the Arctic. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution* 80: 863-693.
- Wagemann, R., Innes, S. and Richard, P. 1996. Overview and regional and temporal differences of heavy metals in Arctic whales and ringed seals in the Canadian Arctic. *Science of the Total Environment* 186: 41-66.
- Wartzok, D., Sayegh, S., Stone, H., Barchak, J. and Barnes, W. 1992. Acoustic tracking system for monitoring under-ice movements of polar seals. *Journal of the Acoustic Society of America* 92: 682-687.
- Wassmann, P. (ed) 2006. Structure and function of contemporary food webs on Arctic shelves: a pan-Arctic comparison. Amsterdam: Elsevier. (Progress in oceanography, 71:2/4). Pp.123- 477.
- Wassmann, P., Slagstad, D., Riser, C.W. and Reigstad, M. 2006a. Modelling the ecosystem dynamics of the marginal ice zone and central Barents Sea. II: carbon flux and interannual variability. *Journal of Marine Systems* 59(1/2): 1-24.
- Wassmann, P., Reigstad, M., Haug, T., Rudels, B., Carroll, M.L., Hop, H., Gabrielsen, G.W., Falk-Petersen, S., Denisenko, S.G., Arashkevich, E., Slagstad, D. and Pavlova, O. 2006b. Food webs and carbon flux in the Barents Sea. Pp- 232-287 in: Structure and function of contemporary food webs on Arctic shelves: a pan-Arctic comparison / ed. P. Wassmann. Amsterdam: Elsevier. (Progress in oceanography, 71:2/4).
- Wathne, J.A., Haug, T. and Lydersen, C. 2000. Prey preference and niche overlap of ringed seals *Phoca hispida* and harp seals *P. groenlandica* in the Barents Sea. *Marine Ecology, Progress Series*. 194: 233-239.
- Weis, I.M. and Muir, D.C.G. 1997. Geographical variation of persistent organochlorine concentrations in blubber of ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) from the Canadian Arctic: univariate and multivariate approaches. *Environmental Pollution* 96: 321-333.
- Welch, H.E., Crawford, R.E. and Hop, H. 1993. Occurrence of Arctic cod (*Boreogadus saida*) schools and their vulnerability to predation in the Canadian high Arctic. *Arctic* 46(4): 331-339.
- Weslawski, J.M., Ryg, M., Smith, T.G. and Øritsland, N.A. 1994. Diet of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) in a fjord of West Svalbard. *Arctic* 47: 109-114.
- Wiig, Ø., Derocher, A.E. and Belikov, S.E. 1999. Ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) breeding in the drifting pack ice of the Barents Sea. *Marine Mammal Science* 15: 595-598.
- Williams, T.M., Estes, J.A., Doak, D.F. and Springer, A.M. 2004. Killer appetites: assessing the role of predators in ecological communities. *Ecology* 85(12): 3373-3384.
- Wilson, S.J., Murray, J.L. and Huntington, H.P. (eds.) 1998. AMAP assessment report: Arctic pollution issues. Oslo: Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme. xii, 839 pp.
- Wolkers, H., Bavel, B. van, Derocher, A.E., Wiig, Ø., Kovacs, K.M., Lydersen, C. and Lindström, G. 2004. Congener-specific accumulation and food chain transfer of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in two Arctic food chains. *Environmental Science and Technology* 38(6): 1667-1674.
- Wolkers, H., Bavel, B. van, Ericson, I., Skoglund, E., Kovacs, K.M. and Lydersen, C. 2006. Congener-specific accumulation and patterns of chlorinated and brominated contaminants in adult male walrus from Svalbard, Norway: indications for individual-specific prey selection. *Science of the Total Environment* 370(1): 70-79.
- Wolkers, J., Burkow, I.C., Lydersen, C., Dahle, S., Monshouwer, M. and Witkamp, R.F. 1998a. Congener specific PCB and polychlorinated camphene (toxaphene) levels in Svalbard ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) in relation to sex, age, condition and cytochrome P450 enzyme activity. *Science of the Total Environment* 216(1/2): 1-11.
- Wolkers, J., Witkamp, R.F., Nijmeijer, S.M., Burkow, I.C., Groene, E.M. de, Lydersen, C., Dahle, S. and Monshouwer, M. 1998b. Phase I and phase II enzyme activities in ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*): characterization of hepatic cytochrome P450 by activity patterns, inhibition studies, mRNA analyses and western blotting. *Aquatic Toxicology* 44(1/2): 103-115.
- Zhang, J. 2005. Warming of the Arctic ice-ocean system is faster than the global average since the 1960s. *Geophysical Research Letters* 32(19): art. no. L19602 (4 pp.)
- Zhang, X.D. and Walsh, J.E. 2006. Toward a seasonally ice-covered Arctic Ocean: scenarios from the IPCC AR4 model simulations. *Journal of Climate* 19: 1730-1747.
- Zhu, J. and Norstrom, R.J. 1993. Identification of polychlorocamphenes (PCCs) in the polar bear (*Ursus maritimus*) food chain. *Chemosphere* 27: 1923-1936.
- Ødegaard, Ø.A. and Krogsrud, J. 1981. Rabies in Svalbard: infection diagnosed in Arctic fox, reindeer and seal. *Veterinary Record* 109: 141-142.

Appendix II

CAFF Arctic Council workshop grant application

KEYs to the future: developing a CAFF circumpolar monitoring programme for ringed seals in a changing Arctic

Dr. Kit M. Kovacs (Norwegian Polar Institute) will lead the project. The work will be done under the auspices of CAFF and their Circumpolar Biodiversity (Marine) Monitoring Programme.

Ringed seals scientists from the United States (Alaska), the Western Canadian Arctic, middle Canadian Arctic and Eastern Canadian Arctic, Greenland, Eastern and Western Russia and Norway will form the core group that will meet to share data and develop a circumpolar ringed seal monitoring plan. Please see below for details.

Background

Ringed seals occupy a key ecological role in the Arctic ecosystem. They are the most numerous and widely distributed high Arctic pinniped, being found in virtually all seas and oceans encircling the North Pole (Reeves 1998). They are the main prey species of polar bears (*Ursus maritimus*) (e.g. Stirling & Øritsland 1995) and an important natural resource for people in coastal areas across the circumpolar Arctic (see Riewe & Amsden 1979). Ringed seals have evolved in close association with Arctic sea ice over the 5+ M years of its existence (Harington 2008, Polyak et al. 2010) and depend on the ice for virtually all aspects of their life history. Typically, Arctic ringed seals prefer land-fast ice in fjords and along coastlines, with a reasonably thick and stable snow cover; but they can also live and breed in drifting pack ice [e.g., in the Barents Sea (Wiig et al. 1999), Davis Strait (Finley et al. 1983)]. Ringed seals have the longest lactation period of any of the northern true seals (members of the family Phocidae), and need stable ice throughout the period of neonatal dependence (Lydersen & Kovacs 1999). Snow on the surface of the sea ice is essential for the construction of lairs (small caves above a breathing hole), in which the seals routinely rest during the winter months and also give birth in the early spring (e.g., Lydersen & Gjertz 1986, Furgal et al. 1996). Ringed seal pups are only ca. 4 kg when born, so they depend on the lair environment to stay warm (Lydersen & Hammill 1993a). The lair also provides some protection against polar bears, Arctic foxes (*Alopex lagopus*) and other predators (Smith & Lydersen 1991). Lack of snow build-up on the ice in early winter or warm spring temperatures and rain can result in reproductive failure in this species (e.g., Smith & Harwood 2001, Stirling & Smith 2004). Ringed seals normally remain associated with ice throughout the year (Freitas et al. 2008a) and they feed predominantly on ice-associated prey, including polar cod (*Boreogadus saida*), Arctic cod (*Arctogadus glacialis*) and large zooplankton species such as *Parathemisto libellula* (e.g., Wathne et al. 2000, Labansen et al. 2007).

Their extreme affiliation with sea ice raises serious concern for the future status of arctic ringed seals (see Tynan & DeMaster 1997, Carmack & MaLaughlin 2001, Kelly 2001, Barber & Iacozza 2004, Symon et al. 2005, Simmonds & Isaac 2007, Kovacs & Lydersen 2008, Laidre et al. 2008, Moore & Huntington 2008, Ragen et al. 2008) because dramatic reductions in arctic sea ice have already taken place in recent decades and predictions for continued, escalated deterioration of this unique habitat dominate the scientific literature (see Hassol 2004, Pachauri 2007, Giles et al. 2008, Barber et al. 2009, Kwok et al. 2009, Wang & Overland 2009, Overland & Wang 2010 also see Tietsche et al. 2011 for an alternate view). Reductions in Arctic sea ice extent directly reflect a loss of habitat availability for ringed seals. Other risks to ringed seals posed by climate change include: impacts of reduced availability of their traditional lipid-rich prey species due to shifts occurring in Arctic food webs stimulated by ice cover declines and water temperatures increase (e.g. Grebmeier et al. 2006a, b, 1010, Bluhm & Gradinger 2008, Moore & Huntington 2008, Johannessen & Miles 2010); increase risk of disease (e.g. Harvell et al. 199, Burek et al. 2008); increased predation by killer whales in some regions (see Higdon & Ferguson 2009); increased human impacts from shipping and development in the North (Arctic Council 2009); and the potential for increased effects of pollution (MacDonald et al. 2005,

Van Bresseem et al. 2009, Letcher et al. 2010). Declines in pup production, body condition and ovulation rates have already been documented for ringed seals in the Canadian Arctic, which have been attributed to climate change impacts on ice conditions (Ferguson et al. 2005, Harwood et al. 2012, also see Kovacs et al. 2011 for a review). In the United States, a status review of ringed seals (Kelly et al. 2010a) has led to a petition to list the arctic ringed seal as threatened on the Endangered Species list of the US, because of a belief that ringed seal are likely to become endangered throughout, or in a significant portion of, their range in the foreseeable future (www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/species).

Because of their sensitivity to ice conditions and their importance within arctic ecosystems, ringed seals have repeatedly been recommended as high priority subjects for circumpolar monitoring of arctic marine systems (e.g. Tynan & DeMaster 1997, Hansen & Brodersen 1998, Hop et al. 1998, Reeves 1998, Gill et al. 2011). They are high trophic feeders that integrate changes in the system at lower levels and thus are excellent systems monitoring sentinels (e.g. Moore 2008, Bossart 2011). Over a decade ago both the international programmes CAFF (Conservation of Arctic Flora & Fauna, CAFF 1999) and AMAP (Arctic Monitoring & Assessment Programme, Wilson et al. 1998) specifically requested that all of the arctic countries launch scientific monitoring programmes on ringed seal population structure, trends in abundance, vital rates and age structures because of this species' value as an 'indicator species' for monitoring global climate change and contaminant patterns. In 2007, the Marine Mammal Commission of the United States held a workshop to develop a circumpolar monitoring plan for ringed seals (& white whales, *Delphinapterus leucas*). The outcome of the workshop was a theoretical framework in which monitoring of arctic marine mammal species could take place (Simpkins et al. 2009). A parallel but much broader process, run by CAFF that started in 2006, set out to develop a Circumpolar Marine Biodiversity Monitoring Programme; this process was completed in spring 2011 (Gill et al. 2011). This plan proposed focal species for monitoring, including ringed seals, and specific key marine areas, including Svalbard (as part of the Northeast Atlantic Gateway). But, despite these many important, costly processes the simple reality is that ringed seals are not currently being monitored in any sort of co-ordinated international manner. A small number of science programmes have managed to maintain hunter sampling programmes or sporadic time-series for various biological parameters (see below), but a much more integrated system is required to address the impacts of climate change on this keystone species across the Arctic.

The reason for the lack of ringed seal monitoring data is in part a lack of financial commitments from arctic countries for faunal monitoring activities in the North, but there is also a fundamental lack of information sharing that is required to create meaningful, cost-effective monitoring programmes for this species. Ringed seals are a challenging species to study. They spend much of the year dispersed in ice-filled areas across the arctic seas and their migration patterns and specifics regarding habitat choices are poorly known for many areas. When they do congregate in more accessible areas for reproduction, they spend much of their time in snow lairs and hence are not available for visual assessment. Only in late spring are they available in high densities on the surface of the ice for some weeks, making them available for abundance assessments. But, unknown rates of emigration and immigration during this period (Krafft et al. 2006a) and a general lack of data on site fidelity make count data difficult to interpret in terms of what they mean for actual population abundance. The changing conditions in the Arctic also create new challenges for monitoring ringed seals, as traditional monitoring methods must be rethought and adapted (Kovacs et al. 2011). Thus, it is vital to bring together arctic-wise expertise on ringed seals at this time.

Project activity

An international science team (whose members work directly with this species across the circumpolar Arctic) will be convened in this project to gain a global perspective of the conditions facing ringed seals and to create a sound plan for future scientifically-based monitoring, specific to ringed seals. It is essential that standardized monitoring of ringed seals

be conducted across a broad geographic area if we are going to understand the impacts of sea ice reduction and other climate change factors on this species. Significant regional variation in the severity and timing of impacts is expected, depending on actual sea ice loss rates across the Arctic, local bathymetry conditions, community composition and other factors associated with climate change in a more general sense (e.g. water temperature impacts on prey populations, changes in local predator populations; see Moore & Huntington 2008, Kaschner et al. 2011, Kovacs et al. 2011). A considerable amount of time and effort have been spent developing theoretical frameworks, which will be a useful starting point further development (see below Fig 1 from Simpkins et al. 2009; also see Gill et al. 2011). But, scientists have not as yet brought existing data 'to the table' in a comparative context and there is no international coordination among monitoring efforts. Nor is there a single national monitoring programme for ringed seals that has secure financial support; this includes the Norwegian MOSJ programme, which for example has not updated abundance data for this species since 2002/2003, despite extraordinary environmental change in the region and many consecutive years of reproductive failure. This workshop will allow the arctic ringed seal science community to advance ringed seal monitoring from the theoretical to the practical.

reaching policy makers and ensure its rigorous review and subsequent implementation within the broader CBMP monitoring programme (see Markham 1996, Fuller et al. 2008). Tom Barry from the CAFF office and Mike Gill from the CBMP office will be invited to join the science team at the meeting.

Planning for the meeting will take place in summer 2012. The workshop will be held in autumn 2012 and the workshop report will be prepared prior to year-end 2012. This workshop's outputs will firmly launch CAFF's ringed seal monitoring network.




Figure 1
Components of a comprehensive monitoring scheme for Arctic marine mammals (From Simpkins et al. 2009).

Our workshop will involve core ringed seal researchers from the United States (Peter Boveng and Lori Quakenbush), Canada (Lois Harwood & Tom Smith (west), Steve Ferguson (central) & Mike Hammill (east)), Greenland (Aqqalu Rosing-Asvid), Russia and Norway (the PIs for this programme are based at the Norwegian Polar Institute and work with ringed seals in Svalbard – Kit Kovacs & Christian Lydersen). Please see the Appendix – partners support letters. Russian colleagues from the east and west will be invited when funding is in place. Past experience has shown us that invitations to participate in programmes that do not transpire because of a lack of financing are very problematic for our Russian colleagues. The workshop will serve to compile all available data that could be useful for global assessment (beyond the compilation of published literature being performed in the CAFF's Arctic Biodiversity Assessment (ABA) process) and draft a plan for low-cost, high-efficiency monitoring.

We expect this core group to expand to include additional interested ringed seal scientists, indigenous people and managers once the ground-work is completed. But, we feel it essential to have only scientists actively involved in ringed seals research and monitoring activities in this first step to avoid bogging down in national and regional politics that have plagued earlier attempts to establish a ringed seal network within CAFF. CAFF's CBMP office will facilitate the scientific monitoring plan

Literature cited

- ACIA (2005) Arctic Climate Impact Assessment. Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, UK.
- AMAP (Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme). 1998. AMAP Assessment Report. Oslo, Norway.
- AMSA (2009) Arctic marine shipping assessment, PAME, Arctic Council, Tromø, Norway.
- Barber DG, Iacozza J (2004) Historical analysis of sea ice conditions in M'Clintock Channel and the Gulf of Boothia, Nunavut: implications for ringed seal and polar bear habitat. *Arctic* 57: 1-14.
- Barber DG, Galley R, Asplin MG, DeAbreu R, Warner KA, Pucko M, Gupta M, Prinsenberg S, Julien S (2009) Perennial pack ice in the southern Beaufort Sea was not as it appeared in the summer of 2009. *Geophys Res Lett* 36: L24501, doi 10.1029/2009GL041434
- Bluhm BA, Gradinger R (2008) Regional variability in food availability for Arctic marine mammals. *Ecol Appl* 18:S77-S96
- Born EW, Teilmann J, Acquarone M, Riget FF (2004) Habitat use of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) in the North Water Area (North Baffin Bay). *Arctic* 57: 129-142
- Bossart GD (2011) Marine mammals as sentinel species for oceans and human health. *Veterinary Pathology* 48: 676-690
- Burek KA, Gulland FMD, O'Hara TM (2008) Effects of climate change on Arctic marine mammal health. *Ecol Appl* 18(2): S126-S134.
- CAFF (Conservation of Arctic Flora & Fauna - US Delegation). 1999. Incorporating ringed seals into CAFF and AMAP. Discussion paper, March 1999.
- Carmack E, McLaughlin F (2001) Arctic Ocean change and consequences to biodiversity: a perspective on linkage and scale. *Mem Natl Inst Polar Res (Japan), Spec Issue No 54: 365-375*
- Ferguson SH, Stirling I, McLoughlin P (2005) Climate change and ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) recruitment in Western Hudson Bay. *Mar Mamm Sci* 21: 121 – 135
- Finley KJ, Miller GW, Davis RA, Koski WR (1983) A distinctive large breeding population of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) inhabiting the Baffin Bay pack ice. *Arctic* 36: 162-173
- Freitas C, Kovacs KM, Ims RA, Fedak MA, Lydersen C (2008). Ringed seal post-moult movement tactics and habitat selection. *Oecologia* 155: 193-204
- Fuller T, Morton DP, Sarkar S (2008) Incorporating uncertainty about species' potential distributions under climate change into the selection of conservation areas with a case study from the Arctic Coastal Plain of Alaska. *Biol Conserv* 141: 1547-1559
- Furgal CM, Innes S, Kovacs KM (1996) Characteristics of ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) subnivian lairs and habitat and their influence on predation. *Can. J. Zool.* 74: 858-74.
- Giles KA, Laxon SW, Ridout AL (2008) Circumpolar thinning of Arctic sea ice following the 2007 record ice extent minimum. *Geophys Res Lett* 35: L22502, doi:10.1029/2008GL035710
- Gill MJ, Crane R, Hindrum P, Arneberg P, Bysveen I, Denisenko NV, Gofman V, Grant-Friedman A, Gudmundsson G, Hopcroft RR, Iken K, Labansen A, Liubina OS, Melnikov IA, Mooe SE, Reist JD, Sirenko BI, Stow J, Ugarte F, Vongraven D, Watkins J (2011) CAFF Monitoring Ser Report No 3, April 2011, CAFF International Secretariat, Akureyri, Iceland.
- Grebmeier JM, Overland JE, Moore SE, Farley EV, Carmack EC, Cooper LW, Frey KE, Helle JH, McLaughlin FA, McNutt SL (2006a). A major ecosystem shift in the northern Bering Sea. *Science* 311: 1461-1464
- Grebmeier JB, Cooper LW, Feder HM, Sirenko BI (2006b) Pelagic-benthic coupling and ecology dynamics in the Pacific-influenced Amerasian Arctic. *Prog Oceanogr* 71: 331-361
- Grebmeier JM, Moore SE, Overland JE, Frey K, Gradinger R (2010) Bio-response to recent extreme sea ice retreats in the Pacific Arctic. *EOS Trans Am Geophys Union* 91: 161-168.
- Hansen JR, Brodersen C. 1998. Proposal for an environmental monitoring system for Svalbard and Jan Mayen. Norwegian Polar Institute Report Series No. 108. 61 pp. (in Norwegian).
- Harington C R (2008) The evolution of Arctic marine mammals. *Ecological Applications* 18(Supplement): S23-S40.
- Harvell CD, Kim K, Vurkholder JM, Colwell RR, Epstein PR, Grimes DJ, Hofmann EE, Lipp EK, Osterhaus ADME, Overstreet RM, Porter JW, Smith GW, Vasta GR (1999) Review: marine ecology – emerging marine diseases – climate links and anthropogenic factors. *Science* 285: 1505-1510
- Harwood, L. A., Smith, T.G., Melling, H., Alikamik, J. And Kingsley, M.C.S. Submitted. Reproduction and body condition of the ringed seal *Phoca hispida* in the context of changing sea ice conditions in eastern Amundsen Gulf, 1992-2010.
- Hassol SJ (2004) Impacts of a warming Arctic. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 139 pp
- Heide-Jørgensen MP, Lydersen C (Eds.) (1998) Ringed seals in the North Atlantic. *NAMMCO Sci. Publ.* 1, 273 pp.
- Higdon JW, Ferguson SH (2009) Loss of arctic sea ice causing punctuated change in sightings of killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) over the past century. *Ecol Appl* 19: 1365-1375
- Hop H, Hansen JR, Huberth-Hansen J-P (1998) Overview of biological diversity in the Norwegian Arctic. *Norw. Polar Instit. Medd.* No. 158. 67 pp. (in Norwegian).
- IPCC (2007) Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Core Writing Team, Pachauri, R.K. and Reisinger, A. (Eds.) IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland. pp 104
- Johannessen OM, Miles MW (2010) Critical vulnerabilities of marine and sea ice-based ecosystems in the high Arctic. *Regional Environmental change* 11: S239-S248
- Kaschner K, Tittensor DP, Ready J, Gerrodette T, Worm B (2011) Current and future patterns of global marine mammal diversity. *Plos One* 6(5) e19653
- Kelly BP (2001) Climate change and ice breeding pinnipeds. In Walther, G-R, Burga CA, Edwards PJ (eds), "Fingerprints" of Climate Change, Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publ, New York, pp 43-55
- Kelly BP et al. (2010) Status review of the ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) NOAA Tech Mem NMRS-AFSC-212
- Kovacs KM, Lydersen C (2008) Climate change impacts on seals and whales in the North Atlantic Arctic and adjacent shelf seas. *Sci Progr* 91: 117-150
- Kovacs KM, Lydersen C, Overland JE, Moore SE (2011) Impacts of changing sea-ice conditions on Arctic marine mammals. *Mar Biodiv* (2011) 41:181-194
- Krafft, B.A., Ergon, T., Kovacs, K.M., Andersen, M., Aars, J., Haug, T. and Lydersen, C. 2006a. Abundance of ringed seals in the fjords of Spitsbergen, Svalbard, during the moulting period. *Marine Mammal Science* 22(2): 394-412.
- Kwok R, Cunningham GF, Wensnahan M, Rigor I, Zwally HJ, Yi D (2009) Thinning and volume loss of the Arctic Ocean sea ice cover: 2003-2208. *J Geophys Res* 114: C07005, doi:10.1029/2009JC005312
- Labansen AL, Lydersen C, Haug T, Kovacs KM (2007) Spring diet of ringed seals (*Pusa hispida*) from north-western Spitsbergen, Norway. *ICES J Mar Sci* 64: 1246-1256
- Laidre KL, Stirling I, Lowry LF, Wiig Ø, Heide-Jørgensen MP, Ferguson SF (2008) Quantifying the sensitivity of arctic marine mammals to climate-induced habitat change. *Ecol Appl* 18(2): S97-S125
- Letcher RJ, Bustnes JO, Dietz R, Jenssen BM, Jørgensen EJ, Sonne C, Verreault J, Vijayan MM, Gabrielsen GW (2010) Exposure and effects assessment of persistent organohalogen contaminants in arctic wildlife and fish. *Science of the Total Environment* 408: 2995-3043
- Lydersen C, Gjertz I (1986) Studies of the ringed seal (*Phoca hispida* Schreber 1775) in its breeding habitat in Kongsfjorden, Svalbard. *Polar Res.* 4: 57-63

- Lydersen C, Kovacs KM (1999) Behaviour and energetics of ice-breeding, North Atlantic phocid seals during the lactation period. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 187: 265-81
- MacDonald RW, Harner T, Fyfe J (2005) Recent climate change in the Arctic and its impact on contaminant pathways and interpretation of temporal trend data. *Sci Total Environ* 342: 5-86
- Markham A (1996) Potential impacts of climate change on ecosystems: a review of implications for policymakers and conservation biologists. *Climate Res* 6: 179-191
- Moore SE (2008) Marine mammals as ecosystem sentinels. *J Mamm* 89: 534-540
- Moore SE, Huntington HP (2008) Arctic marine mammals and climate change: impacts and resilience. *Ecol Appl* 18(2): S157-S165
- Overland JE, Wang M (2010) Large-scale atmospheric circulation changes associated with the recent loss of Arctic sea ice. *Tellus* 62A: 1-9
- Polyak L, Alley RB, Andrews JT, Brigham-Grette J, Cronin TM, Darby DA, Dyke AS, Fitzpatrick JJ, Funder S, Holland M, Jennings AE, Miller GH, O'Regan M, Savelle J, Serreze M, St. John K, White JWC, Wolff E (2010) History of sea ice in the Arctic. *Quat Sci Rev* 29: 1757-1778
- Ragen TJ, Huntington HP, Hovelsrud GK (2008) Conservation of Arctic marine mammals faced with climate change. *Ecol Appl* 18(S2): S166-S174
- Reeves RR (1998) Distribution, abundance and biology of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*): an overview. In: Heide-Jørgensen MP, Lydersen C (eds.) NAMMCO Scientific Publications, Vol. 1. Ringed seals in the North Atlantic. Tromsø, Norway, NAMMCO. Pp. 9-45
- Riewe RR, Amsden CW (1979) Harvesting and utilization of pinnipeds by Inuit hunters in Canada's eastern high Arctic. Pp. 324-348 In McCartney, A.P. (ed.) Thule Eskimo culture: an anthropological retrospective. Mercury Series 88, Archaeological Survey of Canada, Ottawa
- Simmonds MP, Isaac SJ (2007) The impacts of climate change on marine mammals: early signs of significant problems. *Oryx* 41: 19-26.
- Simpkins MA; Kovacs KM, Laidre K, Lowry L (2009) A Framework for Monitoring Arctic Marine Mammals - workshop Findings, Valencia 2007. CAFF International Secretariat, CAFF CBMP Report No. 16.
- Smith TG, Lydersen C (1991) Availability of suitable land-fast ice and predation as factors limiting ringed seal populations, *Phoca hispida*, in Svalbard. *Polar Res.* 10: 585-594
- Smith TG, Harwood LA (2001) Observations of neonate ringed seals, *Phoca hispida*, after early break-up of the sea ice in Prince Albert Sound, Northwest Territories, Canada, spring 1998. *Polar Biol* 24: 215-219
- Stirling I, Øritsland NA (1995) Relationships between estimates of ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) and polar bear (*Ursus maritimus*) populations in the Canadian Arctic. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 52: 2594-612
- Stirling I, Smith TG (2004) Implications of warm temperatures, and an unusual rain event for the survival of ringed seals on the coast of southeastern Baffin Island. *Arctic* 57: 59-67
- Tietsche S, Notz D, Jungclaus JH, Morotzke J (2011) Recovery mechanisms of Arctic summer sea ice. *Geophysical Research Letters* 38: L02707 doi:10.1029/2010GL045698
- Tynan CT, DeMaster DP (1997) Observations and predictions of arctic climate change: potential effects on marine mammals. *Arctic* 50: 308-22
- Van Bresse MF, Raga JA, Di Guardo G, Jepson PD, Duignan PJ, Siebert U, Barrett T, Santos MCD, Moreno IB, Siciliano S, Aguilar A, Van Waerebeek K (2009) Emerging infectious diseases in cetaceans worldwide and the possible role of environmental stressors. *Dis Aqu Organisms* 86: 143-157
- Wang M, Overland JE (2009) A sea ice free summer Arctic within 30 years? *Geophys Res Lett* 36: L07502, doi:10.1029/2009GL037820
- Wathne JA, Haug T, Lydersen C (2000) Prey preference and niche overlap of ringed seals *Phoca hispida* and harp seals *P. groenlandica* in the Barents Sea. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 194: 233-239
- Wiig Ø, Derocher AE, Belikov SE (1999) Ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*) breeding in the drifting pack ice of the Barents Sea. *Mar Mamm Sci* 15: 595-598

